

1 **MICHAEL ARATA, ESQ.**  
 Nevada Bar No. 11902  
 2 E-mail: [marata@hkm.com](mailto:marata@hkm.com)  
 3 **HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP**  
 101 Convention Center Dr., Suite 600  
 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109  
 Tel: (702) 805-8340  
 5 Fax: (702) 805-8340  
 6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 SHAYNA PEARSON, an individual,  
 10  
 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 ROCK GATE CAPITAL, LLC dba 160  
 13 DRIVING ACADEMY, an Illinois Limited  
 14 Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,  
 15 inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-  
 16 50, inclusive,  
 Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:24-cv-00133-CDS-MDC

(PROPOSED) STIPULATED  
 DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING  
 ORDER

SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW  
 REQUESTED

17  
 18 The parties, Plaintiff SHAYNA PEARSON (“Plaintiff”), and Defendant ROCK GATE  
 19 CAPITAL, LLC dba 160 DRIVING ACADEMY (“Defendant”), by and through their  
 20 respective attorneys of record, hereby submit this Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling  
 21 Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1(b).

22 **1. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) Initial Disclosures:**

23 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rule of Court 26-1(a), the  
 24 parties agree that they will exchange their Initial Disclosures on or before **April 11, 2024**.

25 **2. Discovery Cut-Off Date:**

26 The parties request a discovery period that exceeds 180 days from February 15, 2024,  
 27 the date of Defendant’s first appearance of counsel on its behalf (ECF 5). An ENE is currently  
 28 scheduled for June 11, 2024 (ECF 19).

1 While the parties have conducted limited settlement discussions to date, they believe  
2 that the neutral evaluator's assistance at the ENE will greatly increase the likelihood of  
3 settlement. As such, in an effort to save attorney and judicial resources, the parties have agreed  
4 not to engage in discovery prior to the June 11, 2024, ENE scheduled in this case other than  
5 exchanging initial disclosures.

6 However, the parties will need more time than 180 days from the date of Defendant's  
7 initial appearance to finish discovery if the matter does not resolve at the ENE. Should the  
8 matter not resolve at the ENE, the parties would have approximately two months to complete  
9 discovery,<sup>1</sup> including exchanging written discovery requests and conducting depositions,  
10 including Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition(s), the Plaintiff's deposition, as well as other  
11 percipient witness depositions. Moreover, under a strict 180-day period, the parties would be  
12 required to exchange initial expert witness disclosures only three days after the ENE is  
13 scheduled to take place.<sup>2</sup> As such, the current discovery schedule is not feasible.

14 For these three reasons, the parties respectfully submit that good cause exists to allow  
15 the parties to complete discovery within 180 days from the June 11, 2024, ENE, or **December**  
16 **9, 2024.**<sup>3</sup>

17 **3. Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties:**

18 The date for filing motions to amend the pleadings or to add parties shall not be later  
19 than 90 days prior to the discovery cut-off date and, thus, not later than **September 10, 2024.**

20 **4. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures (Experts):**

21 In accordance with Rule 26(a)(2), initial disclosures identifying experts shall be made  
22 60 days prior to the discovery cut-off date, and therefore, not later than **October 10, 2024,** and  
23 disclosures identifying rebuttal experts shall be made 30 days after the initial disclosure of  
24  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> 180 days from Defendant's initial appearance would be August 13, 2024.

27 <sup>2</sup> Applying an August 13, 2024, discovery cut-off date, initial expert disclosures would be due on June 14, 2024.

28 <sup>3</sup> 180 days from June 11, 2024, is Sunday, December 8, 2024, and as such, the deadline was moved to the following business day.

1 experts and, therefore, not later than **November 12, 2024**.<sup>4</sup>

2 **5. Dispositive Motions:**

3 The parties shall file dispositive motions not more than 30 days after the discovery cut-  
4 off date and, therefore, not later than **January 8, 2025**.

5 **6. Pretrial Order:**

6 If no dispositive motions are filed, and unless otherwise ordered by this Court, the Joint  
7 Pretrial Order shall be filed not more than 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions  
8 and, therefore, not later than **February 7, 2025**. If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for  
9 filing the Joint Pretrial Order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive  
10 motions or further court order.

11 **7. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures:**

12 If no dispositive motions are filed, and unless otherwise ordered by this Court, the  
13 parties shall include the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) and  
14 any objections thereto in the Joint Pretrial Order.

15 **8. Alternative Dispute Resolution:**

16 The parties certify they have met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative  
17 dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration and ENE. As noted above, an  
18 ENE is scheduled for June 11, 2024.

19 **9. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition:**

20 The parties certify they have considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge under  
21 28 U.S.C. 636(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 73 and the use of the Short Trial  
22 Program (General Order 2013-01).

23 **10. Electronic Evidence:**

24 The parties verify they have discussed whether they intend to present evidence in  
25 electronic format to jurors for the purpose of jury deliberations. Discussions between the parties  
26

---

27 <sup>4</sup> 30 days after the due date for initial expert disclosures is Saturday November 9, 2024, and as such, the deadline  
28 was moved to the following business day.

1 will be ongoing as the trial date approaches and they stipulate that they intend to present any  
2 electronic evidence in a format compatible with the Court’s electronic jury evidence display  
3 system.

4 **11. Changes to be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under Rule**  
5 **26(a):**

6 None at this time.

7 **12. The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed,**  
8 **and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on**  
9 **particular issues:**

10 As this case currently involves claims for violations of the Family Medical Leave Act  
11 (“FMLA”) and Nevada law, the parties anticipate discovery will focus on the employment of  
12 the Plaintiff, Plaintiff’s contractual agreements with Defendant concerning her employment,  
13 Defendant’s employment policies and procedures in place during the time period the Plaintiff  
14 was employed, topics relevant to Plaintiff’s employment, Plaintiff’s economic and  
15 noneconomic damages, and Defendant’s defenses. The parties do not believe discovery should  
16 be conducted in phases and/or limited to particular issues.

17 **13. Any issues about disclosure, discovery, or preservation of electronically stored**  
18 **information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced:**

19 The parties agree that to the extent that information relevant to the claims and defenses  
20 in this action is stored electronically, such electronic information will be preserved and should  
21 be produced in the form in which it is maintained.

22 **14. Any issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials,**  
23 **including—if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after production—**  
24 **whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order under Federal Rule of**  
25 **Evidence 502:**

26 Currently, the parties do not anticipate any issues arising concerning privilege or  
27 protection and agree to confer further in the event such issues arise.

28

1           **15. What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these rules**  
2           **or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed:**

3           The parties do not currently believe any changes should be made to the limitations on  
4 discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of this court at  
5 this time.

6           **16. Extensions or Modifications of Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order:**

7           Applications to extend any date set by the discovery plan, scheduling order, or other  
8 order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR 6-1, be supported by a showing of  
9 good cause for the extension. In accordance with LR 26-4, all motions or stipulations to extend  
10 a deadline set forth in a discovery plan shall be received by the Court no later than 21 days  
11 before the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made after the expiration of the subject  
12 deadline shall not be granted unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to act was the  
13 result of excusable neglect. Any motion or stipulation to extend a deadline or to reopen  
14 discovery shall include:

- 15                   (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
- 16                   (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
- 17                   (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery  
18 was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
- 19                   (d) A proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.

20 ///

21

22 ///

23

24 ///

25

26 ///

27

28

1 It is not good cause for a later request to extend discovery that the parties informally  
2 postponed discovery. No stipulations are effective until approved by the Court, and “[a]ny  
3 stipulation that would interfere with any time set for completion of discovery, for hearing of a  
4 motion, or for trial, may be made only with approval of the Court.” See LR 7-1(b).

5 Dated: April 5, 2024.

6 **HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP SKLAR WILLIAMS PLLC**

7  
8 /s/ Michael Arata  
9 **MICHAEL ARATA, ESQ.**  
10 Nevada Bar No. 11902  
11 E-mail: marata@hkm.com  
12 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600  
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109  
14 Tel: (702) 805-8340  
15 Fax: (702) 805-8340  
16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

8 /s/ Stephen Hackett  
9 **STEPHEN R. HACKETT, ESQ.**  
10 Nevada Bar No. 5010  
11 E-mail: shackett@sklar-law.com  
12 410 S. Rampart Blvd., Suite 350  
13 Las Vegas, NV 89145  
14 Tel: (702) 360-6000  
15 Fax: (702) 360-0000  
16 *Attorneys for Defendant*

17 **HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP**

18 /s/ Dominique Baldwin  
19 **DOMINIQUE BALDWIN, ESQ.**  
20 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
21 E-mail:  
22 Dominique.baldwin@haynesboone.com  
23 1221 McKinney St., Suite 400  
24 Houston, TX 77040  
25 Tel: (713) 547-2616  
26 *Attorneys for Defendant*

27 **ORDER**

28 **IT IS SO ORDERED: The parties must use the correct case number on all future filings.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: 4-9-24  
\_\_\_\_\_