Dittmar v. City of	North Las Vegas	Do	c. 108
1	MELANIE A. HILL, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 8796 MELANIE HILL LAW PLLC		
3	1925 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134		
4	Tel: (702) 362-8500 Fax: (702) 362-8505		
5	Email: Melanie@MelanieHillLaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff Pamela Dittmar		
	Attorney for 1 turnity 1 ameta Ditimar		
6 7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	****		
11		G N 2.17 02016 LAD DNW	
12	PAMELA DITTMAR,	Case No. 2:17-cv-02916-JAD-BNW	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING NUNC PRO TUNC STIPULATION TO EXTEND	
14	v.	PLAINTIFF'S DISPOSITIVE MOTION	
15	CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation,	RESPONSE AND THE PARTIES' REPLY DEADLINES FOR ONE DAY	
16	•	(Seventh Request)	
17	Defendant.	[ECF No. 103]	
18			
19	NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Pamela Dittmar, by and through her attorneys, Melanie A.		
20	Hill and Melanie Hill Law PLLC, and Defendant, City of North Las Vegas, by and through its		
21	attorneys, R. Todd Creer, Kaitlin H. Paxton, and Kamer Zucker Abbott, who hereby stipulate that		
22	the deadline for Plaintiff to file her response to the currently pending dispositive motion be extended		1
23	one (1) judicial day from the current deadline of June 28, 2021 up to an including June 29, 2021.		
24	This is the seventh request for an extension of the dispositive motion deadline. The first		t
25	request was by stipulation to extend the dispositive motion deadline thirty (30) days from the		
26	extended discovery cutoff deadline to complete the remaining two depositions. The second request		
27	was by motion due to Plaintiff's counsel's ongoing illness with Covid-19 symptoms. The third		
28	request was by stipulation due to Plaintiff's co	ounsel's immediate family member's emergency	7

28

hospitalization for nearly one week. The fourth request was by stipulation due to Plaintiff's counsel's significant injuries from two separate accidents. The fifth request was by stipulation due to Plaintiff's counsel's significant injuries from two separate accidents to allow her to have additional diagnostic tests, pain management, and give her additional time from her original estimate on a requested extension to treat and heal. The sixth request was made by stipulation to allow Plaintiff's counsel additional time to obtain assistance with the formatting and preparation of the response exhibits and citations to the same in the response because her paralegal had a medical procedure last Friday and could not assist counsel due to a medical procedure. This seventh request is made by Stipulation and the parties have agreed to extend Plaintiff's counsel deadline to file her response, declarations, and exhibits (including audio clips counsel needs to imbed into the brief) in support of her response to motion for summary judgment an additional one (1) day due to an acute gastro illness that Plaintiff's counsel is suffering from that she informed counsel for Defendant came on suddenly in the early morning around 5:00am and gave her severe nausea and diarrhea. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that after counsel woke up and started working it appeared to have resolved, however it just came back with a vengeance around 4:30pm and Plaintiff's counsel has severe nausea, dry heaving, and diarrhea. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that the acute illness is slowing counsel down from final completion of the response and counsel needed to lie down and rest for a few hours further delaying her filing before the midnight deadline on June 28, 2021. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that even through her illness counsel is finalizing the response, declarations, exhibits, and audio clips and will file the response as soon as she is able within the next day to avoid any prejudice to Defendant CNLV who filed its response today because the parties had agreed in past extensions to move both parties' deadlines each time to avoid any prejudice to the Defendant. dispositive nature of this motion, counsel requested, and the parties stipulated, to this additional oneday extension due to Plaintiff's counsel's acute illness so Ms. Dittmar is not prejudiced in her case due to counsel's acute gastro illness.

As soon as it became apparent to counsel for Plaintiff that additional time was necessary to finalize the response, declarations, and citations to the exhibits due to her acute illness, counsel sent

8

9101112

15 16

13

14

1718

1920

22

21

2324

25

2627

28

an email to counsel for Defendant at approximately 7:00pm requesting a one (1) day extension to file after midnight. Counsel for Defendant graciously responded at approximately 10:00pm agreeing to stipulate to the requested one day extension.

In support of this Stipulation and Order, the parties state as follows:

- The current deadline to file responses to the currently pending dispositive motions is June 28, 2021. When the parties first entered into a stipulation to extend the dispositive motion deadline, it was to extend the dispositive motion deadline to thirty (30) days from the extended discovery cutoff deadline to complete the remaining two depositions. When the parties next entered into a stipulation to extend the dispositive motion deadline, it was to extend the dispositive motion deadline thirty (30) days due to Plaintiff's counsel's ongoing illness with Covid-19 symptoms. The parties then entered into a stipulation for an additional seven (7) days due to Plaintiff's counsel's family member's health emergency. The parties then stipulated to extend the dispositive motion deadline due to Plaintiff's counsel's significant injuries from two separate accidents to allow Plaintiff's counsel to have additional diagnostic tests, pain management, and additional time from her original estimate on a requested extension to treat and heal. Last Friday, the parties again stipulated to an extension to allow Plaintiff's counsel addition time to prepare seek assistance in preparing her exhibits that she needs to cite to in the response and declarations. Due to Plaintiff's counsel's continued pain from two separate accidents, she is also not able to sit and work for long periods of time and the exhibit formatting and preparation, in addition to the response preparation is very time consuming and her pain has increased due to time spent sitting and working and standing and working at her standing desk for lengthy periods of time. As a result of needing to take lengthy breaks to reduce the pain, counsel for Plaintiff sought additional time and assistance to complete the response and exhibits necessitating the prior stipulation to extend the response and reply deadlines one (1) judicial day.
- 2. Counsel for Plaintiff reached out to counsel for Defendant this evening to inform counsel that she needs one additional day to file her response, declarations, and exhibits (including audio clips counsel needs to imbed into the brief) in support of her response to motion for summary judgment due after the midnight deadline due to an acute gastro illness that Plaintiff's counsel

20

21

2526

24

2728

informed counsel for Defendant came on suddenly in the early morning around 5:00am and gave her severe nausea and diarrhea. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that after counsel woke up and started working it appeared to have resolved, however it just came back with a vengeance around 4:30pm and Plaintiff's counsel has severe nausea, dry heaving, and diarrhea. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that the acute illness is slowing counsel down from final completion of the response and counsel needed to lie down and rest for a few hours further delaying her filing before the midnight deadline on June 28, 2021. Plaintiff's counsel further informed counsel for Defendant that even through her illness counsel is finalizing the response, declarations, exhibits, and audio clips and will file the response as soon as she is able within the next day to avoid any prejudice to Defendant CNLV who filed their response today because the parties had agreed in past extensions to move both parties' deadlines each time to avoid any prejudice to the Defendant. Given the dispositive nature of this motion, counsel requested, and the parties stipulated, to this additional one-day extension due to Plaintiff's counsel's acute illness so Ms. Dittmar is not prejudiced in her case due to counsel's acute gastro illness. Additionally, due to Plaintiff's continued pain from two separate accidents, she is also not able to sit and work for long periods of time and the exhibit formatting and preparation, in addition to the response preparation is very time consuming and her pain has increased due to time spent sitting and working and standing and working at her standing desk for lengthy periods of time. As a result of needing to take lengthy breaks to reduce the pain and due to her acute gastro illness, counsel for Plaintiff needs additional time and assistance to complete the response and embed the audio exhibits necessitating this stipulation to extend the response one additional day.

- 3. Through this Stipulation, and to avoid prejudice to Defendant in preparing and filing its briefs on the same day, this stipulation extends the deadline for both parties to file their replies one additional day which is consistent with the parties' prior agreement and stipulations. The new reply deadline the parties have stipulated to is July 20, 2021.
- 4. Through this Stipulation, the parties request that the Court extend the deadline to file Plaintiff's response to Defendant CNLV's dispositive motion until June 29, 2021. Plaintiff further requests that the Court extend the deadline for both parties to file replies until July 20, 2021. No

///

other deadlines are being extended by this motion, such as the deadline for discovery and to file a motion to compel written discovery.

5. Courts in the District of Nevada have routinely held extensions of deadlines for illness and the "practicalities of life" establish good cause for the requested extension. In *Morales v. McDaniel*, District of Nevada Magistrate Judge Baldwin found good cause to grant an extension and held as follows:

"The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present to the Court a timely request for an extension before the time fixed has expired (*i.e.*, a request presented before the time then fixed for the purpose in question has expired)." Canup v. Miss. Valley Barge Line Co., 31 F.R.D. 282, 283 (D. Pa. 1962). The Canup Court explained that "the practicalities of life" (such as an attorney's "conflicting professional engagements" or personal commitments such as vacations, family activities, illnesses, or death) often necessitate an enlargement of time to comply with a court deadline. Id. Extensions of time "usually are granted upon a showing of good cause, if timely made." Creedon v. Taubman, 8 F.R.D. 268, 269 (D. Ohio 1947). The good cause standard considers a party's diligence in seeking the continuance or extension. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992).

2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 173103 (D. Nev. Oct. 3, 2019).

- 6. This Stipulation to extend Plaintiff's dispositive motion response deadline is brought in good faith, with a showing of good cause, and is not sought for any improper purpose or other purpose of delay, but to allow counsel for the Plaintiff additional time to finalize and file her response, declarations, and exhibits (including audio clips counsel needs to embed into the brief) in support of her response to motion for summary judgment until after the midnight deadline due to an acute gastro illness that came on suddenly in the early morning around 5:00am and gave her severe nausea and diarrhea and is continuing. This extension will allow counsel for Plaintiff the additional time necessary to do so in light of her medical issues and acute illness.
- 7. In accordance with LR 26-3, a stipulation to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR IA 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. Local R. 26-3. Plaintiff submits that good cause exists under the totality of the circumstances provided herein due to her medical issues, ongoing pain, and acute illness that came on early this morning necessitating this last extension until Tuesday, June 29, 2021. Additionally, the requested extension is only for one (1) additional day.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request by this Stipulation that the Court extend 1 the deadline for Plaintiff to file her response to the pending dispositive motion one (1) additional day 2 3 from the current deadline of June 28, 2021 up to and including June 29, 2021 and further requests that the Court extend the deadline to file replies to the dispositive motions from the current deadline 4 of July 19, 2021 up to and including July 20, 2021. 5 6 DATED this 28th day of June, 2021. 7 8 MELANIE HILL LAW PLLC KAMER ZUCKER ABBOTT 9 By: /s/ Melanie A. Hill By: /s/ Kaitlin H. Paxton Melanie A. Hill, Esq. (NV Bar No. 8796) R. Todd Creer (NV Bar No. 10016) 10 1925 Village Center Circle, Ste. 150 Kaitlin H. Paxton (NV Bar No. 13625) 3000 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 11 Telephone: (702) 362-8500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Facsimile: (702) 362-8505 Telephone: (702) 259-8640 12 Facsimile: (702) 259-8646 Melanie@MelanieHillLaw.com 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Pamela Dittmar kpaxton@kzalaw.com Attorneys for Defendant City of North 14 Las Vegas 15 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED NUNC PRO TUNC: 18 19 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey 20 Dated: July 1, 2021 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28