UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (First Request)

21

22

23

24

25

26

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, Defendants, the United States of America, the United States Department of the Air Force, and Michael B. Donley, Secretary of the Air Force (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "United States") request that this Court extend the deadline for the United States to "file a responsive memorandum regarding" this Court's jurisdiction. . ." from July 5, 2011 to July 12, 2011. The primary reason for this

Doc. 37

request is that counsel for the United States has not been able to review and digest the several thousand pages of pleadings and papers filed in this case, coordinate with the United States Air Force, or complete research on the many jurisdictional issues raised by the parties. This motion is based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities. Respectfully submitted this 30th day of June 2011. DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney /s/ Blaine T. Welsh BLAINE T. WELSH Assistant United States Attorney

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I Introduction and Background

On June 9, 2011, the City of North Las Vegas sued Clark County Nevada and the United States. (Document No. 1) On June 16, 2011, Clark County filed its Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Document No. 8) and on June 17, 2011, filed a document styled "Suggestion that Subject Matter Jurisdiction is Wanting" (Document No. 13). The City of North Las Vegas responded to Clark County's Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order on June 20, 2011 (Document No.15). The Court heard argument on Clark County's Ex Parte Temporary Restraining Order on June 20, 2011.

Among other things, at the June 20, 2011 hearing, the Court expressed concerns as to its jurisdiction to hear this case. Based on those concerns, the parties agreed to brief the jurisdictional issues and a briefing schedule was set with deadlines for the City of North Las Vegas and Clark County, Nevada. Although the United States was named a party, it had not been served with the Complaint or any other paper in this case by the time of the June 20, 2011 hearing. As a result, the United States was not included in the briefing schedule.

After the June 20 hearing, the Court issued a Minute Order directing the City of North Las Vegas to serve the United States by June 22, 2011, and ordered the United States to "file a responsive memorandum regarding this Court's jurisdiction on or before July 5, 2011" (Document No. 17).

On June 22, 2011, a copy of the summons, complaint and the June 22, 2011 Minute Order (Document No. 17) were served on the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada.

II Good Cause Exists for the Requested Extension

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1) provides, in part:

When an act may or must be done within in a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time,

(A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; . . .

For the following reason, good cause exists to extend the July 5, 2011 deadline until July 12, 2011. The United States has acted diligently to meet the existing deadline. From the time the United States learned of the June 22, 2011 Minute Order until the present, counsel for the United States has made a concerted effort to gather information about this case and prepare the required response. Counsel has downloaded several hundred pages of pleadings, motions and papers filed in this case and has reviewed some, but not all of them. In addition, undersigned counsel has communicated with counsel for the United States Air Force ("USAF") about this case and the facts underlying it. Counsel for the USAF have provided some background material and are working to provide additional information. In addition, counsel has undertaken research on the numerous jurisdictional issues the United States is to address. Despite these efforts, significant work still needs to be done before the United States can adequately respond to the jurisdictional issues the other parties have raised.

In addition to work on this case, undersigned counsel has had to meet deadlines in a number of other cases assigned to him and has had to attend to myriad administrative duties as Civil Division Chief.

Further while it is a pleasure to celebrate Independence Day, that celebration takes away at least one day of the already short response period.

The United States respectfully submits that these reasons demonstrate good cause and amply support its request that the July 5, 2011 deadline to respond be extended to July 12, 2011.

III Consultation with Opposing Counsel

Counsel for the City of North Las Vegas do not oppose the requested extension.

Similarly, counsel for Clark County, Nevada do not oppose the requested extension but asked that counsel for the government indicate in this unopposed motion that Clark County does not

want this extension to impact the briefing on the motion to remand pending in the related case 1 2 captioned, Clark County v. City of North Las Vegas, 2:11-cv-01012-PMP-PAL. IV3 Conclusion 4 The United States certifies that this motion is not submitted solely for the purpose of 5 delay or for any other improper purpose. Rather, despite diligent efforts to meet the current deadline, the United States needs additional time to prepare the required responsive brief. For 6 7 the foregoing reasons, the current July 5, 2011 deadline should be extended to July 12, 2011. 8 Respectfully submitted this 30th day of June 2011. 9 DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney 10 11 /s/ Blaine Welsh BLAINE T. WELSH 12 Assistant United States Attorney 13 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED: 16 This m. In 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE/ 18 DATED: July 1, 2011. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I, Blaine T. Welsh, AUSA, certify that the following individual was served with the
3	<u>UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME</u> on this date by the below identified method of service:
4	Electronic Case Filing
5	Patrick G. Byrne Richard Gordon
6	Casey G. Perkins Snell & Wilmer
7	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
8	702-784-5201 FAX 702-784-5252 pbyrne@swlaw.com; rgordon@swlaw.com; cgperkins@swlaw.com
9	Attorneys for City of North Las Vegas
10	Christopher D. Figgins
11	Laura Rehfeldt Clark County District Attorney's Office
12	Civil Division 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy 5th Floor
13	P.O. Box 552215 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
14	702-455-4761 FAX 702-382-5178 christopher.figgins@ccdanv.com; laura.rehfeldt@ccdanv.com
15	Attorneys for Clark County Nevada
16	Matthew J. Christian
17	Alan J. Lefebvre Kolesar & Leatham
18	400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400 3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380
19	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 702-362-7800 FAX 702-362-9472
20	mchristian@klnevada.com; alefebvre@klnevada.com
21	Attorneys for Clark County Nevada
22	
23	DATED this 30th day of June 2011.
24	/s/ Blaine Welsh
25	BLAINE T. WELSH Assistant United States Attorney
26	