

JEREMY RASKIEWICZ,)	CV 10-63-M-DWM-JCL
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
VS.)	ORDER
)	
UNITED STATES,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

This matter was commenced by the filing of a "Petition and Brief" on June 2, 2010. Petitioner also filed two documents titled "Brief and Petition," and a letter to the Clerk of Court. Magistrate Judge Jeremiah C. Lynch entered his Findings and Recommendations on June 3, 2010. Judge Lynch found that Raskiewicz did not file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee. Raskiewicz also failed to name a correct Respondent. Judge Lynch also found that the instant petition is an unauthorized second or successive petition and that this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider it. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b); <u>Burton v. Stewart</u>, 549 U.S. 147, 149 (2007) (per curiam).

Petitioner Raskiewicz did not timely object and so has waived the right to de novo review of the record. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This Court reviews the Findings and Recommendation for clear error. <u>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v.</u> <u>Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc.</u>, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). Clear error exists if the Court is left with a "definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed." <u>United States v. Syrax</u>, 235 F.3d 422, 427 (9th Cir. 2000). I can find no clear error with Judge Lynch's recommendation (dkt #2) and therefore adopt it in full.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition (dkt #1) is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction as an unauthorized second or successive petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to enter by separate document a judgment in favor of Respondent and against Petitioner.

A certificate of appealability is DENIED. The Clerk of Court is directed to process the appeal if Raskiewicz files a notice of appeal.

Dated this <u>30</u> day of June, 2010.

V. Molloy, District Judge United States District Court 2