1	ROBERT W. FREEMAN						
2	Nevada Bar No. 3062 <u>Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com</u>						
2	FRANK A. TODDRE, II						
	Frank.Toddre@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMTH LLP						
4	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600						
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383						
6	FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Defendant						
7	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Comp	any					
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
9							
10		A, SOUTHERN DIVISION					
11							
12	GINA CASTRONOVO-FLIHAN,	CASE NO. 2:20-cv-1197-JCM-DJA					
13	Plaintiff,	JOINT PRE-TRIAL ORDER					
14	VS.						
15	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign						
_	company; AND DOES I through V, inclusive						
16 17	Defendants.						
18	Following pretrial proceedings in this cas	se, pursuant to Local Rule 16-3 and 16-4, IT IS SO					
19	ORDERED:						
20	I. <u>STATEMENT OF ACTION</u>						
21	This is an action for Breach of Contract u	under Nevada's common law. While the complaint					
22	originally held causes of action for Breach of the	Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, and for					
23	violations of NRS § 686A.310, those causes o	f action were dismissed by a Partial Motion for					
24	Summary Judgement granted by this Court. ¹						
25	The issues for trial are the allegations of	State Farm's unreasonable and improper conduct					
26							
27							
28	¹ ECF 54.						
	131991983.1						

1				
1	in breaching the insurance policy with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's damages, if any.			
2	A. <u>Plaintiff's Contentions</u>			
3	1.	Plaintiff performed all conditions of the insurance policy.		
4	2.	Plaintiff was owed benefits under the insurance policy.		
5	3.	Defendant failed to provide the insurance benefits due and owing under the		
6	insurance policy.			
7	4.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by manufacturing reasons to deny		
8	the insurance benefi	ts due and owing to Plaintiff under the insurance policy.		
9	5.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by making the claims process an		
10	adversarial or comp	etitive process.		
11	6.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by relying upon insufficient,		
12	speculative and/or b	iased information.		
13	7.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to acknowledge and act		
14	reasonable upon cor	nmunication with respect to Plaintiff's claim under the insurance policy.		
15	8.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to adopt and implement		
16	reasonable standard	s for the prompt investigation and processing of claims.		
17	9.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to promptly equate and		
18	communicate the ev	aluations to Plaintiff.		
19	10.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer to Plaintiff what		
20	was owed.			
21	11.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to communicate with		
22	Plaintiff and perform	n an investigation as to her general damages for the evaluation.		
23	12.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by reducing Plaintiff's claim by		
24	improperly basing h	er claim upon Medicare values for medical treatment through a claims software		
25	system.			
26	13.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying Plaintiff's claim for the		
27	full policy limits.			
28	14.	Defendant breached the insurance policy by making misrepresentations to		
		2		
I	1 121001092 1			

1 Plaintiff.

2 15. Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its investigation and
3 evaluation of the claim.

4 16. Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying the claim before
5 completing an evaluation.

6 17. Defendant breached the insurance policy by training its adjusters to deny
7 benefits by making unreasonably low offers that are below the evaluations.

8 18. Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to communicate its9 evaluation to Plaintiff.

10 19. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to provide an explanation
11 of its offer and evaluation to Plaintiff.

12 20. Defendant breached the insurance policy by misrepresenting and improperly
13 asserting that the in-person meeting between its adjuster and Plaintiff has to take place in a public
14 library.

15 21. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider the new
16 information of Plaintiff's general damages after the in-person interview and increase the value of
17 Plaintiff's claim.

18 22. Defendant breached its promise for the bargain that when the insured,
19 Plaintiff, was in need, Defendant, as the insurance company, will be there to help like a Good
20 Neighbor.

21 23. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to pay Plaintiff a portion
22 of the policy benefits commonly known as the impasse payment or undisputed amount.

23 24. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full value of
24 the evaluations for the owed policy benefits.

25 25. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give equal
26 considerations to Plaintiff.

27 26. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to comply with policies
28 and procedures in retaining medical experts.



1	27. Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its retention of			
2	experts.			
3	28. Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to consider all of			
4	Plaintiff's medical expenses without any medical expert opinion and/or notations in the claim file			
5	as to the basis for the denial.			
6	29. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider any future			
7	general damages.			
8	30. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to handle the claim in			
9	accordance with its own policies and procedures.			
10	31. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full authority			
11	for the benefits owed to Plaintiff.			
12	32. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give a prompt and			
13	forthright explanation to Plaintiff as to the company's position with respect to the claim.			
14	33. Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to conduct a diligent			
15	search for facts as promptly as possible.			
16	34. Defendant breached the insurance policy by creating false or fictitious issues			
17	to avoid providing and/or paying benefits due and owing to Plaintiff.			
18	35. Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of an attorney in prosecution			
19	of the Complaint, incurring expensive legal fees, court costs, and for fees for other professionals for			
20	which Defendant is responsible			
21	B. <u>Defendants' Contentions</u>			
22	Defendant contends that they did not breach the subject insurance policy contract, Policy			
23	Number #136 2037-F22-28.			
24	More specifically, Defendant contends the following:			
25	1. Prior to the subject accident in 2019, Plaintiff experienced a separate motor			
26	vehicle accident related injury when she was rear-ended in March 2017, and experienced neck pain			
27	radiating to her arms, shoulder pain, headaches, and low back pain radiating to her legs.			
28	2. Said third-party tortfeasor, Mr. Bacon, was insured under a liability policy			

1 under Farmer's Insurance.

3. 2 Plaintiff Castronovo-Flihan's complaint fails to state a cause of action against 3 these answering defendants upon which relief can be granted. 4. Plaintiff Castronovo-Flihan did not fulfill her duty to cooperate with 4 5 Defendant State Farm under the terms of the subject policy. 5. Defendant State Farm did not breach the terms of the subject policy, but 6 7 instead simply requested a medical examination, as allowed under the terms of the subject policy, 8 §6(a)(2). 9 6. Plaintiff's remaining damages, if any, were actually and proximately caused by her prior 2017 Motor Vehicle Accident. 10 7. The valuation of the claim by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance 11 Company was reasonable. 12 13 8. Defendant State Farm complied with the terms of the subject policy, case law, and Nevada statutes. 14 9. If any damages are proven in this case, the basis of those damages lies only 15 with the breach of contract claim. 16 17 10. State Farm generally denies Plaintiff's allegations set forth herein, and incorporates by reference the denials set forth in Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint on 18 file with the Court in this matter and raise the following affirmative defenses: 19 20 (a) Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. 21 (b) Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages. The damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were not caused 22 (c) 23 by any breach of contract or duty by Defendant State Farm Mutual 24 Automobile Insurance Company, but rather by the acts or omissions of third persons who were not acting on behalf of Defendant State 25 Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company 26 (d) Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company is 27 28 informed and believes, and based upon information and belief, alleges

1		that the complaint, and each and every purported claim for relief in
2		said Complaint, is subject to all the terms, conditions, provisions,
3		definitions, limitations, exclusions, and endorsements in the subject
4		insurance policy. Plaintiff's claim is barred, excluded, restricted,
5		and/or limited accordingly.
6	(e)	Plaintiff has failed to satisfy one or more conditions precedent and
7		required under the subject insurance policy upon which she seeks
8		recovery.
9	(f)	Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company has
10		acted reasonably in good faith in all aspects under the circumstances
11		known to it and continues to do so.
12	(g)	Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company has
13		fulfilled its obligations under the subject policy, and that all actions it
14		has taken relevant to Plaintiff's claim have been accomplished in
15		good faith.
16	(h)	Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company did
17		not violate any duty owed to Plaintiff under the common law,
18		contract, or statute.
19	(i)	The damages claimed by Plaintiff, if any, are speculative, are not
20		supported by proof, and thus not compensable as a matter of law.
21	(j)	The damages claimed by Plaintiff were not proximately caused in full
22		by the accident described in the complaint.
23	(k)	This suit is not ripe as Plaintiff has failed to establish a claim of legal
24		entitlement under the terms of the policy of insurance.
25	(1)	Any verdict against Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile
26		Insurance Company must be apportioned between injuries directly
27		caused by the accident described in the Complaint and other medical
28		conditions or injuries which may have predated or occurred
	131991983.1	6

1		subsequent to said accident.
2	(m)	Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company is
3		entitled to offset any amounts paid to Plaintiff for damages allegedly
4		sustained in this action, including any amounts paid by or on behalf
5		of any other insurer or responsible party, against any amounts that
6		may be owed by Defendant to Plaintiff. Further, Defendant is allowed
7		to offset additional amounts to the total value of Plaintiff's claim in
8		accordance with the subject insurance policy terms and/or Nevada
9		law.
10	(n)	Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company has
11		been forced to retain the services of an attorney in defense of the
12		Complaint, incurring expensive legal fees, court costs, and for fees
13		for other professionals for which plaintiff is responsible.
14	(0)	Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative
15		defenses listed in FRCP 12(b).
16	(p)	Plaintiff lacks legal entitlement to recover her claim as contemplated
17		by the Nevada Supreme Court in Pemberton v. Farmers Insurance
18		Exchange, 109 Nev. 789, P.2d 380 (1993).
19	II. <u>STATEMENT OF</u>	JURISDICTION
20	This Court has juris	diction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Plaintiff Gina Castronovo-Flihan
21	is a resident of the State of I	Nevada. Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
22	is a foreign entity incorpor	ated in Illinois, with its principle place of business in Illinois, that is
23	authorized to conduct busin	ess in the State of Nevada. Further, Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of
24	\$75,000, and therefore both	requirements for federal diversity jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
25	1332 are met. The parties de	o not dispute the jurisdiction of this Court.
26	III. <u>ADMITTED FAC</u>	<u> </u>
27	A. <u>The followin</u>	ng facts are admitted by the parties and require no proof
28	1. The s	subject collision occurred as a result of third-party tortfeasor, Florian

1	Baculao-Bacon, crashing into the driver's side of Plaintiff's vehicle at a high rate of speed.			
2	2.	State Farm determined that Mr. Baculao-Bacon is 100% at fault for the		
3	subject collision,	with Plaintiff Gina Castronovo-Flihan at 0% fault.		
4	3.	Said third-party tortfeasor, Mr. Bacon, was insured under a liability policy		
5	under Farmer's In	isurance.		
6	4.	Farmer's Insurance paid Plaintiff Gina Castronovo-Flihan the policy limits		
7	of \$50,000.00 on	or about May 16, 2019.		
8	5.	This action arises out of an insurance dispute following an automobile		
9	accident.			
10	6.	At the time of the subject collision, January 23, 2019, Plaintiff Gina		
11	Castronovo-Fliha	n held a State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company auto insurance policy,		
12	policy number 136 2037-F22-28.			
13	7.	This policy, policy number 136 2037-F22-28, had underinsured motorist		
14	benefits of \$100,0	000 policy limit per person, and a \$300,000 policy limit per incident.		
15	8.	Defendant has not paid any portion of the policy benefits to Plaintiff.		
16	9.	Plaintiff suffered bodily injuries as a result of the subject collision on January		
17	23, 2019.			
18	IV. <u>UNCONT</u>	TESTED FACTS		
19	A. <u>Th</u>	e following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial by idence to the contrary		
20	<u></u>			
21	1.	After plaintiff submitted her proof of claim and provided medical records,		
22	defendant sent her a letter communicating its refusal to evaluate the claim until it received proof of			
23	third-party policy limits.			
24	2.	Plaintiff supplemented her proof of claim with evidence that the underlying		
25	tortfeasor's policy	limits were exhausted.		
26	3.	Defendant offered Plaintiff \$6,333.32 on September 24, 2019 to settle her		
27	claim.			
28	4.	Defendant sent a representative to counsel for plaintiff's office on November		

1 20, 2019 after months of Plaintiff requesting the same.

V. **ISSUES OF FACT FOR TRIAL** 2 3 The following are the issues of fact to be tried and determined at trial **Plaintiff's Issues of Fact for Trial** A. 4 5 1. Whether Defendant failed to provide the insurance benefits due and owing under the insurance policy. 6 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by manufacturing reasons 2. 7 8 to deny the insurance benefits due and owing to Plaintiff under the insurance policy. 9 3. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by making the claims 10 process an adversarial or competitive process. 4. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to acknowledge 11 and act reasonable upon communication with respect to Plaintiff's claim under the insurance policy. 12 13 5. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims. 14 6. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to promptly 15 equate and communicate the evaluations to Plaintiff. 16 7. 17 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer to Plaintiff what was owed. 18 8. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to 19 communicate with Plaintiff and perform an investigation as to her general damages for the 20 21 evaluation. 9. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by reducing Plaintiff's 22 23 claim by improperly basing her claim upon Medicare values for medical treatment through a claims 24 software system. 10. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying Plaintiff's 25 claim for the full policy limits. 26 27 11. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy making by 28 misrepresentations to Plaintiff.

1	12. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its
2	investigation and evaluation of the claim.
3	13. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying the claim
4	before completing an evaluation.
5	14. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by training its adjusters to
6	deny benefits by making low-ball offers that are below the evaluations.
7	15. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to
8	communicate its evaluation to Plaintiff.
9	16. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to provide an
10	explanation of its offer and evaluation to Plaintiff.
11	17. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by misrepresenting and
12	improperly asserting that the in-person meeting between its adjuster and Plaintiff has to take place
13	in a public library.
14	18. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider the
15	new information of Plaintiff's general damages after the in-person interview and increase the value
16	of Plaintiff's claim.
17	19. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to pay Plaintiff
18	a portion of the policy benefits commonly known as the impasse payment or undisputed amount.
19	20. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full
20	value of the evaluations for the owed policy benefits.
21	21. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give equal
22	considerations to Plaintiff.
23	22. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to comply with
24	policies and procedures in retaining medical experts.
25	23. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its retention
26	of experts.
27	24. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to consider all
28	of Plaintiff's medical expenses without any medical expert opinion and/or notations in the claim file

1 as to the basis for the denial.

25. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider 2 3 future general damages. 26. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to handle the 4 5 claim in accordance with its own policies and procedures. 27. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full 6 authority for the benefits owed to Plaintiff. 7 28. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to pay the 8 9 benefits due and owing to Plaintiff. 29. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by relying upon 10 insufficient, speculative and/or biased information. 11 30. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give a prompt 12 13 and forthright explanation to Plaintiff as to the company's position with respect to the claim. 31. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to conduct a 14 diligent search for facts as promptly as possible. 15 16 32. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by creating false or fictitious issues to avoid providing and/or paying benefits due and owing to Plaintiff. 17 18 33. Whether Defendant breached its promise for the bargain that when the insured, Plaintiff, was in need, Defendant, as the insurance company, will be there to help like a 19 Good Neighbor. 20 The damages suffered by Plaintiff. 21 34. B. **Defendant's Issues of Fact for Trial** 22 23 1. Whether or not Plaintiff's medical bills incurred after the January 23, 2019, subject accident were reasonably related to said subject motor vehicle 24 accident. 25 2. Whether or not Plaintiff's medical bills incurred after the subject accident 26 were more reasonably related to Plaintiff's motor vehicle accident in May 27 28 2017. 11 131991983.1

1	3.	Whether or not Plaintiff's medical bills incurred were reasonable in scope to
2		the injury occurred.
3	VI. <u>ISSUES C</u>	DF LAW FOR TRIAL
4	The follow	ving are the issues of law to be tried and determined at trial:
5	A. <u>Pla</u>	<u>untiff's Issues of Law for Trial</u>
6	1.	Whether Defendant failed to provide the insurance benefits due and owing
7	under the insurance	ce policy.
8	2.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by manufacturing reasons
9	to deny the insura	nce benefits due and owing to Plaintiff under the insurance policy.
10	3.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by making the claims
11	process an advers	arial or competitive process.
12	4.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to acknowledge
13	and act reasonable	e upon communication with respect to Plaintiff's claim under the insurance policy.
14	5.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to adopt and
15	implement reason	able standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims.
16	6.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to promptly
17	equate and comm	unicate the evaluations to Plaintiff.
18	7.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer to
19	Plaintiff what was	s owed.
20	8.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to
21	communicate wit	h Plaintiff and perform an investigation as to her general damages for the
22	evaluation.	
23	9.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by reducing Plaintiff's
24	claim by improper	rly basing her claim upon Medicare values for medical treatment through a claims
25	software system.	
26	10.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying Plaintiff's
27	claim for the full j	policy limits.
28	11.	Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by making
I	1 121001002 1	12

1 misrepresentations to Plaintiff.

12. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its 2 3 investigation and evaluation of the claim. 13. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by denying the claim 4 5 before completing an evaluation. 14. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by training its adjusters to 6 deny benefits by making low-ball offers that are below the evaluations. 7 15. 8 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to 9 communicate its evaluation to Plaintiff. 10 16. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to provide an explanation of its offer and evaluation to Plaintiff. 11 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by misrepresenting and 17. 12 13 improperly asserting that the in-person meeting between its adjuster and Plaintiff has to take place in a public library. 14 18. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider the 15 new information of Plaintiff's general damages after the in-person interview and increase the value 16 of Plaintiff's claim. 17 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to pay Plaintiff 19. 18 a portion of the policy benefits commonly known as the impasse payment or undisputed amount. 19 20. 20 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full 21 value of the evaluations for the owed policy benefits. 21. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give equal 22 23 considerations to Plaintiff. 22. 24 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to comply with policies and procedures in retaining medical experts. 25 23. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by delaying in its retention 26 of experts. 27 28 24. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by refusing to consider all

of Plaintiff's medical expenses without any medical expert opinion and/or notations in the claim file 1 2 as to the basis for the denial. 25. 3 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to consider future general damages. 4 5 26. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to handle the claim in accordance with its own policies and procedures. 6 27. 7 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to offer the full 8 authority for the benefits owed to Plaintiff. 9 28. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to pay the 10 benefits due and owing to Plaintiff. 29. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by relying upon 11 insufficient, speculative and/or biased information. 12 13 30. Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to give a prompt and forthright explanation to Plaintiff as to the company's position with respect to the claim. 14 31. 15 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by failing to conduct a diligent search for facts as promptly as possible. 16 32. 17 Whether Defendant breached the insurance policy by creating false or fictitious issues to avoid providing and/or paying benefits due and owing to Plaintiff. 18 33. 19 Whether Defendant breached its promise for the bargain that when the insured, Plaintiff, was in need, Defendant, as the insurance company, will be there to help like a 20 Good Neighbor. 21 34. The damages suffered by Plaintiff. 22 23 35. Any issue of fact set forth above which is more properly regarded as an issue 24 of law. B. **Defendant's Issues of Law for Trial** 25 1. Whether Plaintiff's claim for Breach of Contract has any merit. 26 27 2. The legal propriety of remedies, including damages, equitable relief, interest, 28 attorney's fees, and costs recoverable by Plaintiff as a matter of law in the event that she prevails on

1	the ju	ry's vei	rdict.		
2			3.	Whetl	her or not Plaintiff fulfilled her duty to cooperate with State Farm under
3	the ter	rms of t	the subje	ct polie	cy.
4			4.	Any is	ssue of fact set forth above which is more properly regarded as an issue
5	of law	<i>.</i>			
6	VII.	EVII	DENCE		
7		A.	<u>The fo</u> marke	ollowin ed by f	g exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so <u>he clerk</u>
8			<u>Inter A</u>		
9				(a)	Traffic Accident Report: PLT ECC 0069-0076;
10				(b)	Photos of Collision: PLT ECC 0077-0080;
11				(c)	Redacted Non-Confidential Certified Policy: SF POL 1-60
12				(d)	Redacted Non-Confidential State Farm Claim File: SF 1-1746
13				(e)	State Farm Claim Notes: SF 1-242
14				(f)	Claims Correspondence: PLT ECC 0081-2288
15				(g)	State Farm Auto Injury Evaluation: SF 181-187
16				(h)	Non-Confidential Portions of State Farm Auto Claim Manual:
17					CASGIN0000001PROD - CASGIN00000030PROD
18				(i)	Non-Confidential Portions of State Farm Employees Education and
19					Training: CASGIN00000031PROD - CASGIN00000033PROD
20				(j)	Non-Confidential State Farm Materials: PLT DOEW 0137-0227
21				(k)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from American Medical
22					Responses: PLT ECC 2289-2297
23				(1)	Redacted Medical Bill from Vituity NV Koury Partners PLLC
24					(records included in St. Rose Dominican Hospital Records): PLT
25					ECC 2298-2299
26				(m)	Redacted Radiology Associates of Nevada Bill (records included in
27					St. Rose Dominican Hospital Records): PLT ECC 2300-2301
28				(n)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Dignity Health-St. Rose
					1.5
	1319919	83.1			15

1		Dominican Hospital-San Martin Campus (Imaging Produced on CD):
2		PLT ECC 2302-2321; PLT 1 ST 001-145
3	(o)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from FYZICAL Therapy and
4		Balance Centers: PLT ECC 2322-2348
5	(p)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Well Care Medical Group,
6		LLC-Bellavue Medical: PLT ECC 2349-2387; PLT 1ST 146-200;
7		PLT 2 nd 001-003
8	(q)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from SimonMed (imaging
9		produced on CD): PLT ECC 2388-2411; PLT 1 ST 201-232; PLT 3 RD
10		001
11	(r)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Neurocare of Nevada: PLT
12		ECC 2412-2516; PLT 1 ST 233-344
13	(s)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Desert Orthopedic Center:
14		PLT ECC 2517-2545
15	(t)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Las Vegas Fire & Rescue:
16		PLT ECC 2546-2559
17	(u)	Redacted Bills from Shadow Emergency Physicians (records
18		included in Summerlin Hospital Records): PLT ECC 2560-2565
19	(v)	Redacted Bills from Desert Radiologists (records included in
20		Summerlin Hospital records): PLT ECC 2566-2568
21	(w)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Summerlin Hospital:
22		PLTECC 2569-2658
23	(x)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Siems Lasik & Eye
24		Centers: PLT ECC 2659-2661
25	(y)	Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Cardiology &
26		Cardiovascular Consultants: PLT ECC 2662-2713
27	(z)	Redacted Medial Records and Bills from CVS Pharmacy: PLT ECC
28		2714-2725; PLT 2 ND 004-009
	131991983.1	16

1		(aa	a) Redacted Medical Record from Clark County Fire Dept: PLT 1 ST 245-
2			350
3		(bl	b) Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Summerlin Hospital
4			Outpatient Therapy Center: PLT 1 ST 351-469
5		(co	c) Redacted Medical Records and Bills from Southwest Medical
6			Associates Butler Family Medical Center: PLT 1 ST 470-509
7		(de	d) Redacted Medical Records and Bills for Las Vegas Radiology: PLT
8			1 ST 510-522
9		(ee	e) Medical Records & Bills from Gobinder Chopra, M.D.: SF 1747-
10			1860
11		(ff) Medical Records & Bills from Las Vegas Radiology: SF 1861-1873
12		(g	g) Medical Records & Bills from SimonMed: SF 1874-1922
13		(hl	h) Medical Records from St. Rose Dominican Hospital: SF 1923-2057
14		(ii) Medical Records from CVS Pharmacy: SF 2058-2062
15		(jj) Medical Records & Bills from Desert Orthopedic Center: SF 2063-
16			2177
17		(kl	k) Medical Records from Southwest Medical Associates: SF 2178-2193
18		(11)) Medical Records & Bills from Summerlin Hospital: SF 2194-2404
19		(m	m) All exhibit listed by either Party
20		(ni	n) All documents identified during discovery
21		(0	o) Responses to Interrogatories
22		(pj	p) Requests to Requests for Production
23		(qe	q) Requests to Requests for Admission
24		(rr	Rebuttal and/or impeachment documents
25	В.	<u>As to the</u>	following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered s to their admission on the grounds stated
26			s to their aumission on the grounus stated
27		1. Se	t forth the Plaintiff's exhibits and objections to them.
28		2. Se	t forth the Defendant's exhibits and objections to them.
	131991983 1		17



1		C.	<u>Electr</u>	onic evidence
2			N/A.	
3		D.	Depos	itions
4			1.	Plaintiff will offer the following depositions: None.
5			2.	Defendant will offer the following depositions: None.
6		E.	<u>Objec</u>	tions to Depositions
7			1.	Defendant objects to plaintiff's depositions as follows: None.
8			2.	Plaintiff objects to defendant's depositions as follows: None.
9	VIII.	WITN	ESSES	
10		А.	<u>Plaint</u>	iff's Witnesses
11			1.	Plaintiff Gina Castronovo-Flihan
12				c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
13				1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
14			2.	James Flihan
15				c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
16				1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
17			3.	Jimmy Flihan
18				c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
19				1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
20			4.	Brandon Flihan
21				c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
22				1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
23			5.	Fred Flihan
24				c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
25				1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291
26				Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
27	///			
28	///			
	1			10



1 2		6.	Arletia Marshall Claims Specialist State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
3			c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
4 5		7.	Michele Maglione Mobile Adjuster
6			State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP.
7			6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
8		8.	Jake Geddes Team Manager
9 10			State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
11			Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
		9.	Jason Snyder
12			Team Manager State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
13 14			c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
		10.	FRCP 30(b)(6) witness for:
15 16		10.	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP.
17			6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
18	В.	<u>Plaint</u>	iff's Expert Witnesses
19		1.	Enrico Fazzini, D.O., Ph.D., F.A.C.N. 291 N. Pecos Road
20			Henderson, NV 89704
21		2.	Christopher Platt, PT, DPT C/O FYSICAL Therapy & Balance Centers
22			9070 W. Cheyenne Ave. Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89129
23 24		3.	Rafael Mirchou, MD, FABS C/O Well CareMedical Group LLC, Bellavue Medical
24 25			7488 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV
26			(702) 641-1240
27		4.	Gobinder S. Chopra, MD C/O Neurocare of Nevada
27 28			6410 Medical Center, Suite A-100 Las Vegas, NV 89148
	131991983.1		19

1 2		5.	Thomas Dunn, MD C/O Desert Orthopedic Center 2800 E. Desert Inn Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89121
3 4		6.	Christine Derhake, PT, DPT C/O Summerlin Hospital Outpatient Therapy Center 657 Town Center Dr., Suite 117
5 6 7 8 9 10		7. 8. 9.	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Stephen Strzelec C/O Strzelec Consulting Services 20719 NE 8 th St. Sammamish, WA 98074 All witnesses identified by any other party to this case. Any and all custodians of record and/or persons most knowledgeable of any
10 11 12			Il entities from which records may be obtained, including, but not limited to, overs, schools, government agencies, private entities, and/or insurance
13 14 15		10. by the	Any and all witnesses, including rebuttal or impeachment witnesses, offered Plaintiffs or other parties to this action.
16	C.	11. Defen	The parties reserve the right to object to any witness identified by either party.
17 18 19	.	<u>Deren</u> 1.	Gina Castronovo-Flihan c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC 1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
20 21 22		2.	James Flihan c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC 1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
232425		3.	Jimmy Flihan c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC 1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
26 27 28	///		
	131991983.1		20

1 2	4.	Brandon Flihan c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC 1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291
3		Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
4	5.	Fred Flihan
5		c/o Ian M. McMenemy, Esq. McMenemy Holmes PLLC
6		1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
7	6.	Arletia Marshall
8		Claims Specialist State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP.
9 10		6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
10	7.	Michele Maglione
11		Mobile Adjuster State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
		c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
13		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
14	8.	Jake Geddes Team Manager
15 16		State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
17		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
18	9.	Jason Snyder Team Manager
19		State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company
20		c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
21	10.	Enrico Fazzini, D.O., Ph.D., F.A.C.N.
22		291 N. Pecos Road Henderson, NV 89704
23	11.	Christopher Platt, PT, DPT
24		C/O FYSICAL Therapy & Balance Centers 9070 W. Cheyenne Ave. Suite 100
25		Las Vegas, NV 89129
26	12.	Rafael Mirchou, MD, FABS C/O Well CareMedical Group LLC, Bellavue Medical
27		7488 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV
28		(702) 641-1240
	121001082 1	21

1 2		13.	Gobinder S. Chopra, MD C/O Neurocare of Nevada 6410 Medical Center, Suite A-100 Las Vegas, NV 89148	
3		14.	Thomas Dunn, MD	
4 5		17.	C/O Desert Orthopedic Center 2800 E. Desert Inn Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89121	
6 7		15.	Christine Derhake, PT, DPT C/O Summerlin Hospital Outpatient Therapy Center 657 Town Center Dr., Suite 117 Las Vegas, NV 89144	
8		16	FRCP 30(b)(6) witness for: State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Smith LLP. 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600	
9 10		16.		
			Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
11		17.	Caleb Myers	
12		17.	Messner Reeves, LLP	
13			8945 W Russell Rd. #300 Las Vegas, NV 89148	
14		18.	Brock Ohlson, Esq. Brock Ohlson Injury Lawyers	
15			6060 Elton Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107	
15 16	D.	Defen	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107	
	D.		Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses	
16 17	D.	Defen 1.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107	
16 17 18	D.		Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415	
16 17 18 19	D.	1.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148	
16 17 18	D.		Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D.	
16 17 18 19	D.	1.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148	
16 17 18 19 20 21	D.	1.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D.	1. 2.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D.	1. 2. 3.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D.	1. 2.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	D.	1. 2. 3. 4.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd. Acton, CA 93510	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	D.	1. 2. 3.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	D.	1. 2. 3. 4.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 idant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd. Acton, CA 93510	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	D.	 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 ndant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd. Acton, CA 93510 All witnesses identified by any other party to this case.	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	D.	 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 ndant's Expert Witnesses Andrew Cash, M.D., P.C. Desert Institute of Spine Care 5130 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Box 215-415 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Mark Winkler, M.D. 8 Morning Sky Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 David L. Ginsburg, M.D. 851 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 115 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Edward McKinnon Claims Resource Management Inc. 33345 Santiago Rd. Acton, CA 93510 All witnesses identified by any other party to this case.	

1	and all entities from which records may be obtained, including, but not limited to,						
2	employers, schools, government agencies, private entities, and/or insurance						
3	companies.						
4	7. Any and all witnesses, including rebuttal or impeachment witnesses, offered						
5	by the Plaintiffs or other parties to this action.						
6	8. The parties reserve the right to object to any witness identified by either party.						
7	7 IX. <u>PROPOSED TRIAL DATES</u>	IX. <u>PROPOSED TRIAL DATES</u>					
8	8 Counsel have met and submitted a list of thr	Counsel have met and submitted a list of three agreed-upon trial dates. It is expressly					
9	9 understood by the undersigned that the Clerk will set	the trial of this matter on one of the agreed-					
10	0 upon dates, if possible, if not, the trial will be set at the	convenience of the Court's calendar:					
11	1 PARTIES REQUEST: The Attorneys or partie	es have met and jointly offer these trial dates:					
12	2 1. 01/16/2024 2. 01/22/2024 3. 02/05/2	2024					
13	3 It is expressly understood by the undersigned th	at the court will set the trial of this matter on					
14	4 one of the agreed upon dates if possible, if not, the trial	one of the agreed upon dates if possible, if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's					
15	5 calendar.						
16	6 X. <u>PROPOSED TRIAL DURATION</u>						
17	7 It is estimated that the trial herein will take a to	tal of 10-12 days.					
18	8 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT.						
19	9 DATED this 13 th day of November, 2023 DAT	DATED this 13 th day of November, 2023					
20	0 MCMENEMY HOLMES PLLC LEW	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP					
21	1						
22		<u>/s/ Frank A. Toddre, II</u> obert W. Freeman, Esq.					
23	3 Nevada Bar No. 13190 N	evada Bar No. 3062					
24	4 Nevada Bar No. 12776 N	rank A. Toddre, II Jevada Bar No. 11474					
25		385 S. Rainbow Blvd, Suite 600 as Vegas, Nevada 89118					
26		<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>					
27	7 / / /						
28	8 / / /						
	131991983.1 23						

1

XI.

ACTION BY THE COURT

This case is set for court/jury trial on the stacked calendar on 2 (a) 3 February 5, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. Calendar Call shall be held on January 31, 2024, at 1:30 p.m. An original and two (2) copies of each trial brief shall be submitted to the clerk on 4 (b) 5 or before Calendar Call. (c) Jury Trials: 6 An original and two (2) copies of all instructions requested by either party 7 (1)8 shall be submitted to the clerk for filing on or before: Calendar Call., 9 An original and two (2) copies of all suggested questions of the parties to be (2)asked of the jury panel by the Court on voir dire shall be submitted to the clerk for filing on or 10 before: Calendar Call. 11 Court Trials: (d) 12 13 Not applicable. (e) Counsel shall serve a copy of any trial brief, proposed findings of fact and 14 conclusions of law, proposed voir dire questions, and proposed jury instructions upon opposing 15 counsel contemporaneously with the filing thereof with the Court. 16 17 The foregoing pretrial order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by the signatures of their counsel hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will govern the trial of 18 19 this case. This order shall not be amended except by order of the Court pursuant to agreement of the parties or to prevent manifest injustice. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED: 21 DATED November 20, 2023. 22 Elles C. Mahan 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 24 25 26 27 28