form. In the present case, petitioner in essence used the petition form as a cover document for a several

26

page motion for new counsel in his on-going state court proceedings. It appears from the state of these documents that petitioner is confused about the process and purpose of a federal habeas action.

Due to the multiple defects presented, the pauper application will be denied, and the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period as applied to his case, properly commencing a timely-filed federal habeas action, and properly exhausting his claims in the state courts.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action shall be **DISMISSED** without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a properly completed pauper application with all new – and complete – financial attachments.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that all pending motions are DENIED without prejudice.

**IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. Reasonable jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or wrong.

The Clerk shall send petitioner two copies each of an application form to proceed in forma pauperis for incarcerated persons and a noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one copy of the instructions for each form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted.

The Clerk shall enter final judgment accordingly, dismissing this action without prejudice.

DATED: This 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE