Butler et al v. Whitmer et al Doc. 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRUCE HARLAND BUTLER, EDDIE ARMAIL JULIAN-BEY,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

Civil Action No. 2:22-CV-12528 HONORABLE DENISE PAGE HOOD

GOVERNOR GRETCHEN WHITMER, et. al.,

		-						
11	Δ 1	Δ.	n	М	\mathbf{a}	n	ts.	
ப	CI	ᆫ		u	а		LO.	

OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT AS DUPLICATIVE TO CASE No. 2:22-CV-12525

Bruce Harland Butler and Eddie Julian-Bey, ("Plaintiffs"), confined at the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility in Adrian, Michigan, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Having reviewed plaintiffs' complaint, the Court dismisses it without prejudice for being duplicative of a previously filed civil rights complaint.

In their current complaint, plaintiffs claim that the defendants have failed to take adequate steps to protect them from contracting the Coronavirus while incarcerated.

Plaintiffs previously filed an identical lawsuit against these defendants and raised the same claims, which remains pending before this Court in a

separate case. See Julian, et. al. v. Whitmer, et. al., U.S.D.C. No. 2:22-CV-

12525 (E.D. Mich.).

As a general rule, when duplicative lawsuits are pending in separate

federal courts, "the entire action should be decided by the court in which an

action was first filed." Smith v. S.E.C., 129 F.3d 356, 361 (6th Cir. 1997). A

duplicative suit is one in which the issues "have such an identity that a

determination in one action leaves little or nothing to be determined in the

other." Id. The Sixth Circuit has held that a district court "has broad discretion"

in determining whether to dismiss litigation or abstain in order to avoid

duplicative proceedings." In re Camall Co., 16 F. App'x 403, 408 (6th Cir.

2001)(citing In Re White Motor Credit, 761 F.2d 270, 274–75 (6th Cir. 1985)).

Plaintiffs' current civil rights complaint will be dismissed because it is

duplicative of their civil rights case which remains pending before this Court

in Case No. 2:22-CV-12525.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs' complaint is DISMISSED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR BEING DUPLICATIVE OF THE COMPLAINT

FILED IN CASE No. 2:22-CV-12525.

s/Denise Page Hood

Denise Page Hood

United States District Court

Dated: October 28, 2022

2