

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE

DAVID P.,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	2:20-CV-00020-LEW
)	
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION)	
COMMISSIONER,)	
)	
Defendant)	

ORDER AFFIRMING RECOMMENDED DECISION

On November 20, 2020, the United States Magistrate Judge filed with the court, with copies to counsel, his Report and Recommended Decision. Plaintiff filed a timely objection to the same. I have reviewed and considered the Recommended Decision, together with the entire record. I have made a de novo determination of all matters adjudicated by the Recommended Decision and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in the Recommended Decision.

I note that while judicial review for substantial evidence invites judicial consideration of the significance of an ALJ’s failure to discuss one or more factors listed in Social Security Ruling 16-3p, that is not the same thing as reviewing to determine whether the ALJ slavishly discussed every factor. Here, I concur with the Magistrate Judge’s assessment that Plaintiff’s argument concerning inadequate discussion of SSR 16-3p factors does not deprive the administrative decision of substantial evidentiary support.

The Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. The final administrative decision is AFFIRMED.

SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4th day of January, 2021.

/s/ Lance E. Walker
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE