Pursuant to LR 26-3 and the scheduling order (**Doc. 8**) in this matter, Plaintiff RAUL HERRERA, by and through his attorneys of record, the law firm GREENMAN GOLDBERG RABY & MARTINEZ, and Defendant ARAMARK CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, LLC, incorrectly named in the complaint as ARAMARK SERVICES, INC., (collectively "the Parties") by and through its attorneys, LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP (collectively "the Parties") hereby respectfully submit their Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Discovery (Second Request) pursuant Rules 6(b) and 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-3.

This is the Parties' Second Request for an Extension of Time, and the same is not brought for purposes of delay, but rather for the sole purpose of allowing the parties to diligently and

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

adequately prepare their respective cases during ongoing settlement discussions or trial.

This stipulation is brought in compliance with LR 26-3 as it is filed 20 days before the expiration of Plaintiff's Initial Expert Disclosure deadline. Due to certain complexities in this case, and in particular, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and resulting governmental and Court precautionary restrictions, the parties jointly request a 90-day extension of the deadline for plaintiff's initial expert disclosure, defendant's initial expert disclosures, rebuttal expert disclosures, and deadline to file motion(s) to add parties or amend pleadings as detailed herein.

REASONS WHY THE DISCOVERY REMAINING WAS NOT COMPLETED WITHIN THE DEADLINES CONTAINED IN THE DISCOVERY SCHEDULING ORDER

The extension is sought for the following reasons:

The parties acknowledge that they must be diligent in continuing discovery when they are better able to and have moved discovery forward, however, the COVID-19 slowed down the normal time it takes to respond to written discovery as people were working from home and related issues that negatively impacted the situation. Nevertheless, good cause exists to extend the discovery deadlines as the Parties would like to engage in meaningful discovery. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, there are certain limitations regarding deponents and their availability for deposition. Also, certain discovery activities are impeded by the social distancing, travel restrictions and other requirements currently being implemented by federal, state and local governments. (see U.S. Dist. Ct. NV Temporary General Orders 2020-03, 2020-04 and 2020-05),

Nevada Governor Sisolak declared a state of emergency due to COVID-19. The Nevada State Courts have subsequently issued numerous Administrative Orders indicating that the COVID-19 emergency "as constituting 'good cause' and 'excusable neglect' warranting the extension of time on non-essential civil case types." (*See* Eighth Judicial District Court Administrative Order 20-09 and Administrative Order 20-13). The Nevada Supreme Court has also recommended suspending all jury trials and suggested that the current COVID-19 emergency constitutes both "good cause" and also "excusable neglect" warranting extensions in non-essential

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW civil cases, such as the present case. (*See* Nev. Sup. Ct. AO-0013, at p.2 ¶2 and p.6 ¶8). Thus, moving the discovery deadlines back as requested herein is a reasonable request as COVID-19 has slowed down and/or impacted almost every aspect of life and it has delayed completion of discovery on the current schedule.

Furthermore, essential information must be obtained that will greatly impact this case, for instance, Plaintiff's counsel is still continuing on working to obtain surveillance video of the subject incident from an entity that is not a party to this litigation. This video is vital to this case as it will clarify the events leading up to and after the subject incident that will directly affect ongoing settlement discussions. In addition, discovery, deposition, motion practice, and trial preparation as well as the ongoing settlement discussions hinge on the events captured by the surveillance video and the parties would like that information before conducting depositions.

To date, the parties have exchanged documents disclosures and supplemented mandatory disclosures as needed. Defendant has also propounded discovery, and Plaintiff has responded to written discovery. Defendant granted Plaintiff multiple extensions to respond to written discovery. Plaintiff has also propounded written discovery to Defendant and Plaintiff granted multiple extensions to Defendant to respond to written discovery. The aforementioned COVID-19 pandemic slowed down the entire process in responding to discovery. Defendant timely responded to Plaintiff's written discovery but the COVID-19 pandemic caused delays in responding to Plaintiff's written discovery. Currently, depositions have not been scheduled due to the recent COVID-19 preventative restrictions. Production and receipt of the aforementioned surveillance video is also in progress.

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

	l	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED to by the Parties that the discovery deadlines in this matter be continued for a period of 90 days to allow the parties additional time to complete discovery, retain and disclose experts and allow parties additional time to continue ongoing settlement discussions. This additional time will also account for the current COVID-19 preventative restrictions and any potential future preventative actions taken by federal, state and local governments should they be implemented.

STATEMENT SPECIFYING THE DISCOVERY THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

- 1. The parties participated in the Fed.R.Civ.P 26(f) conference;
- Parties have made their disclosures and supplements pursuant to Fed.R. Civ. P.
 26.1(a)(1);
- 3. Defendant propounded written discovery to Plaintiff.
- 4. Plaintiff responded to Defendant's written discovery.
- 5. Plaintiff propounded written discovery to Defendant.
- 6. Defendant responded to Defendant's written discovery.
- 7. Plaintiff has issued written discovery requesting production of materials from entities that are not a party to this case

SPECIFIC DESCRIPTON OF DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE DONE

- 1. Discovery response and productions from entities that are not a party to this lawsuit;
- 2. Plaintiff's deposition is still pending;
- 3. Designation of expert witnesses;
- 4. Designation of rebuttal expert witnesses;
- 5. An Independent Medical Examination of Plaintiff;
- 6. Fact and witness depositions will be taken including the following:
 - A. Plaintiff;
 - B. Plaintiff's treating physicians;
 - C. Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) witnesses;



26

27

28

- D. Fact witnesses; and
- E. Expert witnesses
- 7. Additional follow up written discovery.

The Parties are diligently moving forward with discovery. The Parties hereby request an extension of discovery deadlines and now respectfully request this Honorable Court grant this joint request to move the deadline for discovery back. The Parties propose additional Interim Status Reports be set to keep the Court apprised of discovery progress as detailed herein.

The current deadline for Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure of Experts is Monday, August 10, 2020. Accordingly, this request is being brought 20 days prior to that date. Here, good cause exists because the parties have been diligent in conducting discovery. However, additional time is needed to complete the investigation in this matter and other related discovery and to account for the current COVID-19 environment and ongoing protective measures.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

As a result of the above, it is requested that the discovery deadlines be continued 90 days from their present deadlines as follows along with the addition of multiple additional joint interim status reports to keep the Court apprised of discovery progress:

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

	Current Date	Proposed Date
■ 1 st Joint Interim Status Reports	03/20/2020	Completed
■ 2 nd Joint Interim Status Report	09/17/2020	12/15/2020
■ 3 rd Joint Interim Status Report	11/02/2020	02/01/2021
 Amend Pleadings/Add Parties 	08/10/2020	11/09/2020
■ Plaintiff's Initial Expert Disclosure	08/10/2020	11/09/2020
■ Defendant's Initial Expert Disclosure	09/10/2020	12/08/2020
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	10/12/2020	01/11/2021
■ Discovery Cut-off	12/09/2020	03/08/2021
Dispositive Motions	01/07/2021	04/07/2021

 Joint Pretrial Order 1 04/08/2021 07/07/2021 2 **Extensions or Modifications of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order:** 3 Any stipulation or motion must be made no later than 21 days before the subject deadline. 4 Requests to extend discovery deadlines must comply fully with LR 26-3. 5 **CONCLUSION** 6 Based on the foregoing the Parties respectfully request that this Honorable Court approve 7 this Second Stipulation to Extend the Time for Discovery. 8 9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED 10 DATED this 25th day of June, 2020. DATED this 25th day of June, 2020. 11 GREENMAN, GOLDBERG, RABY & LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH 12 **MARTINEZ** LLP 13 14 /s/ William T. Martin /s/ Steven Abbott 15 GABRIEL A. MARTINEZ, ESQ. STEVEN ABBOTT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 326 Nevada Bar #10303 **16** STEVEN FOREMASTER, ESO. DILLON G. COIL, ESO. Nevada Bar #10350 Nevada Bar No. 11541 17 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 WILLIAM T. MARTIN, ESQ. Las Vegas, NV 89118 18 Nevada Bar No. 2534 Attorney for Defendant 2700 s. Maryland Pkwy, Ste. 100 19 Las Vegas, NV 89109 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

6

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01594-GMN-DJA 1 Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Second Request) 2 3 **ORDER** 4 Based upon the Stipulation of the Parties hereto, and with good cause appearing therefore, 5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Stipulation to Extend herein above is hereby Granted. 6 26th day of June, 2020 DATED: this 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11 **NOTE - The Local Rules as 12 amended on 4/17/2020 Respectfully Submitted By: 13 eliminated former Local Rule 26-3's requirement for Interim LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 14 Status Reports. Therefore, the /s/ Steven Abbott 15 parties are not required to submit an Interim Status **16** STEVEN B. ABBOTT, ESQ. Report. The parties are Nevada Bar No. 010303 17 STEVEN FOREMASTER, ESQ. directed to review the revised Nevada Bar No. 10350 local rules for further 18 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 changes.** 19 Attorneys for Defendant Aramark Correctional Services, LLC (incorrectly named in complaint as Aramark Services, Inc.) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

28

Rainey, Sherry

From: Abbott, Steven

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 6:02 PM **To:** 'William Martin'; Foremaster, Steven

Cc: Rainey, Sherry **Subject:** RE: Herrera File

Thanks Will

Best

Steven

From: William Martin [mailto:wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 5:23 PM

To: Foremaster, Steven

Cc: Rainey, Sherry; Abbott, Steven **Subject:** [EXT] RE: Herrera File

Yes you have my consent to attach my signature to the SAO.



Will Martin

Associate Attorney

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109











From: Foremaster, Steven < Steven. Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 4:33 PM

To: William Martin <wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com>

Cc: Rainey, Sherry <Sherry.Rainey@lewisbrisbois.com>; Abbott, Steven <Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com>;

Foremaster, Steven < Steven. Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com >

Subject: FW: Herrera File

Will,

The revised SAO is now attached. Please review and let us know asap if we can us your e-signature on same.

Foremaster

From: Foremaster, Steven

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 4:27 PM

To: 'William Martin'

Cc: Rainey, Sherry; Abbott, Steven; Foremaster, Steven

Subject: RE: Herrera File

Will,

Here is the prior email with the revised SAO. Please let us know we can use your e-signature on same so we can get this filed today. Thanks.

Foremaster

From: Foremaster, Steven

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 3:15 PM

To: 'William Martin'

Cc: Rainey, Sherry; Abbott, Steven; Foremaster, Steven

Subject: RE: Herrera File

Will,

Attached is the revised edition reflecting consistent reference to LR 26-3 and LR IC 6.1 for your review and approval.

Foremaster

From: William Martin [mailto:wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:52 PM **To:** Abbott, Steven; Foremaster, Steven

Cc: Rainey, Sherry

Subject: [EXT] RE: Herrera File

26.4 - my typing isn't keeping up with my thoughts. Call me if you are confused. Sorry



Will Martin

Associate Attorney

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109









From: William Martin

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:51 PM

To: 'Abbott, Steven' < Steven 'Foremaster, Steven'

<Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>

Cc: 'Rainey, Sherry' < Sherry.Rainey@lewisbrisbois.com >

Subject: RE: Herrera File

I meant it says 26.6 erroneously in some places. 26.4 is about responding to written discovery.

Case 2:19-cv-01594-GMN-DJA Document 16 Filed 06/26/20 Page 10 of 12



Will Martin

Associate Attorney

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109









From: William Martin

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:50 PM

To: Abbott, Steven <Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com>; Foremaster, Steven <Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>

Cc: Rainey, Sherry < Sherry.Rainey@lewisbrisbois.com>

Subject: RE: Herrera File

I just remembered the local rule for extension is 26-3 – some places in the SAO it was listed as 16.4



Will Martin

Associate Attorney

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109











From: Abbott, Steven <Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:42 PM

To: William Martin <wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com>; Foremaster, Steven <<u>Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com</u>>

Cc: Rainey, Sherry <Sherry.Rainey@lewisbrisbois.com>

Subject: RE: Herrera File

Thank you Will

Best

Steven

From: William Martin [mailto:wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:37 PM To: Abbott, Steven; Foremaster, Steven

Subject: [EXT] RE: Herrera File

External Email

You have my approval to include my electronic signature on this SAO extending discovery. Thanks.

Case 2:19-cv-01594-GMN-DJA Document 16 Filed 06/26/20 Page 11 of 12



Will Martin

Associate Attorney

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109









From: Abbott, Steven <Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 2:08 PM

To: Foremaster, Steven <Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>; William Martin <wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com>

Subject: RE: Herrera File

Importance: High

Good afternoon Will:

Please find attached the proposed SAO to extend discovery in the above-referenced matter as we discussed yesterday. Please contact me with any questions or changes, - if none please provide consent to add your electronic signature.

Best

Steven



Steven Abbott Partner

Steven.Abbott@lewisbrisbois.com

T: 702.693.4370 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

From: Foremaster, Steven

Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2020 5:36 PM **To:** 'wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com' **Cc:** Abbott, Steven; Foremaster, Steven

Subject: Herrera File

Will,

Would you be willing to email me the Word version of the First Request to Extend Discovery Deadlines your office filed back in April?

Sincerely,



Steven L. Foremaster Attorney

Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com

T: 702.693.4304 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

- * * * This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.
- * * * This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.
- * * * This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.