The plaintiff, who has been arrested in Poland, asks this Court to order defendant to release the contents of her electronic mailbox, including incoming, outgoing, and draft electronic mail message, and forward the information to a court in Poland. She fails to state a basis for this

Court's jurisdiction or a cognizable claim showing her entitlement to relief.

To the extent that plaintiff is seeking evidence for use in proceedings before the Polish court, the complaint might be construed as a request for discovery assistance under 28 U.S.C. § 1782, pursuant to which the Court may order a person within this district "to produce a document or other thing for use in a proceeding in a formal or international tribunal, including criminal investigations conducted before formal accusation," 28 U.S.C. § 1782(a). An application under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 "presents two primary inquiries": the Court must first determine whether it has authority to grant the requested relief, and, second, the Court must consider whether rit should exercise its discretion to do so. *See Norex Petroleum Ltd. v. Chubb Ins. Co. of Canada*, 384 F. Supp. 2d 45, 49 (D.D.C. 2005). There are too few factual allegations in the complaint from which the Court might make a reasoned determination on such a discovery request, and the exhibits plaintiff submits are written in Polish and are thus of limited use.

It is hereby **ORDERED** that plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff is permitted to file an amended application within 45 days of the date of this Order. In the event Plaintiff fails to do so, the Court will dismiss this action.

Inited States/District Judge

and D. Mon