1	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice)
2	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 Las Vegas, NV 89101	THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice)
3	Telephone: (702) 382-7300	Three Embarcadero Center
	Facsimile: (702) 382-2755	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.393.2000
		Facsimile: 415.393.2286
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	geoff.howard@bingham.com
	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	thomas.hixson@bingham.com
6	FRED NORTON (pro hac vice)	kristen.palumbo@bingham.com
7	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice application
,	Oakland, CA 94612	to be submitted)
8	Telephone: (510) 874-1000	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)
	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)
9	sholtzman@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION
4.0	fnorton@bsfllp.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
10	kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	Redwood City, CA 94070
11		Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7114
11		dorian.daley@oracle.com
12		deborah.miller@oracle.com
		jim.maroulis@oracle.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,	
4.4	Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International	
14	Corp.	
15		
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
16		
18	DISTRICT (OF NEVADA
17		
18	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	
19	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	
	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	PLAINTIFFS ORACLE USA, INC.,
20	Plaintiffs,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
21	V.	CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
21		SEAL MOTION FOR
22	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	PRESERVATION ORDER AND
	SETH RAVIN, an individual,	DECLARATION OF KIERAN
23		RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF
	Defendants.	MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
24		ORDER
25		
25		
26		
40		
27		
27		

1	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL	
2	Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents	
3	entered by the Court on May 21, 2010 [Docket No. 55] ("Protective Order") and Rules 5.2 and	
4	26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc.	
5	and Oracle International Corporation (together "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") respectfully request that	
6	the Court order the Clerk of the Court to file under seal the Motion for Preservation Order	
7	("Motion"), the Declaration of Kieran P. Ringgenberg ("Declaration"), and Exhibits B, G, T, U,	
8	and V-DD ("Exhibits") thereto. Unredacted versions of Motion, Declaration and Exhibits were	
9	lodged under seal with the Court on August 24, 2010 [Docket #80, 84]. Redacted versions of the	
10	Motion, Declaration and Exhibits were also publicly filed on the Court's ECF website on Augus	
11	24, 2010 [Docket #82, 83.]	
12	For sealing requests relating to non-dispositive motions, such as Plaintiffs' Motion for a	
13	Preservation Order sanctions, the presumption of public access to court filings may be overcome	
14	by a showing of good cause under Rule 26(c). See Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d	
15	665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010); Kamakana v. Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). The	
16	Court has "broad latitude" under Rule 26(c) "to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of	
17	information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research,	
18	development, or commercial information." Phillips v. General Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206,	
19	1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (citations omitted).	
20	Specifically, Oracle requests that the following documents and references be sealed:	
21	(1) Transcript of the Deposition of Joseph Dones taken on August 12, 2010 regarding	
22	Rimini's information technology infrastructure and designated as Highly Confidential under the	
23	Protective Order (Exhibit B);	
24	(2) Documents produced by Rimini regarding its technology infrastructure and	
25	policies and designated Confidential and Highly Confidential [RSI00050053-7 ($\textbf{Exhibit}~\textbf{G}$) and	
26	RSIH0020000118 (Exhibit T)];	
27	(3) Attachment D to Rimini's Responses to First Set of Interrogatories identifying	
28	Rimini employees formerly employed by TomorrowNow, and designated as Confidential under	

1	the Protective Order (Exhibit U);		
2	(4) Instant messages produced by SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow,		
3	Inc. and designated as Confidential under the Protective Order (Exhibits V-DD);		
4	(5) The unredacted version of the Motion lodged with the Court that contains		
5	quotations from items (1) through (4) above.		
6	Sealing the Motion, Declaration and Exhibits is requested because the documents		
7	contains information designated by Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. ("Rimini") and Seth Ravin		
8	("Ravin") and third parties, SAP AG, SAP America, Inc. and TomorrowNow, Inc. as		
9	"Confidential" or "Highly Confidential — Attorneys Eyes' Only" under the terms of the		
10	Protective Order. The requested relief is necessary and narrowly tailored to protect the		
11	confidentiality of the commercially sensitive business information identified by the designating		
12	parties. The Protective Order provides that: "Counsel for any Designating Party may designate		
13	any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information -		
14	Attorneys' Eyes Only' under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good		
15	faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to		
15 16	faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating		
	·		
16	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating		
16 17	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential		
16 17 18	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the		
16 17 18 19	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective		
16 17 18 19 20	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added).		
16 17 18 19 20 21	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Thus, in identifying the Exhibits as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Thus, in identifying the Exhibits as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only," the designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing the		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Thus, in identifying the Exhibits as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only," the designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing the Exhibits, and Motion and Declaration referencing the Exhibits. This is a sufficient showing of		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Thus, in identifying the Exhibits as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only," the designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing the Exhibits, and Motion and Declaration referencing the Exhibits. This is a sufficient showing of good cause to permit a sealing order on a non-dispositive motion. See, e.g., Pacific Gas and		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Thus, in identifying the Exhibits as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only," the designating parties have represented that good cause exists for sealing the Exhibits, and Motion and Declaration referencing the Exhibits. This is a sufficient showing of good cause to permit a sealing order on a non-dispositive motion. See, e.g., Pacific Gas and Elec. Co. v. Lynch, 216 F. Supp. 2d 1016, 1027 (N.D. Cal. 2002).		

Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL Document 87 Filed 08/24/10 Page 4 of 4

1	under the Protective Order. Accordingly, the request to seal is narrowly tailored.		
2	For the foregoing reasons, Oracle respectfully requests that the Court find that good cause		
3	exists to file under seal, the Motion, Declaration and Exhibits.		
4			
5	DATED: August 24, 2010	BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
6			
7		By: /s/ Kieran P. Ringgenberg	
8		Kieran P. Ringgenberg Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc.,	
10		and Oracle International Corp.	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		4	
		Δ	

1	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice)
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101	KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice)
3	Telephone: (702) 382-7300	Three Embarcadero Center
4	Facsimile: (702) 382-2755	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	geoff.howard@bingham.com
	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	thomas.hixson@bingham.com
6	FRED NORTON (pro hac vice)	kristen.palumbo@bingham.com
7	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice application
,	Oakland, CA 94612	to be submitted)
8	Telephone: (510) 874-1000	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)
•	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)
9	sholtzman@bsfllp.com fnorton@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
10	kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	Redwood City, CA 94070
		Telephone: 650.506.4846
11		Facsimile: 650.506.7114
12		dorian.daley@oracle.com deborah.miller@oracle.com
12		jim.maroulis@oracle.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,	
14	Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International	
14	Corp.	
15		
16	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA
17		
18	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	
19	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	ODDED CD A NEW C
20	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	ORDER GRANTING
20		PLAINTIFFS ORACLE USA. INC
	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS ORACLE USA, INC., ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND
21	Plaintiffs, v.	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
	v.	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
21 22	•	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND
	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN
22 23	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF
22	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN
22 23	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
22232425	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
222324	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
22232425	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
2223242526	v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S MOTION TO SEAL MOTION FOR PRESERVATION ORDER AND DECLARATION OF KIERAN RINGGENBERG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRESERVATION

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle		
3	International Corporation (together "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") Motion to Seal Administrative		
4	Motion to Permit Plaintiffs to File the Motion for Preservation Order [Docket -#80] ("Motion"),		
5	the Declaration of Kieran P. Ringgenberg ("Declaration"), and Exhibits B, G, T, U, and V-DD		
6	("Exhibits") thereto [Docket # 84]. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad		
7	discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of		
8	trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R.		
9	Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been		
10	shown and good cause existing:		
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal is GRANTED.		
12	The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the Motion and		
13	Declarations and Exhibits.		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15	DATED: August 30, 2010		
16			
17	By:		
18	Hon. Peggy A. Leen United States Magistrate Judge		
19	Officed States Magistrate Judge		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
	\mathcal{L}		