1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Paul S. Padda NV Bar No. 10417 PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC 4560 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89103 Tel: 702.366.1888 psp@paulpaddalaw.com Paul J. Lukas, MN Bar No. 22084X* lukas@nka.com Brock J. Specht, MN Bar No. 0388343* bspecht@nka.com Benjamin J. Bauer, MN Bar No. 0398853* bbauer@nka.com NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP 4700 IDS Center 80 S 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 256-3200 Easiwilas (612) 228-4879 		
12	Facsimile: (612) 338-4878		
	*admitted pro hac vice ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE PROPOSED CLASS		
14			
15			
16			
17	UNITED STATES D DISTRICT O		
18			
19	DANNY WANEK and JUAN DUARTE, as representatives of a class of similarly situated	Case No. 2:21-cv-00961-CDS-BNW	
20	persons, and on behalf of the Caesars Entertainment Corporation Savings &		
21	Retirement Plan,	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND CASE	
22	Plaintiffs,	DEADLINES	
23	v.	(FIRST REQUEST FOR EXTENSION)	
23 24	RUSSELL INVESTMENTS TRUST		
	COMPANY, CAESARS HOLDINGS, INC.,		
25 26	THE PLAN INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and THE 401(K) PLAN COMMITTEE.		
26			
27	Defendants.		
28			

1	Plaintiffs Danny Wanek and Juan Duarte ("Plaintiffs"), as representatives of a proposed
2	class of similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the Caesars Entertainment Corporation Savings
3	& Retirement Plan, and Defendants Russell Investments Trust Company, Caesars Holdings, Inc.,
4	the Plan Investment Committee, and the 401(k) Plan Committee ("Defendants") (collectively, the
5	"Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby jointly request that the Court extend
6	the deadlines in the Court's Amended Scheduling Order (ECF No. 115) as specified below:
7	WHEREAS, the Court issued the original Scheduling Order in this case on October 12,
8	2021 (ECF No. 63), while Defendants' Motions to Dismiss were pending;
9	WHEREAS, the original Scheduling Order listed placeholder dates to be adjusted based on
10	the timing of the Court's decision on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss;
11	WHEREAS, the Court issued an Amended Scheduling Order on April 20, 2023 (ECF No.
12	115) listing specific dates for each deadline;
13	WHEREAS, after the Court issued the Amended Scheduling Order, Defendants began the
14	process of identifying custodians, collecting additional potentially responsive materials and
15	electronically stored information ("ESI"), and engaging vendors to assist with processing and
16	reviewing the materials and ESI. Due to the volume of additional materials and ESI that needed to
17	be collected, the process of identifying and collecting materials and ESI potentially responsive to
18	Plaintiffs' requests for production of documents has taken longer than anticipated;
19	WHEREAS, the Parties have already produced tens of thousands of documents and
20	document productions are still ongoing;
21	WHEREAS, the Parties have conducted depositions of representatives from each party and
22	are in the process of scheduling additional depositions;
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint adding two
24	additional Named Plaintiffs and reinstating their claim for co-fiduciary liability against the Caesars
25	Defendants remains pending;
26	WHEREAS, this motion is not the result of unnecessary delay or a lack of diligence in
27	conducting discovery by any party;
28	WHEREAS, the current deadline to complete fact discovery is February 7, 2024;
	2

WHEREAS, the Parties request to extend the fact discovery deadline and, as a result, each
 of the affected deadlines below, by roughly 90 days (accounting for holidays, weekends, or other
 scheduling conflicts);

4 WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) requires a showing of good cause 5 and the judge's consent in order to extend case deadlines. Pursuant to Ninth Circuit caselaw, "[t]he 6 proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present to the Court a timely 7 request for an extension before the time fixed has expired[.]" Shields v. Baker, 2020 WL 8991812, 8 at *2 (D. Nev. May 5, 2020) (quotation omitted). "Additionally, courts have inherent power to 9 control their dockets." Id. (citing Hamilton v. Copper & Steel Corp. v. Primary Steel, Inc., 898 F.2d 10 1428, 1429 (9th Cir. 1990)); see also Nelson v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Illinois, 2011 WL 12848, at *2 11 (D. Nev. Jan. 4, 2011) (exercising discretion to extend deadlines despite a party's lack of diligence). 12 Courts generally find good cause where parties must review thousands of documents before the 13 close of discovery and where other motions filed during the discovery period remain pending. See, e.g., Internet Sports Int'l, Ltd., v. Amelco USA, LLC, 2023 WL 6540193, at *1 (D. Nev. Oct. 6, 14 15 2023) (granting request for extension where "thousands of documents" would take "significant time 16 to review"); Hampton v. Nevada, 2021 WL 3573640, at *2 (D. Nev. July 29, 2021) (granting motion 17 to extend deadlines where motion to amend the complaint remained pending); Cervantes v. Scott, 18 2020 WL 1816294, at *2 (D. Nev. Apr. 9, 2020) (granting request to extend deadlines where a 19 motion for reconsideration remained pending).

20 WHEREAS, the parties have demonstrated that there is good cause to reasonably extend 21 the below deadlines. The voluminous document productions in this case require time to gather, 22 review, and produce. The requested extension will allow the Parties time to review the documents 23 before completing additional depositions and allow the Parties additional time after the holidays to 24 coordinate the schedules of the multiple parties required to conduct each deposition. Further, the 25 discovery period will account for any delays in the production of responsive materials and ESI and 26 allow the Parties to continue to diligently pursue discovery. Finally, extending the discovery period 27 will allow the Court additional time to rule on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Fourth

28

1	Amended Complaint and additional time for the Parties to conduct depositions of the additional
2	Named Plaintiffs if the motion is granted.

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have conferred and agreed to the following deadlines:

6	Event	Proposed Date	
7	Fact Discovery Deadline	May 7, 2024	
8	Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their expert reports	June 7, 2024	
9	Deadline Defendants to serve their expert reports	July 19, 2024	
10	Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their rebuttal expert reports	August 16, 2024	
11 12	Deadline for expert discovery	September 6, 2024	
12	Deadline for summary judgment motions	September 16, 2024	
13	WHEREAS, this request is not made for purposes of delay; and		
15	WHEREAS, this is the first request for an extension of time for the subject deadlines;		
-			

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the approval of the Court, that

the Parties will abide by the following deadlines:

17	the function of the following deadlines.	
18	Event	Proposed Date
	Fact Discovery Deadline	May 7, 2024
19 20	Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their expert	June 7, 2024
20	reports	·
21	Deadline Defendants to serve their expert reports	July 19, 2024
22	Deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their rebuttal expert reports	August 16, 2024
23	Deadline for expert discovery	September 6, 2024
24	Deadline for summary judgment motions	September 16, 2024
25		

_0

1	Dated: December 29, 2023	Dated: December 29, 2023
2	NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP /s/ Benjamin J. Bauer	MAYER BROWN LLP /s/ D. Matthew Moscon
3	Paul J. Lukas, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice)	D. Matthew Moscon (admitted pro hac vice)
4	Brock J. Specht, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) Benjamin J. Bauer, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac</i>	201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111
5	vice)	Telephone: (801) 907-2703
6	4700 IDS Center 80 S. 8th Street	mmoscon@mayerbrown.com
	Minneapolis, MN 55402	MAYER BROWN LLP
7	Telephone: (612) 256-3200	Nancy G. Ross (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 71 South Wacker Drive
8	PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC	Chicago, IL 60606
9	Paul S. Padda, Esq. 4560 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 300	Telephone: (312) 782-0600 nross@mayerbrown.com
10	Las Vegas, NV 89103	moss@mayerorown.com
11	Telephone: (702) 366-1888 Attorneys for Plaintiff	LITTLER MENDELSON P.C. Patrick H. Hicks, Esq. Bar. No. 004632
	Miomeys for T taining	Diana G. Dickinson, Esq. Bar No. 13477
12		3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937
13		Telephone: (702) 862-8800
14		phicks@littler.com
15		ddickinson@littler.com
16		Attorneys for Defendant Caesars Holdings,
17		Inc., the Plan Investment Committee, and the 401(k) Plan Committee
18		MILBANK LLP
19		<u>/s/ Robert C. Hora</u> Sean M. Murphy, Esq. (admitted pro hac
20		vice)
20		Robert C. Hora, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) Joseph J. Kammerman, Esq. (<i>admitted pro</i>
22		<i>hac vice)</i> Emily E. Werkmann, Esq. (<i>admitted pro hac</i>
23		<i>vice)</i> 55 Hudson Yards
24		New York, NY 10001 Telephone: (212) 530-5000
25		
26		PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER Rew R. Goodenow, Esq. NSBN 3722
27		Michael R. Kealy, Esq. NSBN 971 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750
28		Reno, NV 89501 Telephone: (775) 323-1601
		5

1		Attorneys for Defendant Russell Investments
2		Trust Company
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	DATED: <u>1/2/2024</u>	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12		Benbucken
13		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		6