

1 **SAO**
 2 Alex J. De Castroverde
 3 Nevada Bar No. 6950
 4 Orlando De Castroverde
 5 Nevada Bar No. 7320
 6 De CASTROVERDE LAW GROUP
 7 1149 South Maryland Parkway
 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
 9 Tel. 702.383.0606
 10 Fax:702.383.8741
 11 Email: Alex@decastroverdelaw.com
 12 Email: Orlando@decastroverdelaw.com
 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

16 TAMILENE TANYA PEREZ,
 17 Plaintiff,
 18 v.
 19 TIMOTHY TWYFORD; J.B. HUNT
 20 TRANSPORT, INC.; DOES I-X, inclusive, and
 21 ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,
 22 Defendant.

CASE NO.:2:18-cv-00034-APG-VCF

STIPULATION AND ~~(PROPOSED)~~
ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY
DEADLINES

[SECOND REQUEST]

23 The undersigned, on behalf of Plaintiff, Tamiene Tanya Perez, and Defendants,
 24 Timothy Twyford and J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc., hereby stipulate to extend the remaining
 25 deadlines in the current scheduling order and discovery plan in this matter for a period
 26 of sixty (60) days for the reasons explained herein, and under Local Rule 6-1(b).

27 ///
 28 ///

I.

DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

(list individually and include date document was served)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. The Parties have conducted an FRCP 26(f) conference and have served their respective FRCP 26(a) disclosures;

2. Plaintiff's Request for Admission to Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.;

3. Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents to Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport Inc.;

4. Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.;

5. Plaintiff's Request for Admission to Defendant Timothy Twyford

6. Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents to Defendant Timothy Twyford;

7. Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant Timothy Twyford;

8. Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Tamiene Tanya Perez;

9. Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admissions to Plaintiff Tamiene Tanya Perez;

10. Defendants' First Set of Request for Production to Plaintiff Tamiene Tanya Perez;

11. Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's First Request for Admissions to Plaintiff;

12. Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's First Request for Production of Documents to Plaintiff;

13. Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

14. Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.'s Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories;

15. Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.'s First Supplemental Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories;

16. Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admission;

17. Defendant J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents;

18. Defendant Timothy Twyford's Answers to Plaintiff's Interrogatories;

19. Defendant Timothy Twyford's Answers to Plaintiff's Request for Admission;

20. Defendant Timothy Twyford's Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents;

21. Plaintiff's Deposition;

22. Defendant Timothy Twyford's Deposition;

II.

DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED

- 1. Additional written discovery;
- 2. Deposition of Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) witness;
- 3. Initial Expert Disclosures;
- 4. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures; and
- 5. Dispositive Motions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

REASON THAT DISCOVERY HAS NOT YET BEEN COMPLETED

Parties require additional time as Defendant J.B. Hunt's Motion to Quash 30(b)(6) Deposition and for Protective Order is pending.

The Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada include additional provisions relating to the extension or reopening of discovery. Specifically, Local Rule 6-1 governs requests for continuances and extensions in general, stating:

(a) Every motion requesting a continuance, extension of time, or order shortening time shall be Filed by the clerk and processed as an expedited matter. Ex parte motions and stipulations shall be governed by LR 6-2.

(b) Every motion or stipulation to extend time shall inform the court of any previous extensions granted and state the reasons for the extension requested. A request made after the expiration of the specified period shall not be granted unless the moving party, attorney, or other person demonstrates that the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect. Immediately below the title of such motion or stipulation there shall also be included a statement indicating whether it is the first, second, third, etc., requested extension, i.e.:

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTIONS (Second Request)

(c) The court may set aside any extension obtained in contravention of this rule.

(d) A stipulation or motion seeking to extend the time to file an opposition or final reply to a motion, or to extend the time fixed for hearing a motion, must state in its opening paragraph the filing date of the motion.

Local Rule 26-4 specifically refers to the extension of scheduled deadlines, stating:

Applications to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

extension. All motions or stipulations to extend discovery shall be received by the court within twenty (20) days before the discovery cut-off date or any extension thereof.

Any motion or stipulation to extend or to reopen discovery shall include:

- (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
- (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
- (c) The reasons why discovery remaining was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
- (d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.

1. No Party Will Be Prejudiced in Any Manner By an Extension of the Discovery Period.

No party will be prejudiced by an extension of the discovery deadline. Notably, both Parties agree that an extension would be beneficial. An extension will allow each party to further prepare its respective case for trial. Forcing the Parties to proceed to trial without the necessary discovery will affect every aspect of the trial. It will manifestly prejudice both sides ability to prepare and present their respective cases. See *Martel v. County of Los Angeles*, 34 F.3d 731, 735 (9th Cir. 1994).

2. The Movant Acted in Good Faith at All Times.

Here, both Parties are agreeable to the extension and have acted in good faith to request the same. The Parties have no intent, nor reason, to delay the resolution. Both Parties eagerly looked forward to attempting to resolve this matter.

///
///
///

V.

PROPOSED NEW DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Amend Pleadings	November 6, 2018
Interim Status Report	November 6, 2018
Discovery Cut-off	January 4, 2019
Expert Disclosures	November 6, 2018
Expert Rebuttal	December 7, 2018
Dispositive Motions	February 8, 2019
Joint Pretrial Order	March 8, 2019

DATED this 24th day of August, 2018.
DE CASTROVERDE LAW GROUP

DATED this 24th day of August 2018.
THORPE SHWER, P.C.

By: /s/ David Menocal
David Menocal
Nevada Bar No. 13191
1149 S. Maryland Pkwy.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Attorney for Plaintiff

By: /s/ William Thorpe
William L. Thorpe
Arizona Bar No. 005641
3200 North Central Ave., Suite 1560
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Attorney for Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 8-24-2018

If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.