	Case 2:19-cv-01129-JAD-BNW Document 35 Filed 05/21/20 Page 1 of 6							
1	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK							
2	Robert P. Spretnak, Esq. (Bar No. 5135) 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200							
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Telephone: (702) 454-4900							
4	Fax: (702) 938-1055 Email: bob @ spretnak.com							
5	Attorney for Plaintiff							
6	CITY OF HENDERSON Nicholas G. Vaskov, Esq. (Bar No. 8298)							
7	Brian R. Reeve, Esq. (Bar No. 10197) Kristina E. Gilmore, Esq. (Bar No. 11564)							
8	240 Water Street, MSC 144 Henderson, Nevada 89015							
9	Telephone: 702-267-1231 Fax: 702-267-1201							
10	Email: brian.reeve @ cityofhenderson.com Attorneys for Defendant							
11								
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA							
14	DANIEL S. KING, Case No.: 2:19-cv-01129-JAD-BNW							
15	Plaintiff,							
16	vs. STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY							
17	CITY OF HENDERSON, (Second Request)							
18	Defendant.							
19	Plaintiff DANIEL S. KING and Defendant CITY OF HENDERSON, by and through their							
20	counsel of record, hereby STIPULATE AND AGREE that the current discovery cutoff date of <b>June</b>							
21								
22	<b>12, 2020</b> , be continued for a period of ninety (90) days up to and including <b>September 10, 2020</b> .							
23	This is the second extension to the discovery period that has been requested in this matter. The							
24	original discovery period, as set forth in ECF No. 23, set the discovery cut-off at April 13, 2020.							
2 <del>.</del> 25	1. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE:							
25 26	Plaintiff DANIEL S. KING and Defendant CITY OF HENDERSON, each made their initial							
	disclosures required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A), on December 16, 2019, in accordance with							
27	the Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 23):							
28	1. Plaintiff served his First Supplement to Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures on January 17,							
FFICES OF PRETNAK CORFORATION AVENUE								

I

## Case 2:19-cv-01129-JAD-BNW Document 35 Filed 05/21/20 Page 2 of 6

	2020. Plaintiff served his Second Supplement to Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures on May 8, 2020.
2	Plaintiff served his Third Supplement to Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures on May 15, 2020.

3 2. Defendant served "City of Henderson's First Supplement to Its Initial Disclosures"
4 on March 10, 2020.

The parties entered into a Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 32), which was approved by
this Court on March 3, 2020. An agreement on the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order was a
prerequisite for full production of requested and relevant documentation in this case, as relevant
material in this case would include the contents of public employee personnel files and internal
affairs investigations of the City of Henderson Police Department.

Plaintiff propounded the following written discovery to Defendant:

11 1. "Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents," which were served
 by mail on January 17, 2020, and amended on January 18, 2020; Defendant served "City of
 Henderson's Response to Plaintiff's Amended First Set of Requests for Production of Documents"
 on March 10, 2020;

2. "Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories," which were served by mail on January 18,
 2020; Defendant served "City of Henderson's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories"
 on February 20, 2020;

3. "Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admissions," which were served by mail on
 April 3, 2020; Defendant served "City of Henderson's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests
 for Admissions" on April 30, 2020;

4. "Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents," which were served
by mail on April 3, 2020; Defendant served "City of Henderson's Response to Plaintiff's Second
Set of Requests for Production of Documents" on April 30, 2020; and

- 24 5. "Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents," which were served
  25 by mail on April 20, 2020; Defendant's responses are not yet due
  - Defendant propounded the following written discovery to Plaintiff:

 "Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff Daniel S. King," which were served by mail on February 26, 2020; Plaintiff served

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 8275 S. EASTERN AVENUE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123

26

27

28

10

## Case 2:19-cv-01129-JAD-BNW Document 35 Filed 05/21/20 Page 3 of 6

1	"Objections and Responses to Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Requests for Production				
2	of Documents to Plaintiff Daniel S. King" on May 8, 2020, and, subsequently, "Amended Objections				
3	and Responses to Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents				
4	to Plaintiff Daniel S. King" on May 15, 2020; and				
5	2. "Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Daniel S.				
6	King," which also were served by mail on February 26, 2020; Plaintiff served "Objections to				
7	Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Daniel S. King," on May 8,				
8	2020, and "Objections and Responses to Defendant City of Henderson's First Set of Interrogatories				
9	to Plaintiff Daniel S. King," on May 15, 2020.				
10	The parties currently are in discussions over disputes regarding the discovery responses. It				
11	is premature to determine whether or not these disputes can be resolved without court intervention.				
12	2. DISCOVERY YET TO BE COMPLETED:				
13	Plaintiff intends to take the following depositions:				
14	1. David Burns;				
15	2. Joe Cabanban;				
16	3. Michael Denning;				
17	4. Wendy Medura Krincek, Esq.; and				
18	5. Latesha Watson;				
19	Names made be added to, or omitted from, this list, based on depositions taken and the				
20	review of the document production.				
21	Defendant intends to take the deposition of Plaintiff Daniel S. King and may schedule other				
22	depositions following a review of Plaintiff's responses received to its discovery requests.				
23	3. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT YET BEEN COMPLETED:				
24	The parties got a late start on the discovery process due Plaintiff initially filing his complaint				
25	pro se. The stipulated discovery plan was filed six weeks into the originally-requested 180-day				
26	discovery period. Only four months remained of the original discovery period by the time the parties				
27	made their respective initial disclosures. While the parties understood that a 180-day likely would				
28	be insufficient because of the large number of potential witnesses to be deposed, the parties decided				

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 8275 S. EASTERN AVENUE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123

Ш

Ш

1 to opt for the standard 180-day discovery period at the onset and to request additional time based on 2 what has transpired.

3

Discovery has not been completed due to a number of issues that have arisen:

- 4 1. The limited shutdown of operations due to the COVID-19 coronavirus, which has 5 affected law firms, governmental agencies, and the courts, has slowed down the discovery process 6 in this case. Work has proceeded on this case throughout the shutdown period, but it has proceeded 7 much more slowly than it would have during ordinary times.

8 2. Defendant filed "Defendant City of Henderson's Motion to Dismiss, or in the 9 Alternative, for a Stay Pending Arbitration" (ECF No. 9) on October 14, 2019. In response, Plaintiff 10 filed not only an opposition (ECF No. 17), but also "Plaintiff's Countermotion for Leave of Court to File First Amended Complaint" (ECF No. 18). Defendant withdrew its motion to compel 11 12 arbitration aspect of "Defendant City of Henderson's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for 13 a Stay Pending Arbitration" (ECF No. 29). However, the underlying motion to dismiss the matter 14 on the merits, and the countermotion for leave to amend, are still pending before this Court. Because 15 of the pending dispositive motion, Defendant has not filed an answer or enunciated defenses. The 16 fact that Defendant has not yet filed an Answer, along with the fact that there has not yet been a 17 ruling on Plaintiff's countermotion for leave to amend his complaint, means that the parties have not 18 yet fully established the claims and defenses that are at issue in this matter. In particular, whether 19 the Court allows Plaintiff to proceed on a claim for relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1981, which is a claim 20 that was newly-pled in the proposed First Amended Complaint, will substantially affect the scope 21 of depositions in this case. The resolution of this countermotion also will affect one of the discovery 22 issues that the parties are discussing that has arisen from "Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for 23 Production of Documents" and Defendant's responses to that set of requests.

24 25

26

27

28

3. A large number of individuals with knowledge of the material allegations in the complaint were identified by Plaintiff pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A). Once Defendant files its answer and identifies its defenses, and once written responses to the discovery requests made under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 are made, the number of individuals who may need to be deposed may expand beyond that set forth above.

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK FESSIONAL CORPORA 275 S. EASTERN AVENUE Suite 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123

	Case 2	2:19-cv	-01129-JAD-BNW Document 35 Filed 05/21/20 Page 5 of 6			
1	4.	REVI	SED DISCOVERY PLAN:			
2		1.	Discovery Cut-Off Date: September 10, 2020.			
3		2.	Dispositive Motions: The date for filing dispositive motions shall be not later than			
4	October 13, 2020, 30 days after the discovery cut-off date. <sup>1</sup> In the event that the discovery period					
5	is extended from the discovery cut-off date set forth in this Stipulation and Order to Extend					
6	Discovery (Second Request), the date for filing dispositive motions shall be extended for the same					
7	duratio	on, to b	e not later than 30 days from the subsequent discovery cut-off date.			
8		3.	Pretrial Order: The date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be not later than			
9	November 12, 2020, 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions. In the event that					
10	dispos	dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until 30				
11	days after decision on the dispositive motions or until further order of the court.					
12		4.	Additional Extensions of the Discovery Period: The last day for the parties to file			
13	their N	Aotion :	and/or Stipulation to Extend Discovery shall be August 20, 2020, twenty (21) days			
14	prior t	prior to the revised discovery cut-off.				
15		5.	Any discovery deadline not extended in accordance with the Revised Discovery Plan			
16	set for	th abov	e shall remain controlled by the Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF			
17	No. 23	8), as ap	pproved by the Court on December 3, 2019.			
18						
19						
20						
21						
22		••••				
23		••••				
24						
25						
26						
27	<sup>1</sup> October 10, 2020, the thirtieth day following the end of the discovery period, is a Saturday.					
28	With Monday, October 12, 2020, being a federal holiday, the deadline would be moved to Tuesday, October 13, 2020.					
FFICES OF PRETNAK CORPORATION RN AVENUE 200						

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK A PROFESSIONAL CORFORATION 8275 S. EASTERN AVENUE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123

1	No trial date has yet been ordered.				
2					
3	DATED: May 20, 2020.	DATED: May 20, 2020.			
4	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK	CITY OF HENDERSON			
5	By: <u>/s/ Robert P. Spretnak</u> Robert P. Spretnak, Esq.	By: <u>/s/ Brían R. Reeve</u> Nicholas G. Vaskov, Esq.			
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	Brian R. Reeve, Esq. Kristina E. Gilmore, Esq.			
7					
8	8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	Attorneys for Defendant 240 Water Street, MSC 144 Henderson, Nevada 89015			
9					
10					
11	IT IS SO ORDERED				
12	DATED: May 21, 2020				
13					
14	- 1 - 0				
15	Benbweren				
16	BRENDA WEKSLER				
17	7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
18 19					
					20
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 8275 S. EASTERN AVENUE SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123					