

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 **ANDREW J. THOMAS, ESQ.**
Nevada Bar No. 000017
2 at@steveburrislaw.com
3 **BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC**
2810 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite F-58
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 258-6238 - Telephone
5 (702) 258-8280 - Facsimile

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 JAMES CHIPMAN, an individual,) Case No. 2:23-cv-00761-JCM-BNW
10)
Plaintiff,)

11 vs.) **SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW**
12) **REQUESTED**

13 LYFT, INC., a foreign corporation; and)
DOES I through X, inclusive, and, ROE)
14 ENTITIES 1 THROUGH X inclusive,)
15 Defendants.)

16 _____)
17 **STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

18
19 **Statement of Reasons Why Longer Time Periods Should Apply to this Case:**

20 Without waiver or admission of the parties, this case involves a motor vehicle accident which
21 occurred on or about July 23, 2021 in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiff James Chipman
22 alleges that he was working as a Lyft driver at the time of the accident.

23
24 Plaintiff filed his Complaint on April 14, 2023 in the District Court, Clark County, Nevada
25 under Case No. A-23-868989-C. The Complaint was served on Defendant Lyft, Inc. on April 21,

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 2023. Defendant filed a Petition for Removal based on diversity jurisdiction (#1) and Answer to
2 Complaint (#3) on May 15, 2023.

3 Plaintiff alleges he was injured as a result of the subject accident. Plaintiff further alleges
4 that Defendant Lyft, Inc. made representations that Defendant would provide Uninsured and Under-
5 insured motorist insurance coverage to Plaintiff, and that Defendant failed to provide such insurance.
6

7 Defendant denies the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint.

8 The parties believe that discovery, including depositions will be necessary regarding the
9 following subjects:

- 10 a. Plaintiff's alleged status as a driver for Lyft,
- 11 b. Alleged representations by Defendant regarding insurance coverage provided to Lyft
12 drivers,
- 13 c. Insurance coverage provided by Defendant to Lyft drivers, and alleged waiver of
14 uninsured motorist coverage,
15
- 16 d. Plaintiff's alleged neck and back injuries, and medical treatment,
17
- 18 e. Alleged future medical treatment, and
19
- 20 f. All issues raised by the pleadings.

21 The completion of necessary discovery regarding the issues listed above will require more
22 time than the default discovery schedule. The default schedule, under LR 26-1(b), would place
23 discovery deadlines unrealistically soon (i.e., 180 days from the date the Defendant first appeared
24 would be measured from May 15, 2023, the date that Defendant filed their Petition for Removal (#1)
25

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and Answer to Complaint (#3), which would be November 13, 2023). For these reasons, the parties propose and request an additional 213 days of discovery relative to that otherwise established by LR 26-1(b).

1. Meeting.

Pursuant to Rules 26(a) and 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR 26-1(a), a meeting was held on July 10, 2023, and was conducted by Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. for Plaintiff James Chipman, and Robert R. Warns, Esq. for Defendant Lyft, Inc.

2. Parties' Views and Proposals.

A. When disclosures under 26(a) were made or will be made:

1. Plaintiff's disclosures: Plaintiff will produce his Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Disclosures within fourteen (14) days of the date of the Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Conference. Further, Plaintiff will supplement his Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Disclosures thereafter as discovery continues and additional information is obtained to warrant supplementing same.

2. Defendant's disclosures: Defendant will produce its Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Disclosures within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Conference. Further, Defendant will supplement its Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26 Disclosures thereafter as discovery continues and additional information is obtained to warrant supplementing same.

///

///

///

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

B. Subjects on which discovery may be needed:

1. Plaintiff's view: Liability and damages and all claims made in Plaintiff's Complaint, and any amendments thereto, and all affirmative defenses asserted by Defendant, including the following subjects of discovery:

- a. Plaintiff's alleged status as a driver for Lyft,
- b. Alleged representations by Defendant regarding insurance coverage provided to Lyft drivers,
- c. Insurance coverage provided by Defendant to Lyft drivers, and alleged waiver of uninsured motorist coverage,
- d. Plaintiff's alleged neck and back injuries, and medical treatment,
- e. Alleged future medical treatment.

2. Defendant's view: All issues raised by the pleadings, including, but not limited to, liability and damages and all claims made in Plaintiff's Complaint, and any amendments thereto, and all defenses and affirmative defenses asserted by Defendant.

C. Should discovery be conducted in phases or limited to or focused upon particular issues?

- 1. Plaintiff's view: No.
- 2. Defendant's view: No.

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd, Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 D. Issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form
2 or forms in which it should be produced:

3 1. Plaintiff's view: Plaintiff alleges that Defendant made certain
4 representations on its website regarding insurance coverage that would be provided to Lyft drivers.
5 At this time it is not known if information from the website can be produced in paper and / or PDF
6 format.
7

8 2. Defendant's view: None at this time.

9 If the parties learn that electronically stored information is available and would impact the
10 case, the parties will meet and confer about the production of that information in an acceptable
11 format. If such issues should arise later, then the parties will bring the issues to the Court's
12 attention.
13

14 E. Issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial preparation materials
15 including - if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after production - whether to ask
16 the court to include their agreement in an order:
17

18 1. Plaintiff's view: None at this time.

19 2. Defendant's view: None at this time.

20 If such issues should arise later, then the parties will bring the issues to the Court's attention if the
21 matter cannot be resolved among the parties.
22

23 ///

24 ///

25

26

27

28

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

F. What changes should be made in limitations on discovery imposed under these rules or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed?

1. Plaintiff's view: None.
2. Defendant's view: None.

G. What other orders should be entered by Court under Rule 26 (c) or Rule 16(b) and (c):

1. Plaintiff's view: None.
2. Defendant's view: Defendant anticipates proposing a stipulated confidentiality agreement and protective order to facilitate the production of sensitive, confidential information.
3. Discovery Plan.

Accordingly, after having conferred, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties propose and request the discovery schedule below, which includes an additional 213 days of discovery relative to the default time periods otherwise established by LR 26-1(e).

The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:

(A) After approval of the Discovery Plan, all parties intend to submit written Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and/or Request for Admissions to the parties involved.

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(B) Following the receipt of written discovery, the parties intend to engage in deposition discovery. These depositions may include the parties, percipient witnesses, medical providers, and expert witnesses. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties reserve the right to take any and all discovery allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at any time permitted by the Rules.

(1) Subject of Discovery. Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: All facts and issues relating to the Plaintiff's allegations set forth in the Complaint, and any amendments thereto, as well as possible expert, medical providers and claimed defenses and/or affirmative defenses.

(2) Discovery Cut-Off Date(s). Discovery will take three hundred and sixty five (365) days, measured from July 10, 2023, which is the date the parties held the F.R.C.P. Rule 26 meeting. Therefore, discovery must be commenced in time to be completed by **July 10, 2024**, unless the parties request an order from the Court extending the discovery period.

(3) Disclosure - Experts (Fed. R. Civ. P.(a)(2). Disclosure of experts shall proceed according to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2):

(a) The disclosure of the parties' experts and expert reports shall occur on or before **May 10, 2024**, which is a date no later than sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date; and,

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 (b) The disclosure of the parties' rebuttal experts and their reports shall
2 occur on or before **June 10, 2024**, which is thirty (30) days after the deadline for the initial
3 disclosure of experts;

4 For good cause shown, the parties make seek leave of Court to designate
5 additional experts, notwithstanding the schedule provided above.
6

7 4. Additional Items.

8 A. Time Limitations on Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties.

9 Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the last day in which pleadings may move to
10 be amended, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 13, 14, and 15, shall be
11 **April 11, 2024**. This is no later than ninety (90) days before the discovery cut-off date and does not
12 exceed the outside limit presumptively set by Local Rule 26-1(b)(2) of ninety (90) days before the
13 discovery cut-off date for filing such motions.
14

15 B. Interim Status Report.

16 The parties shall file the interim status report required by LR 26-3 by **May 10, 2024**.
17 The proposed date would be no later than sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date. The
18 undersigned counsel certify that they have read LR 26-3 and that this date is not later than sixty (60)
19 days before the discovery cut-off date.
20

21 C. Time Limitations on Dispositive Motions.

22 All dispositive motions, including motions for summary judgment, must be filed by
23 **August 7, 2024**. This is twenty-eight (28) days after the discovery cut-off date and within the
24

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 outside limit of thirty (30) days following the discovery cut-off date that Local Rule 26-1(b)(4)
2 presumptively sets for filing dispositive motions.

3 D. Settlement.

4 Settlement is possible, however, its likelihood cannot be evaluated at this time. The
5 parties will reassess mediation upon the close of discovery.

6 E. Pretrial Order.

7 The pretrial order shall be filed by September 6, 2024, which is not more than thirty
8 (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions in the case. In the event dispositive motions
9 are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after
10 decision of the dispositive motions or further order of the Court. The disclosures required by Fed. R.
11 Civ. P. 26(a)(3) shall be made in the joint pretrial order.
12

13 F. Court Conference.

14 The parties do not request a conference with the Court before entry of the scheduling
15 order.
16

17 G. Later Appearing Parties.

18 A copy of this discovery plan and scheduling order shall be served on any party
19 served after it is entered or, if additional defendants should appear, within five (5) days of their first
20 appearance. This discovery plan and scheduling order shall apply to such later appearing parties,
21 unless the Court, on motion and for good cause shown, orders otherwise.
22

23 H. Inadvertent Disclosures.

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) and (e), the parties all agree and request that entry of this
2 order establish that inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other
3 information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege
4 shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed
5 or as to any other related information for purposes of this or any other litigation. If a producing party
6 inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such
7 privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so
8 advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be
9 returned, stricken or otherwise appropriately handled to reverse the inadvertent disclosure. The
10 receiving party shall return all copies of the inadvertently produced material within ten (10) business
11 days of receipt of the request and otherwise cooperate to fulfill this agreement. Any notes or
12 summaries referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed.
13
14

15 I. Agreements Regarding Claims of Privilege or of Protection.

16 Except as set forth above, the parties have not made any agreements regarding claims of
17 privilege or of protection as to trial preparation materials. In the event a protective order becomes
18 necessary, then the parties will bring the issues to the Court's attention if the matter cannot first be
19 resolved by the parties.
20

21 J. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures.

22 Unless the discovery plan otherwise provides and the court so orders, the disclosures required
23 by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections to them must be included in the joint pretrial order.
24
25

James Chipman v. Lyft, Inc.
Case No. 2:23-cv-00761-JCM-BNW

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED this 14th day of July, 2023.

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC

/s/ Andrew J. Thomas

By: _____

Andrew J. Thomas, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 000017
at@steveburrislaw.com
2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorney for Plaintiff, JAMES CHIPMAN

DATED this 14th day of July, 2023.

REISMAN - SOROKAC

/s/ Robert R. Warns

By: _____

Robert R. Warns III, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12123
rwarns@rsnvlaw.com

cbodin@rsnvlaw.com
8965 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 382
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
Attorneys for Defendant, LYFT, INC.

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

James Chipman v. Lyft, Inc.
Case No. 2:23-cv-00761-JCM-BNW

BURRIS & THOMAS, LLC
Law Offices
2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite F-58
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 12 is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file a new Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order seeking a discovery period of 300 days by 7/21/2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 6:01 pm, July 17, 2023



BRENDA WEKSLER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE


From: [Robert Warns](#)
To: [Carmen Cherry](#); [Melanie Bruner](#)
Cc: [Andrew Thomas](#); [Priscilla Zoccole](#)
Subject: RE: Chipman v. Lyft; Case No. 2:23-cv-00761-JCM-BNW
Date: Friday, July 14, 2023 1:07:09 PM
Attachments: [Joint Discovery Plan Scheduling Order, 2nd rrw edits.doc](#)

Hi Carmen,

I caught some typos and formatting issues that I've cleaned up in the attached redline. With these changes you have my permission to file the plan using my /s/ signature.

Thanks,
Rob

ROBERT R. WARNS III, ESQ.



8965 SOUTH EASTERN AVENUE, SUITE 382
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89123

PHONE: (702) 727-6258
FAX: (702) 446-6756
EMAIL: RWARNS@RSNVLAW.COM
WEBSITE: WWW.RSNVLAW.COM

THIS E-MAIL COMMUNICATION IS A CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON NAMED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE PERSON NAMED ABOVE, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY OF THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AT (702) 727-6258. ALSO, PLEASE E-MAIL THE SENDER THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE COMMUNICATION IN ERROR. WE WILL GLADLY REIMBURSE YOUR TELEPHONE EXPENSES. THANK YOU.

From: Carmen Cherry <cc@steveburrislaw.com>
Sent: Friday, July 14, 2023 12:58 PM
To: Robert Warns <RWarns@rsnvlaw.com>; Melanie Bruner <mbruner@rsnvlaw.com>
Cc: Andrew Thomas <at@steveburrislaw.com>; Priscilla Zoccole <pz@steveburrislaw.com>
Subject: RE: Chipman v. Lyft; Case No. 2:23-cv-00761-JCM-BNW

Hi Mr. Warns; please one last review to the REVISED Joint Discovery Plan Scheduling Order with edits with all the changes you made. Please advise if ok to affix your e-signature.

Thank you.

Carmen.