Shankle et al	v. The Heights of Summerlin, LLC et al			
	Case 2:21-cv-01316-JAD-BNW Docu	ment 21 Filed 09/02/21 Page 1 of 6		
1	COGBURN LAW			
	Jamie S. Cogburn, Esq.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 8409			
2	jsc@cogburncares.com			
3	Hunter S. Davidson, Esq.			
4	Nevada Bar No. 14860 hsd@cogburncares.com			
+	2580 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 330			
5	Henderson, Nevada 89074			
5	Telephone: (702) 748-7777			
6	Facsimile: (702) 966-3880			
-	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7				
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9	KASHIF SHANKLE, as Special			
	Administrator for the Estate of RITA ESPARZA; ALFRED ESPARZA, as	Case No.: 2:21-cv-01316-JAD-BNW		
10	Statutory Heir to RITA ESPARZA;			
11	LEONARD ESPARZA, as Statutory Heir to	DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING		
11	RITA ESPARZA; MARIA ESPARZA, as	ORDER		
12	Statutory Heir to RITA ESPARZA; LILIANA			
12	ESPARZA, as Statutory Heir to RITA ESPARZA; and LETICIA ESPARZA as	SUBMITTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH LR 26-1(b)		
13	Statutory Heir to RITA ESPARZA,	LK 20-1(0)		
13				
14	Plaintiff,			
	VS.			
15	THE HEIGHTS OF SUMMERLIN, LLC, a			
	foreign limited liability company; SUMMIT			
16	CARE, LLC, a foreign limited liability			
	company; GENESIS HEALTHCARE, INC., a			
17	domestic corporation, LATOYA DAVIS, individually and as Administrator; ANDREW			
	REESE, individually and as Administrator;			
18	DOE EMPLOYEES 1–10; DOE SERVICE			
10	PROVIDERS 11–20; DOE GOVERNING			
19	MEMBERS 21–30; ROE GOVERNING BODIES 31–40; and DOES 41–50; and ROE			
20	CORPORATIONS 51–60, inclusive,			
20				
21	Defendants.			
<i>2</i> 1]		
22				
	Plaintiffs KASHIF SHANKLE, as Special Administrator for the Estate of RITA			
23	ESPARZA; ALFRED ESPARZA, LEONARD ESPARZA, RITA ESPARZA; MARIA			
	ESFARZA, ALFRED ESFARZA, LEUNARD ESFARZA, KIIA ESPARZA; MAKIA			
24				
	Page 1 of 6			
	rage 1 of 0			

Dockets.Justia.com

ESPARZA, RITA ESPARZA, LILIANA ESPARZA, and LETICIA ESPARZA (colletively,
 "Plaintiffs") and Defendants THE HEIGHTS OF SUMMERLIN, LLC, SUMMIT CARE, LLC,
 GENESIS HEALTHCARE, INC., LATOYA DAVIS, and ANDREW REESE (collectively,
 "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, held a telephonic conference on
 August 31, 2021 and file this Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order pursuant to Fed. R.
 Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE

8

I.

7

<u>FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a) INITIAL DISCLOSURE</u>

Parties have agreed to exchange initial disclosures no later than September 14, 2021,
which is 14 days after the Rule 26 Conference was held. The parties expressly agree any document
productions shall be bates-stamped with the parties' respective identifying bates system. Other
than this, no changes are necessary in the form or requirement for disclosures under Fed. R. Civ.
P. 26(a).

14 **II**.

15

II. <u>ESTIMATE OF TIME REQUIRED FOR DISCOVERY</u>

A. DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE

Discovery will take 270 days from July 19, 2021, which is the date Defendant The Heights of Summerlin, LLC filed its Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, the first responsive pleading. Accordingly, all discovery must be completed no later than **April 15, 2022**. The parties may conduct discovery within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b). Subject to the foregoing, discovery need not be limited or focused on particular issues or conducted in phases.

21

B. AMENDING THE PLEADINGS AND ADDING PARTIES

Unless stated herein or ordered by the Court, the date for filing motions to amend the
pleadings or to add parties shall not be later than 90 days prior to the discovery cut-off date, and
therefore not later than January 14, 2022.

1 2

C. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(2) DISCLOSURES (EXPERTS)

In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), disclosures identifying experts shall be made
60 days prior to the discovery cut-off date, and therefore not later than February 14, 2022 and
disclosures of rebuttal experts shall be made 30 days after the initial disclosure of experts, and
therefore not later than March 16, 2022.

6

D. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

7 The parties shall file dispositive motions 30 days after the discovery cut-off date, and
8 therefore not later than May 16, 2022.

9

E. PRETRIAL ORDER

If no dispositive motions are filed, and unless otherwise ordered by this Court, the Joint
Pretrial Order shall be filed 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, and therefore
not later than June 15, 2022. In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the Joint
Pretrial Order shall be suspended until 30 days after the Court enters a ruling on the dispositive
motions, or otherwise by further order of the Court. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
26(a)(3), and any objections thereto, shall be included in the Joint Pretrial Order.

16

F. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The parties certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative
dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration, and if applicable, early neutral
evaluation.

20

G. ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF CASE DISPOSTION

The parties certify that they considered consenting to trial by a magistrate judge and use of
the Short Trial Program.

23

24

1

2

H. ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

1. <u>Electronically Stored Information and Electronic Service of Discovery</u>

3 The parties have discussed the retention and production of electronic data. The parties 4 consent to electronic service of any and all discovery documents. Said service shall be deemed 5 completed by sending an email with the related discovery document(s) to all service addresses for 6 counsel and counsel's staff on record with the court for the above-captioned matter at the time of 7 service. The parties further agree, when serving by email, if any error or delayed delivery message 8 is received by the sending party, that party shall promptly (within one business day of receipt of 9 such message) notify the intended recipient(s) of the message and serve the pleading or other 10 papers by other authorized means. This consent does not preclude counsel from serving discovery 11 documents by mail in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. 5(b), including service of electronic files 12 copied to compact disc or similar storage media. Whether served by e-mail or by mail, three 13 additional days shall be added to the timeline within which to respond, as provided for in Fed. R. 14 Civ. P. 6(d). To the extent discovery requests are served on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, 15 service will be deemed effective on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. 16 The parties reserve the right to revisit this issue if a dispute or need arises.

17

2. <u>Electronic Evidence Conference Certification</u>

The parties further intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes
of jury deliberations. The parties discussed the presentation of evidence for juror deliberations, but
did not reach any stipulations as to that method as this early stage.

21

III. <u>EXTENSIONS OR MODIFICATIONS OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN AND</u> <u>SCHEDULING ORDER</u>

- 22
- 23

24

LR 26-3 governs modifications or extensions to this discovery plan and scheduling order.

1 IV. PROVIDING "SHELLS" OR WORD VERSIONS TO OPPOSING COUNSEL 2 2

The parties agree that for each set of written discovery propounded upon the other, an accompanying "word" or "word perfect" version of said discovery set shall be provided in order to reduce the time and effort in having to type out the requests or interrogatories. The failure to do so does not deem service of the written discovery ineffective.

6

7

20

21

22

23

24

V.

3

4

5

PROTECTION OF PRIVILEGED/TRIAL PREPARATION MATERIAL

If a party discovers it has inadvertently disclosed privileged or trial preparation material, it 8 agrees to notify the opposing party in writing within 30 days of the discovery that such document(s) 9 have been disclosed, which written notification will set forth the basis for the claim that the items 10 disclosed are privileged or trial preparation material. If the party receiving the disclosure agrees 11 that the inadvertently-produced items are privileged or trial preparation material, it will return all 12 such items to the producing party without the retention of any copies. If the receiving party disputes 13 that the items are privileged or trial preparation materials, it will within 30 days of written 14 notification of the inadvertent disclosure, present the disputed items inadvertently disclosed to the 15 court under seal for a decision with respect thereto (without the retention of copies), including with 16 such submission the producing party's written notification and any statement the receiving party 17 wishes to make in support of its position that the items are not privileged or trial preparation 18 material. If the receiving party disclosed the inadvertently-produced privileged or trial preparation 19

Case 2:21-cv-01316-JAD-BNW Document 21 Filed 09/02/21 Page 6 of 6

1	un stariala hafana haina natifiad af tha ina duantant dia laguna itariil taleana anahla stara ta natriar	
	materials before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure, it will take reasonable steps to retriev	/e
2	the materials pending resolution of the matter.	
3	Dated this <u>1st</u> day of September 2021.Dated this <u>1st</u> day of September 2021.	
4	COGBURN LAW MESSNER REEVES, LLP	
5	By:/s/ Hunter S. DavidsonBy:/s/ Courtney ChristopherJamie S. Cogburn, Esq.David J. Mortensen, Esq.	
6	Nevada Bar No. 8409 Nevada Bar No. 2547	
7	Hunter S. Davidson, Esq.Courtney Christopher, Esq.Nevada Bar No. 14860Nevada Bar No. 12717	
8	2580 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 330Derek Linford, Esq.Henderson, Nevada 89074Nevada Bar No. 14909	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff8945 West Russell Road, Suite 300Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Order	
19	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 19 is DENIED without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Rule 26-1(a). The	
20		
21	parties must resubmit a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order by	
22	9/10/2021.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 3:44 pm, September 02, 2021	
24	Benbweten	
2 - T	BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	Page 6 of 6	