

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
PADUCAH DIVISION
CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15-CV-00061-TBR**

KAREN SLOAN, Plaintiff,

v.

DRURY HOTELS COMPANY, LLC Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

JOAN ROSS SQUIRES, Third-Party Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

In anticipation of the approaching trial in this action, the Drury Hotels Company, LLC asks the Court to take judicial notice that, prior to March 7, 2014, the City of Paducah enacted City of Paducah, Ky., Code of Ordinances ch. 14, art. 2, § 14-36 (1997).¹ [R. 39 at 1 (Drury Hotels Company’s Second Motion to Take Judicial Notice).] Under Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b), the Court “may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it: (1) is generally known within the trial court’s territorial jurisdiction; or (2) can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). Though local or municipal ordinances were once thought of as proper subjects for judicial notice, *see Val Decker Packing Co. v. Corn Prods. Sales Co.*, 411 F.2d 850, 852 (6th Cir. 1969) (citing *Lamar v. Micou*, 114 U.S. 218 (1885)), the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals has

¹ The ordinance reads:

It shall be unlawful for the owner or keeper of any dog, either licensed or unlicensed, to allow the dog to be at large within the city limits and not restrained by a leash or confined in an enclosure. Any dog found at large shall be subject to seizure and impoundment by the city.

City of Paducah, Ky., Code of Ordinances ch. 14, art. 2, § 14-36 (1997).

since “cabined the concept of ‘judicial notice’ to facts alone,” *United States v. Dedman*, 527 F.3d 577, 587 (6th Cir. 2008). Now, state or local law “is simply a matter for the judge to determine.” *Id.*; see also *United States v. Alexander*, 467 F. App’x 355, 361 (6th Cir. 2012) (“In this circuit, judicial notice refers to the noticing of facts alone; when it comes to law, judges ‘find’ or ‘determine’ the law.”). Accordingly, the Court will deny the Drury Hotels Company’s motion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Drury Hotels Company, LLC’s Second Motion for Judicial Notice, [R. 39], is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 25, 2016

cc: Counsel of Record

The image shows a handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Thomas B. Russell". The signature is written over a circular official seal of the United States District Court. The seal features an eagle with wings spread, perched on a shield, with the words "UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT" around the perimeter.

**Thomas B. Russell, Senior Judge
United States District Court**