


Plaintiff has been told before that he “has no recourse in this Court” because “ ‘federal district courts lack jurisdiction to review judicial decisions by state and District of Columbia courts.’ ” *Powell v. Gray*, No. 13-cv-1568, 2013 WL 5615129, at *1 (D.D.C. Sept. 26, 2013) (quoting *Richardson v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 83 F.3d 1513, 1514 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (other citations omitted)); *Powell*, 2014 WL 6734809, at *1. See *United States v. Choi*, 818 F. Supp. 2d 79, 85 (D.D.C. 2011) (district courts “generally lack[] appellate jurisdiction over other judicial bodies, and cannot exercise appellate mandamus over other courts.”) (citing *Lewis v. Green*, 629 F. Supp. 546, 553 (D.D.C.1986)); *Fleming v. United States*, 847 F. Supp. 170, 172 (D.D.C. 1994), *cert. denied* 513 U.S. 1150 (1995) (noting that “[b]y filing a complaint in this Court against . . . judges who have done nothing more than their duty . . . Fleming has instituted a meritless action”) (applying *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983); *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413, 415, 416 (1923)). Consequently, this case will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: July 6, 2017


United States District Judge