Synder v. USA Doc. 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

)
))
) Cause No. 3:16-cv-52:
) (Arising from 3:13-cr-32
j
)

OPINION AND ORDER

Adam Snyder asks that the court vacate his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 in response to the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). The court must deny that motion.

Mr. Snyder challenges his sentence on grounds that it violates the Constitution or laws of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a). An evidentiary hearing isn't required if "the motion and files and records of the case conclusively show that the petitioner is entitled to no relief." 28 U.S.C. § 2255(b). The factual and legal issues raised can be resolved on the record, so no hearing is necessary.

Mr. Snyder argues that the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) impacts his sentence. *Johnson* held that a portion of the definition of "violent felony" in the Armed Career Criminal Act was unconstitutional, which raised questions about the validity of similar language throughout the law, such as the definition of "crime of violence" in the Sentencing Guidelines. *See* United States v. Hurlburt, 835 F.3d 715 (7th Cir. 2016).

Nothing about Johnson impacts the validity of Mr. Snyder's sentencing.

Mr. Snyder isn't a violent felon, and no one ever argued that he was. His sentence

was never enhanced as a result of these categories. Mr. Snyder pleaded guilty to

violating 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B) and was sentenced based on factors related

to that alone.

Mr. Snyder's sentence is thus valid. The court DENIES Mr. Snyder's

motion to vacate [Doc. No. 59] and directs the Clerk to dismiss the associated

civil case.

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: December 2, 2016

/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr.

Judge

United States District Court

2