Doc. 94

ı	
	mental disorder limitation. MassMutual contends that it did not breach the policy and that Dr.
	Basu is not totally disabled due to physical conditions.
	II.
	Statement of Jurisdiction: Jurisdiction exists under 28 U.S.C § 1332(a). Plaintiff is
	resident of Nevada. Defendant is a resident of Massachusetts. The amount in controversy
	exceeds \$75,000.
	III.
	The following facts are admitted by the parties and require no proof:
	1. Dr. Basu is insured under MassMutual disability income insurance policy no. 8455557
	(the "Policy").
	2. The Policy includes the relevant terms governing Dr. Basu's claim.
	3. Dr. Basu's occupation was a pain management specialist.
	4. In December 2015, Dr. Basu stopped working as a pain management physician.
	IV.
	The following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence
	to the contrary.
	Not applicable
	V.
	The following issues of fact to be tried and determined at trial.
	The parties agree that the following issues of fact are to be tried and determined at trial:
	1. Did MassMutual breach the Policy when it stopped paying Dr. Basu's claim on Novem-
	ber 16, 2021 based upon the mental disorder limitation?
	2. If MassMutual breached the disability insurance policy, what are Dr. Basu's damages to
	Dr. Basu?
	Defendant maintains, and Plaintiff disagrees, that the following issues of fact are also to
	be tried and determined at trial:
	1. Why did Dr. Basu stop working as a pain management physician in December 2015?
1	

- 2. Is Dr. Basu unable to perform the main duties of her occupation as a pain management physician due to physical medical conditions and absent the effects of any mental disorders?
- 3. Did Dr. Basu meet the Policy's requirement that she provide MassMutual with proof of total disability due to physical conditions?

#### VI.

## The following are the issues of law to be tried and determined at trial:

**Plaintiff's view**: There are no issues of law to be tried and determined at trial.

**Defendant's view**: 1.) Breach; 2.) Causation; 3.) Damages; and 4.) Any issues of law raised in the parties' anticipated motions in limine.

#### VII.

- (a) The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the court:
  - 1. MassMutual Disability Income Insurance Policy No. 8455557
  - 2. Letter from MassMutual to Plaintiff dated December 4, 2019
- (b) As to the following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered objects to their admission on the grounds stated:
  - 1. Plaintiff intends to present the following exhibits, and Defendant intends to assert the following objections:

No.	Name	Cite	Objections
3	Disability Claim Mental Disorder Limitation	ECF 60-2 at Ex. 2	Irrelevant; Confuses the Issues; Misleads the Jury; and Contravenes Court Order
4	Dr. Snyder Presentation	BASU-Dr Snyder- 000012-40	Lack of Authentication; Irrelevant; Confuses the Issues; Inadmissible Hear- say; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evi- dence Rule
5	MassMutual Claim File	MM/Basu 1- 4369	Vague and Ambiguous/Overbroad; In- admissible Hearsay; Irrelevant

No.	Name	Cite	Objections
6	Attending Physician Statements	ECF 60-6 at Exs. 14-15	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; Lack of Foundation; and Inadmissible Expert Opinion
7	Notes of Pre-Disability Earnings	ECF 60-6 at Ex. 18	Irrelevant; Confuses the Issues; and Misleads the Jury
8	May 8, 2018 Email	MM/Basu 5590	Irrelevant; Misleads the Jury; and Confuses the Issues
9	October 6, 2016 Email	MM/Basu 4580-84	Best Evidence Rule; Misleads the Jury; and Confuses the Issues
10	October 21, 2016 Detail Call	ECF No. 60-7 at Ex. 21	Inadmissible Hearsay
12	May 11, 2017 Email	MM/Basu 5047-5130	Inadmissible Hearsay; Confuses the Issues; Misleads the Jury; Inadmissible Expert Opinion; and Best Evidence Rule
14	May 8, 2018 Email	MM/Basu 5590	Irrelevant; Misleads the Jury; and Confuses the Issues
15	July 5, 2018 Email	MM/Basu 5569	Irrelevant
16	July 5, 2018 Action Plan	MM/Basu 2238-45	Confuses the Issues; and Misleads the Jury
17	October 2, 2018 Detail Call Notes	ECF 60-7 at Ex. 28	Best Evidence Rule; and Inadmissible Hearsay
18	October 3, 2018 Email	MM/Basu 5751	Irrelevant
20	MassMutual Surveillance Reports	MM/Basu 3247-53, 3441-49, 3454-75, 2847-51	Misleads the Jury; Confuses the Issues; Calls for Speculation; and Irrelevant
23	CoventBridge Summary of Surveillance	MM/Basu 2847-51, 3805-75	Misleads the Jury; Confuses the Issues; Calls for Speculation; and Irrelevant
24	November 15, 2019 Action Plan	MM/Basu 6508	Irrelevant; Confuses the Issues; and Misleads the Jury
29	Medical Records of Dr. Cestkowski	Basu- Dr. Cestkowski 1-187	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
30	Medical Records of Dr. Stuart Kaplan	ECF 60-2 at Ex. 5	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule

]	No.	Name	Cite	Objections
1.1	31	Medical Records of Dr. Thompson	ECF 60-2 at Ex. 8	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
	32	Medical Records of Henne- pin Medical Clinic	ECF 60-3 at Ex. 9	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
	33	Medical Records of Henne- pin Medical Clinic	ECF 60-3 and 4 at Ex. 9	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
	34	Medical Records of Dr. Abraham Nagy	Basu- Dr. Nagy 0001- 219	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Undue Prejudice/Untimely Disclosure Under FRCP 26
	35	Medical Records of Dr. Mortillaro	ECF 60-6 at Ex. 12	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
	36	Medical Records of Pain Institute of Nevada	Basu-PIN 1- 716	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Undue Prejudice/Untimely Disclosure Under FRCP 26
	37	Medical Records of Dr. Germin	ECF 60-2 at Ex. 6	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
	38	Medical Records of Cen- tennial Medical Imaging	ECF 60-5 at Ex. 11	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
2	40	Snyder Records	Snyder-Si- monmed MRI Basu 1-11	Inadmissible Hearsay; Misstates the Evidence/Lack of Foundation; Misleads the Jury; and Best Evidence Rule
4	41	Divorce Decree	ECF 60-8 at Ex. 32	Irrelevant; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Confuses the Issues
4	42	Lee Email June 24, 2016	Ex 6 to Souresh Basu Dep	Irrelevant; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Confuses the Issues
4	43	Addendum to Certificate of Authority	Ex. 10 to Souresh Basu Dep	Irrelevant; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Confuses the Issues
4	44	Basu, Souresh Emails	Basu-Souresh Emails 1-37	Irrelevant; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Best Evidence Rule; and Confuses the Issues

## Plaintiff's Position:

By identifying the foregoing documents, Plaintiff does not waive any right under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence to object to Plaintiff's introduction of all or a portion of the foregoing documents, depending on the context in which the evidence is offered. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed a waiver or admission of any kind.

#### Defendant's Position:

Plaintiff's list of exhibits identifies several exhibits of more than hundreds of pages (*see*, *e.g.*, Ex. No. 36 (Basu-PIN 1-716). Accordingly, the foregoing objections are not an admission or a statement that the entirety of each of Plaintiff's exhibits is inadmissible evidence. By making the foregoing objections, Defendant does not waive any evidentiary right to introduce admissible evidence at trial, including for purposes of impeachment.

Defendant reserves the right to make additional objections based upon the context in which evidence is offered and the nature of the evidence. Defendant further reserves the right to supplement and/or amend these objections.

Defendant intends to present the following exhibits, and Plaintiff intends to assert the following objections:

EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	BATES NO./DOCKET ENTRY ("D.E.") NO.	Objections
	Plaintiff's Statement for Disability Benefits	D.E. 54-3	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802
	Detail Call Notes	D.E. 54-5; 54-13; 54- 18; D.E. 54-24; D.E. 54-34	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802, authenticity and foundation.
	Medical Records of Dr. Richard Cestkowski's Treatment of Plaintiff	D.E. 54-7; BASU-Dr Cestkowski-000001- 187	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802
	Aliante Chiropractic Intake Form	D.E. 54-8	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802
	Medical Reports of Dr. Reva Klein	D.E. 54-9; D.E. 54-16; D.E. 54-20; D.E. 54-	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802, FRCP 26(a)(2),

1 2	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	BATES NO./DOCKET ENTRY ("D.E.") NO.	Objections
3			31; D.E. 54-35; D.E. 54-44; D.E. 54-51	authenticity and foundation.
4		Desert Oasis Clinic Form	D.E. 54-10	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802
5 6 7		Letters from MassMutual to Plaintiff	D.E. 54-11; D.E. 54- 14; D.E. 54-27; D.E. 54-28; D.E. 54-29; D.E. 54-33; D.E. 54- 38; D.E. 54-55	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802
8 9 10		Medical Reports of Dr. Frederick Kadushin	D.E. 54-12; D.E. 54- 21; D.E. 54-23; D.E. 54-32; D.E. 54-36	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation.
11 12		Letters of Calls Between Dr. Klein and Dr. Nagy	D.E. 54-15; D.E. 54-43	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation.
13 14 15		MassMutual Action Plans	D.E. 54-17; D.E. 54- 25; D.E. 54-39; D.E. 54-42; D.E. 54-49	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation.
16		Dr. Mortillaro's Neuropsychological Evaluation Report	D.E. 54-19	FRE 402, 403, FRCP 26(a)(2)
17 18		Letter of Call Between Dr. Kadushin and Dr. Mortillaro	D.E. 54-22	FRE 402, 403,
19 20		Email from Plaintiff to MassMutual	D.E. 54-26	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2), foundation
21   22   23		Dr. Tupper's Neuropsychological Evaluation Report	D.E. 54-30	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
24 25		Medical Reports of Dr. Ann Markes	D.E. 54-37; D.E. 54-46; D.E. 54-52	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
26 27		MassMutual File Consultation Memos	D.E. 54-40; D.E. 54-48; D.E. 54-53	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
25 26		Ann Markes  MassMutual File	46; D.E. 54-52 D.E. 54-40; D.E. 54-	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and

EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	BATES NO./DOCKET ENTRY ("D.E.") NO.	Objections
	Medical Reports of Dr. Lori Folk-Barron	D.E. 54-41; D.E. 54-50	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
	Letter of Call Between Dr. Markes and Dr. Katherine Travnicek	D.E. 54-45	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
	Medical Report of Dr. Mark Jackowitz	D.E. 54-47	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2), authenticity and foundation
	Medical Records of Plaintiff from Sunrise Hospital	MM/Basu – 002918-19	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2), authenticity and foundation
	Plaintiffs' Responses to MassMutual's Interrogatories		FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2)
	Plaintiffs' Responses to MassMutual's Requests for Admission		FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2)
	MassMutual's Chief Claim Consultant File Review	D.E. 54-54	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2) authenticity and foundation
	Surveillance Videos of Plaintiff	D.E. 54-59; D.E. 54-60	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2) authenticity and foundation
	Report of MassMutual's Medical Expert, Dr. Akhil Chhatre	D.E. 54-62	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; authenticity and foundation
	Photographs of Plaintiff Ziplining	D.E. 54-66	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; lack of authenticity and foundation and FRCP 26 disclosure
	MassMutual's Pay History for Plaintiff's Claim	D.E. 54-67	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; authenticity and foundation

1 2	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	BATES NO./DOCKET ENTRY ("D.E.") NO.	Objections
3 4 5 6		Reports of Dr. Stephen L. G. Rothman	BASU-Dr Fish- 002728-30; BASU-Dr Fish-002527-30; BASU-Dr Fish- 002765-67; BASU-Dr Fish-000117-18; BASU-Dr Fish-00 119- 20	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
7 8 9 10		Reports of Dr. Barry A. Hendin	BASU-Dr Fish- 002507-10; BASU-Dr Fish-004128; BASU- Dr Fish-004151; BASU-Dr Gross- 001854; BASU-Dr Fish-002468	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
11 12 13		Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Leo Germin	BASU-Dr Fish- 001980-99	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); FRCP 32(a)
14 15		Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Jeffrey Gross	BASU-Dr Fish- 001802-49	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); FRCP 32(a)
16   17   18   19   20		Reports of Dr. Zoran Maric	BASU-Dr Gross- 001732-1741; BASU- Dr Fish-004201-03; BASU-Dr Fish- 004272-73; BASU-Dr Gross-002093-95; BASU-Dr Gross- 003720-21	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2; authenticity and foundation
21 22 23		Transcript of Deposition of Dr. David Fish	BASU-Dr Fish- 001670-94	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); FRCP 32(a); authenticity and foundation
24 25		Reports of Dr. Howard Tung	BASU-Dr Fish- 004056-4105; BASU- Dr Fish-005614-5660	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation
26 27		Medical Records of Dr. Stuart Kaplan's Treatment of Plaintiff	D.E. 60-2 at Ex. 5	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; authenticity and foundation

1 2	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	BATES NO./DOCKET ENTRY ("D.E.") NO.	Objections
3 4		Medical Records of Hennepin Medical Clinic's Treatment of Plaintiff	D.E. 60-3 at Ex. 9	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802;
5		Plaintiff's Handwritten Notes	Ex. 1 to Souresh Basu's Deposition	FRE 402, 403, 801, 802; authenticity and foundation
7		Video of Plaintiff's Slip and Fall on August 26, 2012		FRE 402, 403, authenticity, foundation.
9		MassMutual's Calculation of the Present Value of Future Policy Benefits	MM/Basu - 007895	FRE 402, 403, 801 authenticity, foundation and FRCP 26(a)(1).
10 11		Exhibits to Depositions and Deposition Transcripts of		This category of identification is not
12		Plaintiff, Sabitri Mangal, Dr. Abraham Nagy, Dr. David Tupper, and Souresh		reasonable and not a good faith compliance with LR-16-3 or 16-4.
13 14		Basu		Plaintiff objects to the exhibits on the basis FRE 402, 403, 801,
15				802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); FRCP 32(a); authenticity and
16				foundation.
17		Medical Records that MassMutual obtained to		This general category of identification is not
18		evaluate Plaintiff's Claim		reasonable under LR- 16-3 or 16-4. This
19				general category of
20				identification is not reasonable and is not
21				identifiable by Bates- stamp nos. Plaintiff
22				objects to the exhibits on the basis FRE 402,
23				403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP
24				26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity and foundation.
25				

///

1 2		Documents Produced by Non-Parties to this Litigation in Response to	This general category of identification is not reasonable under LR-
		Subpoenas	16-3 or 16-4. This
3			general category of identification is not
4			reasonable to specifically identify
5			objections. Plaintiff
6			objects to the exhibits on the basis FRE 402,
7			403, 801, 802; FRCP 26(a)(1), FRCP
8			26(a)(2); authenticity
0			and foundation.
9		All pleadings filed in this litigation.	This general category of identification is not
10		ntigation.	reasonable under LR-
11			16-3 or 16-4. This general category of
12			identification is not reasonable and is not
13			identifiable by Bates-
13			stamp nos. Plaintiff
14			objects to the exhibits on the basis FRE 402,
15			403, 801, 802; FRCP
16			26(a)(1), FRCP 26(a)(2); authenticity
10			and foundation.
17	L	ı	

## Defendant's Position:

Defendant reserves the right to utilize and/or introduce at trial any documents identified or produced by any party to this litigation, including but not limited to purposes of impeachment and/or rebuttal.

By identifying the foregoing documents, Defendant does not waive any right under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence to object to Plaintiff's introduction of all or a portion of the foregoing documents, depending on the context in which the evidence is offered. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed a waiver or admission of any kind.

|| ///

28 | ///

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

#### <u>Plaintiff's Position</u>:

Defendant's reservation of rights to rely upon any documents identified or produced by any party to this litigation for any purpose is inconsistent with LR 16-3(c).

#### (c) Electronic evidence:

Plaintiff intends to use presentation software to present evidence to the jury. They do not separately intend to introduce evidence in its electronic form. The Plaintiff will contact the court clerk or administrator to assure the presentation will be done consistent with electronic capability. Plaintiff objects to the introduction of the PDF or JPEG format of any exhibit identified by either party and objection to admission of any video deposition or any portion including Dr. Basu or Souresh Basu on the basis of hearsay and FRCP 32.

MassMutual intends to present electronic evidence to the Court, including PDFs, JPEGs, videos (e.g., Docket Entry Nos. 54-59 and 54-60 and excerpts from the videotaped depositions of Dr. Basu and Souresh Basu), etc. to jurors for the purposes of jury deliberations. MassMutual will provide such electronic evidence in a format compatible with the Court's electronic jury evidence display system, and MassMutual will contact the courtroom administrator for instructions about how to prepare evidence in an electronic format and other requirements for the Court's electronic jury display system.

#### (d) Depositions:

The parties agree that David Tupper, Ph.D. is the only witness who was deposed in this lawsuit whose entire testimony at trial is anticipated to be provided through deposition testimony. The Plaintiff stipulates that Dr. Basu and Dr. Nagy will testify at trial during Plaintiff's case in chief. Defendant stipulates that Sabitri Mangal will be made available to testify at trial during Plaintiff's case in chief. In the event a witness who has been deposed in this matter is unavailable or unable to provide live testimony pursuant to FRCP 32 at the time of trial, the parties stipulate that the witness' deposition testimony may be offered by the parties at the time of trial in lieu of live testimony. The parties will work in good faith to designate the portions of the deposition testimony that each party will offer and the objections that the opposing party has to the introduction of such testimony. The parties stipulate that each party has the right to utilize

deposition testimony in the examination of witnesses as permitted by the Federal Rules of Evidence and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the opposing party may object to such deposition testimony at the trial. The parties agree they will not object to a party utilizing the deposition testimony in the examination of a witness on the basis that deposition testimony was not disclosed in this Joint Pretrial Order under Section VII(d).

- (1) Plaintiff may offer the following deposition:
  - David Tupper:

Plaintiff will object to Dr. Tupper's testimony in its entirety on the basis of relevancy and undisclosed expert testimony pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2). In the event the objection is overruled, Plaintiff will offer the following deposition testimony in her case in chief or cross-designations listed in Section VII(d)(1):

48:25-50:7

66:11-80:3

(2) Defendant may offer the following deposition testimony:

D D '1/1	15 4 17
Dr. David Tupper	15:4-17
	16:11-20:3
	20:20-21:6
	23:17-24:8
	25:17-26:3
	28:1-16
	30:21-31:10
	37:6-15
	37:19-38:2
	38:24-39:20
	42:13-18
	45:5-46:7
	46:12-47:11
	49:4-20
	50:14-51:23
	52:3-53:7
	53:13-54:5

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

54:17-55:5
57:6-59:5
60:9-61:10
64:20-66:3
71:4-17
72:18-23

## **Defendant's Position:**

Defendant reserves the right to supplement and/or amend the list of testimony that it may introduce at trial. Nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of any rights or objections.

## Plaintiff's Position:

Defendant's rights to supplement or amend testimony is governed by LR 16-3.

- (e) Objections to depositions:
- (1) Without waiver of Defendant's right to object to the introduction of deposition testimony at or prior to trial, and as permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence, Defendant objects to the deposition testimony that Plaintiff has identified as follows:

	Dr. David Tupper
Testimony	Objections
48:25-50:7	Calls for Speculation; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Undue Prejudice; Best Evidence Rule; and Lacks Foundation
66:11-80:3	Calls for Speculation; Inadmissible Hearsay; Misleads the Jury; Undue Prejudice; Best Evidence Rule; and Lacks Foundation

By making the foregoing objections, Defendant does not waive any evidentiary right under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Federal Rules of Evidence to introduce any admissible testimony at trial.

Defendant reserves the right to supplement and/or amend these objections prior to or at trial.

#### Plaintiff's Position:

Defendant's reservation of rights and assertion that it does waive any right is inconsistent with LR 16-3.

- 1	
1	(2) Plaintiff objects to defendant's depositions as follows:
2	a. Dr. David Tupper:
3	Plaintiff will object to Dr. Tupper's testimony in its entirety on the basis of relevancy and
4	undisclosed expert testimony pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2). In the event the objection is overruled
5	Plaintiff will offer the cross-designations listed in Section VII(d)(1), and Plaintiff will offer the
6	following objections to the testimony cited by Defendant:
7	• 16:11-20:3 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
8	• 20:20-21:6 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
9	• 23:17-24:8 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2); lack of completeness FRCP 32(a)(6).
10   11	• 25:17-26:3 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2); lack of completeness FRCP 32(a)(6).
12	• 28:1-16 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2); lack of completeness FRCP 32(a)(6)
13	• 30:21-31:10 - FRE 402, 403, 702, 801, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
14	• 37:6-15 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
15	• 37:19-38:2 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2);
16	• 38:24-39:20 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
17	• 42:13-18 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
18	• 45:5-46:7 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2); lack of completeness FRCP 32(a)(6)
19	• 46:12-47:11 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2).
20	• 54:17-55:5 - Lack of completeness FRCP 32(a)(6)
21	• 57:6-59:5 - FRE 402, 403, 702, lack of foundation and FRCP 26(a)(2)
22	VIII.
23	The following witnesses may be called by the parties at trial:
24	(a) Plaintiffs' Witnesses
25	1. Sanghamitra Basu
26	c/o Matthew L. Sharp, Ltd. 432 Ridge Street
27	Reno, Nevada 89501
28	

1	2.	Stuart Kaplan, M.D. Western Regional Center for Brain & Spine Surgery 3061 S. Maryland Pkwy.
2		Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
3	3.	Katherine Travnicek, M.D. Pain Institute of Nevada
4		W. Azure Drive, Ste 190
5		Las Vegas, NV 89130
6	4.	Walter M. Kidwell, M.D. Pain Institute of Nevada
7		W. Azure Drive, Ste 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
8		-
9 10	5.	Steven D. Lockman, M.D. Minnesota Neurorehabilitation Institute 12805 Hwy 55, Suite 304
		Plymouth, MN 55441
11	6.	Elhain Taherian, M.D. Dignity Health Medical Group
12		10001 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 203 Henderson, NV 89052
13	7	
14	7.	Travis Snyder, D.O. SimonMed Imaging
15		7455 W. Washington Ave., Ste. 120 Las Vegas, NV 89128
16		(702) 433-6455
17	8.	Terry Van Noy 2312 Prometheus Court Henderson, NV 89074
18		
19	9.	Terrence Clauretie, Ph.D. 217 Palmetto Point Dr.
20		Henderson NV 89012
21	10.	Sabitri Mangal Faegre, Drinker, Biddle & Reath
22		191 North Wacker Dr., Ste 3700 Chicago, IL 60606
23	11.	Anamika Das
24		4124er Saxon Drive North Las Vegas, Nevada 89085
25	12.	Sam Scire
26		9013 Raven Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89143
27		
28	///	

1	13.	Nicole Ducker 1231 Chambers Road
2		St. Louis, MO 63137
3	14.	Michael B. Lee 1820 E. Sahara Ave, #110 Las Vegas, NV 89104
4	(h) D.	
5		fendants' Witnesses:
6	1.	Sanghamitra Basu c/o Matthew L. Sharp
7		Law Offices of Matthew L. Sharp 432 Ridge Street
8		Reno, NV 89501
9	2.	Souresh Basu 7405 Midnight Rambler Street
10		Las Vegas, NV 89149
11	3.	Abraham Nagy, M.D. Nevada Headache Wellness
12		8285 West Arby Avenue, Suite 320
13		Las Vegas, NV 89113
14	4.	Katherine Travnicek, M.D. Pain Institute of Nevada
15		7435 West Azure Drive, Suite 190 Las Vegas, NV 89130
16	5.	Walter Kidwell, M.D.
17 18		Pain Institute of Nevada 7435 West Azure Drive, Suite 190 Las Vegas, NV 89130
19	6.	John Thompson, D.O.
20		Desert Oasis Clinic 6316 South Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 100
21		Las Vegas, NV 89118
22	7.	David Tupper, M.D. Hennepin County Medical Center
23		Minneapolis, MN 55415
24	8.	Jason Jaeger, D.C. 6592 North Decatur Boulevard, Suite 115
25		Las Vegas, NV 89131
26	9.	Reva B. Klein, M.D. c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
27		320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
28		Cincago, in 00000

1	10.	Frederick Kadushin, Ph.D. 1380 Main Street, Suite 205 Springfield, MA 01103
2	1.1	
3 4	11.	Lori Folk-Barron, Psy.D. c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300
		Chicago, IL 60606
5	12.	Ann Markes, M.D.
6 7		c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
8	13.	Mark Jackowitz, M.D.
9		c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
10	14.	
11	14.	Sabitri Mangal c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
12		320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
13	15.	Jeff Stasiowski
14		c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300
15		Chicago, IL 60606
16	16.	Karen Schuster
17		c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
18	17.	Jasmine Caruk
19	17.	c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
20		320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60606
21	18.	Akhil Chhatre, M.D.
22		c/o Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 320 S. Canal Street, Suite 3300
23		Chicago, IL 60606
24	19.	Kristin Wiggs, M.D. Hennepin County Medical Center
25		825 S. 8th Street, Suite 600 Minneapolis, MN 55404
26	20	•
27	20.	Charles Bernick, M.D. Cleveland Clinic – Lou Revo Center for Brain Health
28		888 West Bonneville Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89106

1 2	21.	Jeffrey Gross, M.D. Comprehensive Injury Institute 2779 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, #200 Henderson, NV 89502
3	22.	Erin Bigler, Ph.D.
4		University of Utah School of Medicine 729 Arapeen Way
5		Salt Lake City, UT 84108
6	23.	Leo Germin, M.D. 7751 Flamingo Road, Suite A-100
7		Las Vegas, NV 89147
8 9	24.	Richard Cestkowski, D.O. 6655 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite D100 Las Vegas, NV 89146
10	25.	Zoran Maric, M.D.
11		333 W. Thomas Road, Suite 202 Phoenix, AZ 85013
12	26.	Samir Bangalore, M.D.
13		Nevada Neurosciences Institute 3201 S. Maryland Parkway, Suite 220
14		Las Vegas, NV 89109
15	27.	Tanvir Hossein, M.D. Sunrise Hospital & Medical Center
16		3196 S. Maryland Parkway, Suite 202 Las Vegas, NV 89109
17	28.	Howard Tung, M.D.
18		University of California San Diego 9350 Campus Point Drive
19		La Jolla, CA 92037
20	29.	Joseph Schifini, M.D. Control Pain
21		600 S. Tonopah Drive, #240
22		Las Vegas, NV 89106
23	30.	Barry Hendin, M.D. Banner Health
24		4444 N. 32nd Street Phoenix, AZ 85018
25	31.	Stephen Rothman, M.D.
26		9233 W. Pico Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90035
27	32.	Kimberley C. Meyers
28	32.	10550 West Alexander Road Las Vegas, NV 89129

33. David E. Fish, M.D. 1 UCLA Health 1131 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 100 2 Santa Monica, CA 90401 3 IX. 4 The attorneys or parties have met and jointly offer these three trial start dates: October 5 30, 2023; January 15, 2024; and February 26, 2024. 6 It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the court will set the trial of this matter 7 on one of the agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the 8 court's calendar. 9 X. 10 It is estimated that the trial will take a total of 7-10 days. 11 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2023. DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2023. 12 13 MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD. WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP 14 /s/ Matthew L. Sharp /s/ Robert A. Riether 15 Matthew L. Sharp, Esq. Robert A. Riether, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4766 Nevada Bar No. 12076 16 432 Ridge St. 7785 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 200 Reno, NV 89501 Las Vegas, NV 89117 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff Sanghamitra Basu Attorneys for Defendant Massachusetts 18 Mutual Life Insurance Company 19 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2023. DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2023. 20 CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 21 /s/ Sean K. Claggett 22 /s/ Kimberly A. Jones Sean K. Claggett, Esq. Kimberly A. Jones, Esq. 23 Nevada Bar No. 8407 Admitted pro hac vice 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 3700 Samuel A. Harding, Esq. 24 Chicago, IL 60606 Nevada Bar No. 1877 Shannon L. Wise Eric F. Au, Esq. 25 Nevada Bar No. 14509 Admitted pro hac vice One Logan Square, Suite 2000 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 100 26 Las Vegas, NV 89107 Philadelphia, PA 19103 27 Attorneys for Plaintiff Sanghamitra Basu Attorneys for Defendant Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company 28

XI.

## **ACTION BY THE COURT:**

This case is set for court trial on the stacked calendar January 22, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. Calendar call will be January 17, 2024, at 1:30 p.m.

This pretrial order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by their signatures or the signatures of their attorneys hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will govern the trial of this case. This order may not be amended except by court order and based upon the parties' agreement or to prevent manifest injustice.

DATED:	March 20, 2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD., and that on March 16, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to: Robert Riether @ rriether@wrightlegal.net Eric F. Au @ eric.au@faegredrinker.com Kimberly Jones @ <u>kimberly.jones@faegredrinker.com</u> Attorneys for Defendant DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March 2023. /s/ Suzy Thompson An Employee of Matthew L. Sharp, Ltd.