2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). A party moving for an amendment to a scheduling order must therefore show that the scheduling order imposes deadlines that have become unworkable notwithstanding its diligent efforts to comply with the schedule, and that it was diligent in seeking the amendment once it became apparent that extensions were necessary. Jackson v. Laureate, Inc., 186 F.R.D. 605, 608 (E.D. Cal. 1999).

Pursuant to Nevada District Court Local Rule 26-4, a motion or stipulation to extend a discovery deadline or to reopen discovery must include: (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed; (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed; (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and (d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.

II.

BACKGROUND

This case arises out of an alleged slip-and-fall incident at the CVS Store in Las Vegas, Nevada (Store No. 8798). Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against CVS, alleging causes of action for negligence. Plaintiff alleges that on December 20, 2017, as he was exiting the CVS store, an advertisement sign had fallen onto the ground due to a gust of wind. Plaintiff alleges he slipped on the sign leading to his injuries as described in his Complaint.

III.

STIPULATION & DISCUSSION

All counsel has been diligent in completing discovery and familiarizing themselves with the facts and issues. However, with the delays from the COVID quarantine all required discovery was delayed. In the interim, the parties have engaged in settlement discussions. As such, the parties would like to avoid potentially unnecessary discovery expenses and preserve judicial resources while potentially moving toward a mutual settlement agreement. Thus, it is now anticipated that the current discovery deadlines will be unworkable.

2

27 ///

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition, a trial has not yet been set and is not imminent; (2) there is no party opposing the request; (3) and no party has expressed prejudice. Therefore, pursuant to the foregoing FRCP 16(b)(4) and Local Rule 26-4 this stipulation is warranted and justified by good cause.

A. Discovery Completed To Date

- 1. Both Plaintiff and CVS have made/served their FRCP 26(f) Disclosures and continue to provide supplements thereto.
- 2. Plaintiff has propounded written discovery and Defendant has responded to said written discovery and provided supplements in relation thereto.
 - 3. Defendant is in the process of obtaining Plaintiff's medical records.

В. Discovery Remaining

A substantial amount of discovery remains to be completed in this matter. Defendant is still in the process of independently obtaining Plaintiff's medical records. The depositions of Plaintiff Atom Miller and Defendant CVS' 30(b)(6) witness must still be taken; as well as any fact witness deposition that may arise from party depositions. Experts still need to complete their reviews/inspections, as well as any additional necessary written discovery that may arise in the normal course of discovery.

C. The Reasons Why Discovery Remaining Was Not Completed

Due to the COVID-19 outbreak most discovery was unable to be safely accomplished, to include site inspections and in-person depositions. During the quarantine period both parties were actively engaging in settlement discussions and believe that a settlement is a potential outcome at this time. Additionally, both parties have had delays with timely acquiring medical and billing records from providers who have also been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The parties are requesting a three month extension to allow them the necessary time to continue with settlement discussions prior to the expert disclosure deadline and the accrual of additional litigation expenses.

3

///

CC-26579

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For these good causes shown, the parties propose the following ninety (90) day extension to the Scheduling Order to fully complete discovery and avoid additional requests to extend the same. The deadlines are based on the current state of settlement discussions and allowing for sufficient time to safely notice and take the depositions of Defendants 30(b)(6) witness and Plaintiff, while also providing for additional time for the parties expert to review and submit their reports should settlement discussions prove unsuccessful.

D. **Proposed Amended Scheduling Order**

<u>Deadline</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Interim Status Report	September 10, 2020	December 10, 2020
Initial Expert Disclosure	September 10, 2020	December 10, 2020
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	October 12, 2020	January 11, 2021
Close of Discovery	November 10, 2020	February 10, 2021
Dispositive Motions	December 12, 2020	March 10, 2021
Joint Pre-Trial Order	January 11, 2021	April 12, 2021

THE FOREGOING IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED.

Dated this 1 st day of September, 2020.	Dated this 10 th day of September, 2020.
--	---

ADAM SMITH LAW **ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS**

/s/ Christian A. Miles	/s/ LeAnn Sanders			
Christian A. Miles, Esq.	LeAnn Sanders, Esq.			
Nevada Bar No.: 013193	Nevada Bar No.: 000390			
6130 Elton Ave.	Courtney Christopher, Esq.			
Las Vegas, NV 89107	Nevada Bar No.: 012717			
A 44 f D1 4:ff	11C vada Bai 110 012/1/			

Attorneys for Plaintiff 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Ste. 200 ATOM MILLER

Las Vegas, NV 89149 Attorneys for Defendant NEVADA CVS PHARMACY, LLC

> If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

Case 2:19-cv-01554-RFB-VCF Document 28 Filed 09/10/20 Page 5 of 6

1	Atom Miller vs. Nevada CVS Pharmacy, LLC
2	Case No.: 2:19-cv-01554-RFB-VCF Stipulation to Extend Discovery and Amend Discovery Scheduling Order (3 rd Request
3	<u>ORDER</u>
4	Pursuant to the stipulation of the appearing parties, and good cause appearing therefore
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated this 10th day of September, 2020.
7	
8	Contache
9	United States Magistrate Judge
10	a milea states magistrate vauge
11	Respectfully submitted by,
12	ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
13	
14	By:/s/ LeAnn Sanders LeAnn Sanders, Esq.
15	Nevada Bar No.: 000390 Courtney Christopher, Esq.
16	Nevada Bar No.: 012717
17	6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89149
18	Attorneys for Defendant NEVADA CVS PHARMACY, LLC
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

5

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
LAWYERS
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PKWY STE 200
LAS VEGAS, NV 89149
(702) 384-7000

CC-26579

DISCOVERY SCHEDULING ORDER (THIRD REQUEST), to the following:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	Pu	rsuant to	FRC	P 5,	I hereby certify th	at I a	m an emplo	yee of ALVER	SON TA	AYLOR &
SANI	DER	S and th	nat on	the	10 th day of Septe	mber,	2020, I car	used to be serve	ed via (CM/ECF a
true a	and	correct	сору	of	STIPULATION	ТО	EXTEND	DISCOVERY	AND	AMEND

Adam D. Smith, Esq. Christian A. Miles, Esq. ADAM SMITH LAW 6130 Elton Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89107 adam@adamsmithlaw.com christian@adamsmithlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

An Employee of ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS

o:\cases\26579\pleadings\sao to ext disc 03.doc