## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

JOEL ALSTEPHEN DYSON, JR.

CIVIL ACTION

**VERSUS** 

NO. 22-293

TANGIPAHOA PARISH JAIL

SECTION: D (4)

## ORDER AND REASONS

The Court, having considered Plaintiff Joel Alstephen Dyson, Jr.'s 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Complaint,<sup>1</sup> the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge,<sup>2</sup> and the failure of the Plaintiff to file any objection to the Report and Recommendation,<sup>3</sup> and finding that it is not clearly erroneous or contrary to the law, hereby approves the Report and Recommendation and adopts it as its opinion in this matter. In doing so, the Court notes that it has construed Plaintiff's *pro se* pleading liberally.<sup>4</sup>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claims alleged in the Complaint<sup>5</sup> against Defendant, Tangipahoa Parish Jail, are **DISMISSED**, without prejudice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation.

New Orleans, Louisiana, October 13, 2022.

WENDY B. VITTER

**United States District Judge** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. Doc. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R. Doc. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Objections were due October 5, 2022. Because Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the Court has allowed additional time for objections. None have been filed as of the date of this Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Coleman v. United States, 912 F.3d 824, 828 (5th Cir. 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R. Doc. 1.