

**BACKUS | BURDEN**  
3050 SOUTH DURANGO  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89117  
TELE: (702) 872-5555 FAX: (702) 872-5545

1 Jack P. Burden, Esq.  
2 Nevada State Bar No. 6918  
3 Jacquelyn Franco, Esq.  
4 Nevada State Bar No. 13484  
5 **BACKUS | BURDEN**  
6 3050 South Durango Drive  
7 Las Vegas, NV 89117  
8 (702) 872-5555  
9 (702) 872-5545  
10 [jburden@backuslaw.com](mailto:jburden@backuslaw.com)  
11 *Attorneys for Defendant*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 VICTORIA ABINA, individually, ) Case No. 2:22-cv-01142-JAD-BNW  
11 )  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 vs. ) **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND**  
14 ) **DISCOVERY DEADLINES**  
15 ) ***Fourth Request***  
16 ALBERTSON’S LLC dba ALBERTSON’S )  
17 #4039, a foreign limited-liability company; and )  
18 DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, )  
19 inclusive )  
20 Defendants. )

21 In accordance with Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court for the  
22 District of Nevada (“LR”) 26-4, Defendant Albertson’s LLC (“Defendant”), by and through its  
23 counsel of record, the law firm of BACKUS | BURDEN, and Plaintiff Victoria Abina (“Plaintiff”), by  
24 and through her counsel of record, RUIZ LAW FIRM, hereby stipulate and agree to an extension of  
25 all remaining discovery deadlines by *thirty (30) days*. The parties propose the following revised  
26 discovery plan (*new information in bold italics*):

27 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(b), the Parties hereby aver that this is the first such discovery  
28 extension requested in this matter. Moreover, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, it is respectfully  
submitted that the Parties’ failure to request the instant extension of discovery twenty-one (21)  
days prior to the deadline for initial expert disclosure was the result of excusable neglect.  
Specifically noting the failure to request the extension of was a mere oversight by the Parties.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service*, 231 F.3d 1220 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). Further: 1) there is no danger of prejudice as the extension is stipulated by the Parties; 2) a one hundred eighty (180) day extension will not impact a trial date because the same has not been scheduled; 3) the Parties, their respective Counsel, and witnesses have been limited in appearing for deposition due to the Covid-19 pandemic; and 4) the requested extension is made in good faith by both Parties. *Pioneer Investment Services v. Brunswick Associate’s, Ltd.*, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993).

**I.**

**DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE**

1. Plaintiff served her Initial Disclosure Pursuant to FRCP 26 on August 2, 2022, **with one supplement thereto**;
2. Defendant served its Initial Disclosure Pursuant to FRCP 26 on August 11, 2022, **with two supplements thereto**;
3. Plaintiff produced her First Sets of Requests for Production and Interrogatories to Defendant, which were answered;
4. Defendant produced its First Sets of Requests for Production and Interrogatories to Plaintiff, which were answered;
5. Defendant served its First Supplemental Disclosure Pursuant to FRCP 26 on September 26, 2022;
6. Defendant ~~noticed~~ took Plaintiff’s deposition ~~for~~ on December 16, 2022 at 10:00am;
7. Defendant noticed the following Custodians of Records Depositions:
  - a. Desert Radiology, December 9, 2022 at 9:00am
  - b. Nevada Health Centers, December 9, 2022 at 9:15am
  - c. Nevada Spine Clinic, December 9, 2022 at 9:30am
  - d. Southwest Medical Associates, December 9, 2022 at 9:45am
  - e. Suarez Physical Therapy, December 9, 2022 at 10:00am

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- f. Valley Hospital, December 9, 2022 at 10:15am
- g. Wolfson and Wolfson, December 9, 2022 at 10:30am
- 8. Plaintiff produced her Revised Initial List of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26(a) on February 14, 2023.
- 9. Plaintiff took the deposition of Defendant’s FRCP 30(b)(6) Witness on March 8, 2023.
- 10. *Plaintiff disclosed her Initial Designation of Expert Witnesses on June 12, 2023, with one supplement thereto;*
- 11. *Defendant disclosed its Initial Designation of Expert Witnesses on June 12, 2023*

**II.**  
**DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED AND REASONS**  
**FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY**

Discovery to be completed includes:

- ~~1. Plaintiff’s supplementation of her FRCP 26(a) Initial Disclosures with expected testimony of witnesses.~~
  - a. *Completed*
- ~~2. Deposition of Plaintiff, currently scheduled December 16, 2022.~~
  - a. *Completed*
- 3. Depositions of fact witnesses.
- 4. Depositions of Plaintiff’s treating medical providers.
- 5. Depositions of Defendant’s employees.
  - a. The parties have identified Defendant’s 4<sup>th</sup> Key Manager as a witness; who’s deposition will be noticed for February or March 2023
- ~~6. Deposition of Defendant’s FRCP 30(b)(6) representative(s).~~
  - ~~a. Scheduled March 8, 2023.~~
  - b. *Completed*

1 7. ~~FRCP 26(a)(2) designation of initial and rebuttal expert witnesses.~~

2 a. *Completed*

3 8. Depositions of initial and rebuttal expert witnesses.

4 Additional written discovery and depositions as the Parties deem necessary.

5 The Parties aver, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested  
6 extension.  
7

8 **III.**

9 **REASONS WHY DISCOVERY WAS NOT COMPLETED WITHIN TIME SET BY**  
10 **DISCOVERY PLAN**

11 This request is two-fold. First, the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic and now increase in RSV  
12 patients has made conducting depositions – especially depositions of physicians, many of whom have  
13 an understandably increased workflow and decreased availability – and other discovery-related tasks  
14 more difficult. Second, Defendant’s factual and corporate representatives have decreased availability  
15 during the December holiday season. Defendant’s 30(b)(6) Corporate Witness’ deposition has been  
16 scheduled for March 8, 2023. As such, the parties agree to extend discovery deadlines for ninety  
17 (90) days.  
18

19 Further, the Parties wish to investigate this case by completing the depositions of important  
20 witnesses prior to initial expert disclosures in an effort to determine if resolution of this matter  
21 may be reached prior to incurring fees and costs associated with disclosing experts and their  
22 respective opinions. The parties have entered into this agreement in good faith and not for  
23 purposes of delay.  
24

25 Following the deposition of Plaintiff and Defendant’s FRCP 30(b)(6) Witness, the parties  
26 entered settlement negotiations. While discussions continue – if settlement cannot be reached  
27 directly – the parties have also discussed exploring alternative dispute resolution through possible  
28 private mediation or by requesting judicial assistance in the form of a settlement conference.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*The Parties have stipulated to a Settlement Conference, which is currently scheduled December 15, 2023 before Magistrate Weksler. The instant discovery extension allows the Parties to focus on potential resolution without incurring additional fees and costs.*

*The parties have entered into this agreement in good faith and not for purposes of delay.*

**IV.**

**DISCOVERY DEADLINES**

Discovery cutoff:	October 13, 2023
Amending the pleadings or adding parties:	Closed
Initial expert disclosures:	August 11, 2023
Rebuttal expert disclosures:	September 11, 2023
Dispositive motions:	November 13, 2023
Joint Pre-Trial Order, if no Dispositive Motions	December 13, 2023

**VII.**

**NEW DISCOVERY DEADLINES**

Discovery cutoff:	<i>Closed</i>
Amending the pleadings or adding parties:	<i>Closed</i>
Expert disclosures:	<i>Closed</i>
Rebuttal expert disclosures	<i>Closed</i>
Dispositive motions:	<i>Closed</i>
Joint Pre-Trial Order, if no Dispositive Motions	<i>January 12, 2024</i>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Parties aver that this request for extension of discovery deadlines is made by the Parties in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

DATED this 27th day of November 2023.  
**RUIZ LAW FIRM**

DATED this 27th day of November 2023.  
**BACKUS | BURDEN**

/s/ Lawrence Ruiz  
LAWRENCE RUIZ, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 11451  
1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110  
Henderson, NV 89014  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Jacquelyn Franco  
JACK P. BURDEN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 6918  
JACQUELYN FRANCO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 13484  
3050 South Durango Drive  
Las Vegas, NV 89117  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED.  
DATED: 11/29/2023

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**BACKUS | BURDEN**  
3050 SOUTH DURANGO  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89117  
TELE: (702) 872-5555 FAX: (702) 872-5545