Looman v. Mahoney Doc. 8

2010 HOU 30 PM 2 82

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BUTTE DIVISION

RONALD G. LOOMAN,

Petitioner,

No. CV-10-56-BU-SEH

VS.

ORDER

WARDEN MIKE MAHONEY,

Respondent.

On November 17, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Keith Strong entered his Findings and Recommendation¹ in this matter. On November 29, 2010, Plaintiff filed objections² to Judge Strong's Findings and Recommendation.

The Court has fully considered Plaintiff's motion and has reviewed *de novo* Judge Strong's Findings and Recommendation. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court has also fully considered each and all of the matters raised by Plaintiff in the objections filed on November 29, 2010. Upon *de novo* review of the record, I find

Document No. 5

² Document No. 6

no error in Judge Strong's Findings and Recommendation. I further find no merit in the matters raised in the November 29, 2010 objections warranting modification of Judge Strong's Findings and Recommendation. I adopt the Findings and Recommendation in full.

ORDERED:

- Plaintiff's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus³ is
 DISMISSED with prejudice because it is time-barred and procedurally barred.
 - 2. Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time⁴ is DENIED as moot.
 - 3. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment accordingly.
- 4. A certificate of appealability is DENIED, as Plaintiff's petition is time-barred and procedurally barred.

DATED this _30 day of November, 2010.

United States District Judge

³ Document No. 4

⁴ Document No. 7