

1 Mark R. Thierman NV#8285  
 2 **THIERMAN LAW FIRM, PC**  
 3 7287 Lakeside Drive  
 4 Reno, Nevada 89511  
 5 Tel: (775) 284-1500  
 6 Fax: (775) 703-5027  
 7 E-mail: [laborlawyer@pacbell.net](mailto:laborlawyer@pacbell.net)

8 Matthew J. Piers  
 9 [mpiers@hsplegal.com](mailto:mpiers@hsplegal.com)  
 10 José Jorge Behar  
 11 [jbehar@hsplegal.com](mailto:jbehar@hsplegal.com)  
 12 Christopher J. Wilmes  
 13 [cwilmes@hsplegal.com](mailto:cwilmes@hsplegal.com)  
 14 Caryn C. Lederer  
 15 [clederer@hsplegal.com](mailto:clederer@hsplegal.com)

16 **HUGHES SOCOL PIERS RESNICK & DYM, LTD.**  
 17 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 4000  
 18 Chicago, IL 60602  
 19 Tel: (312) 580-0100  
 20 Fax: (312) 580-1994

21 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 23 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

24	VÍCTOR RIVERA RIVERA, ERNESTO	)	CASE NO. 3:11-CV-118-RCJ-VPC
25	SEBASTIAN CASTILLO RIOS, VICENTE	)	
26	CORNEJO LUGO, JESÚS GARCÍA MATA,	)	<b>ORDER OF JUDGMENT</b>
27	LUIS ÁNGEL GARCÍA MATA,	)	
28	GAUDENCIO GARCÍA RÍOS, SIMÓN	)	
29	GARCÍA RÍOS, VICENTE CORNEJO CRUZ,	)	
30	EMILIO MONTOYA MORALES, JORGE	)	
31	LUIS AGUILAR SOLANO, DOMINGO	)	
32	RAMOS RIOS, ARTEMIO RINCÓN CRUZ,	)	
33	SERGIO RIOS RAMOS, PEDRO RIVERA	)	
34	CAMACHO, GERARDO RIOS RAMOS,	)	
35	REGULO RINCON CRUZ, AURELIANO	)	
36	MONTES MONTES, MANUEL RIVERA	)	
37	RIVERA, JOSE BALDERAS GUERRERO,	)	
38	VIRGILIO MARQUEZ LARA, MARTIN	)	
39	FLORES BRAVO, individually and on behalf	)	
40	of all other persons similarly situated,	)	
41		)	
42	Plaintiffs,	)	
43		)	
44	vs.	)	
45		)	
46	PERI & SONS FARMS, INC.,	)	
47		)	
48	Defendant.	)	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. On July 27, 2011, the Court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint but granted Plaintiffs' leave to amend a Third Amended Complaint.

2. On August 11, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Intent Not to Amend Plaintiffs' Second Amended Class Action Complaint.


3. In that pleading, Plaintiffs notified the Court that they intend to stand upon the sufficiency of the allegations in their Second Amended Complaint and asked the Court to enter final judgment in Defendant's favor.

4. In light of the Plaintiffs' recent filing, the Court hereby dismisses Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and enters final judgment in Defendant's favor.

5. The September 1, 2011 Case Management Conference is vacated.

6. This matter is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED:



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,  
DATED 09-08-2011