Reeves v. Di	scover Your Mobility, Inc. et al		Doc. 21	
	Case 2:22-cv-01361-GMN-DJA Docu	ument 21 Filed 06/13/23 Page 1 of 6		
1	SAO			
2	BRADLEY S. MAINOR, ESQ.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 7434			
3	JOSEPH J. WIRTH, ESQ.			
4	Nevada Bar No. 10280 ASH MARIE BLACKBURN, ESQ.			
	Nevada Bar No. 14712			
5	MAINOR WIRTH, LLP			
6	6018 S. Fort Apache Road, Ste. 150			
7	Las Vegas, NV 89148-5652			
7	Tel: (702) 464-5000 Fax: (702) 463-4440			
8	ash@mwinjury.com			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
-				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12	ELLEN REEVES, individually,	CASE NO: 2:22-CV-01361-GMN-DJA		
13	Plaintiff,			
14	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO		
1.5	DISCOVER YOUR MOBILITY, INC., a	EXTEND DISCOVERY PLAN AND		
15	foreign corporation; DOE EMPLOYEES I-V,	SCHEDULING ORDER		
16	individually; DOE MANAGERS I-V, individually; ROE MANUFACTURERS I-X;	(FIRST REQUEST)		
17	ROE INSPECTION COMPANIES I-V; ROE	(FIK51 KEQUEST)		
	DISTRIBUTORS I-X; DOE INDIVIDUALS			
18	I-X; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I-X, inclusive,			
19				
20	Defendants.			
	Plaintiff ELLEN REEVES and Defendant DISCOVER YOUR MOBILITY, INC., by			
21	and through their respective counsel of record, do hereby stipulate to extend the discovery cutoff			
22	in the present case for a period of ninety (90) days.			
23	Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, the parties hereby aver that this is the first such discovery			
24				
25	extension requested in this matter:			
26				
27	///			
28	PAGE 1 OF 6			
		Docks	ets.Justia.com	
		DUCK		

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I.

GOOD CAUSE SUPPORTING THE EXTENSION

The parties come to this Court seeking a first extension of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order governing this complicated product liability/personal injury case. The parties have diligently moved this case forward, but additional discovery remains to be completed which warrants the requested extension.

A. SUMMARY OF EFFORTS THUS FAR

Plaintiff filed her Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court on June 17, 2022. Defendant removed this matter to the United States District Court, District of Nevada, on August 22, 2022. *See* ECF No. 1. On September 12, 2022, Defendant filed its Statement Regarding Removal. *See* ECF No. 7. Defendant filed its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint on September 25, 2022. *See* ECF No. 13.

On August 17, 2022, the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order was filed. *See* ECF No. 15. Plaintiff served her Initial Disclosure of Witness and Production of Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1) on October 6, 2022. Defendant served its Initial Disclosure of Witness and Production of Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1) on November 4, 2022.

Plaintiff then served her First Requests for Production of Documents on December 16, 2022. Due to a change in Defendant's counsel from within defense counsel's office, extensions were granted for Defendant's responses to those Requests for Production.

On March 8, 2023, Defendant responded to Plaintiff's First Requests for Production. The materials produced raised a tangential, but important issue regarding the scope of Defendant's potential liability coverage. Counsel for both parties have met and conferred regarding the issue and Plaintiff has inquired into the same via additional written discovery.

Since then, Plaintiff has sent additional written discovery to which Defendant has produced responses. Further, the parties are planning another meet and confer to fully address all of the outstanding issues and move the case forward meaningfully.

B. GOOD CAUSE

This is a complex product liability - personal injury case. Further complicating this case is the lack of information as to the entity who manufactured the subject product and that entity's role in the chain of commerce. Plaintiff's counsel and Defense counsel have been working together to address the issue and potentially identify the manufacturer. Moreover, both sides are cognizant of litigation costs and desire to maintain economic efficiency where possible. Therefore, certain discovery has not yet been completed.

Plaintiff has inquired about conducting the FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of Defendant DYM but not yet done so pending the issues addressed here. With the lack of clarity regarding these issues, both parties have tried to be conservative and limit costs. The parties anticipate that the issues can be resolved through cooperation rather than costly back-and-forth discovery. However, both parties wish to preserve their rights to conduct further discovery upon resolution of the issues referenced herein. The parties anticipate those issues will be resolved relatively soon, which will give more clarity on the direction of the case and allow for more meaningful discovery going forward.

Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel has a one-to-two-week federal trial starting in the beginning of July. This will hinder any discovery from going forward for the week prior to the trial through the end of the trial. The efforts of the parties thus far and Plaintiff's counsel's upcoming trial coupled with the complexities of the case certainly illustrate good cause for the requested extension. Therefore, for the reasons stated above, granting an extension to the discovery deadlines in this case is in the interest of justice and good cause exists therefor.

II.

DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE.

To date, Plaintiff has produced the following discovery:

- Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits (served October 6, 2022);
- Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant DYM (served December 16, 2022);

PAGE 3 OF 6

Case 2:22-cv-01361-GMN-DJA Document 21 Filed 06/13/23 Page 4 of 6

- Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant DYM (served March 3, 2023);
- Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant DYM (served March 3, 2023);
- Plaintiff's First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits (served March 6, 2023).
- Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission to Defendant DYM (served April 11, 2023);
- Plaintiff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant DYM (served April 11, 2023);
- Plaintiff's Second Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits (served May 23, 2023).

To date, Defendant DYM has produced the following discovery:

- Defendant DYM's Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits (served November 4, 2022);
- Defendant DYM's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Served March 8, 2023);
- Defendant DYM's Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Served April 10, 2023);
 - Defendant DYM's Responses to Plaintiff's Amended First Set of Interrogatories (served April 20, 2023);
 - Defendant DYM's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission (Served May 10, 2023);

III. DISCOVERY REMAINING TO BE COMPLETED.

In accordance with LR II 26-4(b), the Parties provide the following statement of discovery remaining to be completed:

1.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Depositions of fact witnesses;

PAGE 4 OF 6

2. Deposition(s) of Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) witness(es);

- 3. Deposition(s) of insurance broker(s);
- 4. Additional written discovery;
- 5. Addition of other parties;
- 6. Initial Expert disclosures;
- 7. Rebuttal Expert disclosures; and
- 8. Depositions of expert witnesses.

A. WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED.

The parties have been working together diligently to resolve outstanding issues and push the case forward. Plaintiff intends to take the FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of Defendant DYM in the coming weeks to resolve the outstanding issues. Following the deposition, both parties will have clarity on the direction of the case and anticipate that it will move forward quickly thereafter.

Based upon the foregoing, the parties respectfully request a ninety (90) day extension of all current discovery deadlines. The parties are confident that a granting of the request for a ninety (90) day extension of the remaining discovery deadlines would allow them to complete the required discovery. This request is made in good faith, not for the purpose of delay.

IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING DISCOVERY.

This request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or other purpose of delay. The parties respectfully submit that this constitutes good cause for the extension. The following is a list of the current discovery deadlines and the parties' proposed extended deadlines:

Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
September 25, 2023	December 24, 2023
June 27, 2023	September 25, 2023
June 27, 2023	September 25, 2023
August 26, 2023	November 24, 2023
October 25, 2023	January 23, 2024
November 24, 2023	February 22, 2024
	September 25, 2023 June 27, 2023 June 27, 2023 August 26, 2023 October 25, 2023

This is the first request for an extension of time in this matter and no trial date will be impacted by the extension as no such trial date has been set. The parties submit that the reasons set forth above constitute good cause for the requested extension.

If this extension is granted, all discovery mentioned above should be concluded within the stipulated extended deadlines. The parties aver that this request for extension of discovery deadlines is made by the parties in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

Dated this 9th day of June, 2023. ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS

/<u>s/Vincent Garrido</u> VINCENT GARRIDO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 15918 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway Henderson, NV 89052 *Attorney for Defendant DYM*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated this 9th day of June, 2023. **MAINOR WIRTH, LLP**

<u>/s/Ash Marie Blackburn</u> ASH MARIE BLACKBURN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14712 6018 S. Fort Apache Road, Ste. 150 Las Vegas, NV 89148 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 13th day of June, 2023.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PAGE 6 OF 6