## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

§

§

§ § § § §

§

§

## **GEORGE E. RAY**

v.

## HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC. and HUNTINGTON INGALLS INCORPORATED

PLAINTIFF

CIVIL NO.: 1:13cv23-HSO-RHW

**DEFENDANTS** 

## FINAL JUDGMENT

This matter came on to be heard on Defendant Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [49]. The Court, after a full review and consideration of Defendant's Motion, Plaintiff's Response [57], Defendant's Rebuttal [63], the record, and relevant legal authorities, finds that in accord with the reasons more fully stated in its Memorandum Opinion and Order entered herewith,

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that Judgment is rendered in favor of Defendant pursuant to Federal Rule Civil Procedure 56. This civil action is dismissed with prejudice.

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this the 14th day of July, 2014.

| Halil Suleyman Özerden

HALIL SULEYMAŃ OZERDĚN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE