Manis et al v. Lawson et al Doc. 46

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

BRYCE MANIS AND MADISON MANIS THROUGH THEIR NATURAL TUTRIX,, TONYA PLAISANCE

VERSUS NO: 06-6798

ARTHUR LAWSON IN HIS CAPACITY AS CHIEF OF POLICE FOR THE CITY OF GRETNA, THE CITY OF GRETNA, AND OFFICER DOUGLASS ZEMLIK

**SECTION:** "S" (3)

**CIVIL ACTION** 

## ORDER AND REASONS

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the defendants' motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**. (Document #18.)

The plaintiffs have alleged the violation of a clearly established constitutional right, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against Officer Douglass Zemlik in his individual capacity, and there are disputed issues of material fact as to whether the defendant's conduct was objectively reasonable in light of the legal rules clearly established at the time of the incident.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At the hearing on the motion for summary judgment, the plaintiffs informed that they are not pursuing § 1983 claims against the City of Gretna and Arthur Lawson in his official capacity.

Further, there are disputed issues of material fact as to the plaintiffs' state-law negligence claims, as alleged in the complaint, against Officer Zemlik and Chief Lawson for their individual conduct and against the City of Gretna under a theory of *respondeat superior*.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this <u>1st</u> day of October, 2008.

MARY ANN VIAL LEMMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2