## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-1288

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison Litigation

OPINION/REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

KENNETH WEST

-vs-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al, Defendants.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2009

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
 4
                     Transcript of proceedings in the above
 5
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
 6
 7
     Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
     Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 8
 9
     United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
     Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 11:36 AM.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
                MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
19
        Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
20
                    251 South White Horse Pike
21
22
                   Audubon, New Jersey 08106
23
                           856-546-1100
24
25
```

Page 3 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 JAIME KAIGH, ESQUIRE 4 32 NORTH BLACK HORSE PIKE BLACKWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08012 5 856-232-3337 6 856-232-4561 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 7 8 ROSELLI, GRIEGEL, LOZIER & LAZZARO, PC 9 BY: MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE 10 - and -BY: KENNETH W. LOZIER ESQUIRE 1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33 11 HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690 12 609-586-2257 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

JUDGE BISSELL: We are reopening 1 proceedings in the matter of Kenneth West, docket 2 number 08-1288 and the following will constitute the 3 Special Master's determination regarding his 4 5 complaint. This opinion/report is being issued 6 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference 7 to a Special Master and the Special Master's 8 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which 9 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts 10 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury 11 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to 12 the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. West. 13 As finalized after review under Local 14 Civil Rule 52.1, the transcript of this oral opinion 15 16 will constitute the written report required by paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a 17 Special Master. 18 Mr. West was housed in F Unit at the 19 time of an incident or one might call a progressive 20 series of incidents thereafter occurring on the 25th 21 of August, 1997 toward the end of the lockdown 22 23 period. The triggering incident in the dayroom 24

25

of F Unit at that time I find is most accurately and

- 1 credibly described by the testimony of then
- 2 Corrections Officer Recruit Shirley Tozer, T-O-Z-E-R,
- 3 now Shirley Royal, when she testified on March 10th,
- 4 2009. The excerpt involved here appears between
- 5 pages 196 and 200 of the transcript generated at that
- 6 hearing on that date.
- 7 The questioning begins on this subject:
- 8 "Question: Sitting here in this
- 9 courtroom, do you recognize Inmate Kenneth West?
- 10 "Answer: Yes.
- "Question: Do you remember what the
- 12 charge was that you wrote against Inmate Kenneth West
- 13 and the circumstances surrounding it?
- 14 "Answer: .402, being in an
- 15 unauthorized area.
- "Question: Can you tell us why you
- 17 wrote that charge?
- 18 "Answer: The facility had imposed a
- 19 red line system, put red tape in many, many places
- 20 and the inmates were not supposed to cross over those
- 21 red lines, or even actually step on them.
- "Question: Was that system implemented
- 23 after the death of Fred Baker?
- 24 "Answer: Yes.
- 25 "Question: And do you know what the

- 1 purpose of that was, why they implemented that
- 2 system?
- 3 "Answer: Officer safety, I would
- 4 imagine.
- 5 "Question: Was it to sort of give some
- 6 distance?
- 7 "Answer: To keep you out of the
- 8 space -- the direct space, you know.
- 9 "Question: Between an officer and an
- 10 inmate?
- 11 "Answer: Yes.
- 12 "Question: Okay. Do you remember
- 13 specifically when that red line system was put in
- 14 place? Do you have a recollection?
- "Answer: I can only say August.
- 16 "Question: Okay. Now, August 25th,
- 17 1997, you told us you were working second shift in F
- 18 Unit; is that correct?
- 19 "Answer: Yes.
- 20 "Question: And did there come a time
- 21 when there was an incident with Inmate West that led
- 22 to a charge?
- 23 "Answer: Yes.
- 24 "Question: Can you tell us what you
- 25 recall?

- 1 "Answer: The inmate approached the
- 2 area, came across the red line, and when he was told
- 3 that he couldn't get on to the red line, he was
- 4 agitated by that and walked away, and called me an
- 5 asshole.
- 6 "Question: Do you remember why he
- 7 entered the area?
- 8 "Answer: He, according to what I
- 9 recall, he was bringing mail, maybe to be mailed. I
- 10 don't think he was picking up mail. He was bringing
- 11 mail to be mailed out.
- "Question: And you were there at the
- 13 desk or the podium?
- "Answer: Yes.
- "Question: And was it a podium, was it
- 16 a desk, how would you describe the setup?
- 17 "Answer: Podium, tall, like a work --
- 18 a gray metal -- I don't know what they really are
- 19 technically called, but we called them a podium.
- "Question: And was there a chair for
- 21 you to sit at?
- 22 "Answer: Yeah, there is usually a
- 23 stool, couple chairs, plastic chair, maybe.
- "Question: But this podium would be
- 25 higher than a normal table or desk?

- 1 Answer: Oh, yes. If I'm standing at
- 2 the podium at my height, my eyes would just come
- 3 across the top of it.
- 4 "Question: And then the chair would
- 5 really be a stool of some type?
- 6 "Answer: Yes.
- 7 "Question: Now, do you recall actually
- 8 seeing Inmate West enter the area and cross the red
- 9 line?
- 10 "Answer: Yes.
- "Question: Okay. And what did you do
- 12 when you saw that?
- "Answer: I told him he was on the red
- 14 line, and that's obviously restricted area,
- unauthorized area for any convict to be in.
- "Question: And you told him that
- 17 because that's what the rule was, that he was not
- 18 supposed to be in that area, correct?
- 19 "Answer: Yes.
- 20 "Question: And then his response, I
- 21 think you said he sort of became agitated in some
- 22 type?
- 23 "Answer: Yes.
- "Question: In some fashion? And can
- 25 you tell us more of what you recall?

- 1 "Answer: He called me an asshole, and
- 2 walked to the phones and proceeded to attempt to make
- 3 a phone call.
- 4 "Question: Okay. Now, when you first
- 5 told him that he had crossed the line, at that point,
- 6 was it your intention to issue a disciplinary of any
- 7 type?
- 8 "Answer: No. Not at all.
- 9 "Question: After he called you an
- 10 asshole, did you then decide that you were going to
- 11 write a disciplinary for him coming across the red
- 12 line?
- "Answer: Because of his attitude and
- 14 agitation, not necessarily referring to me or anybody
- 15 as an asshole."
- 16 That's a description of the events at
- 17 that time. Ms. Tozer further testified, and once
- 18 again I believe accurately, that Mr. West was asked
- 19 to go to his bunk to get his ID which he did and
- 20 return to the area. And at this time two officers
- 21 essentially on a roving patrol, Officers Koerner and
- 22 Fronczek were there, they cuffed Mr. West and held
- 23 him in the day room without any particular incident
- 24 waiting for an escort unit to arrive to take him to
- 25 lockup.

- 1 That escort unit did arrive, it was a
- 2 Bayside Prisoner Response Team, not a SOG team,
- 3 although they were geared up, it appears. That
- 4 response team consisted of Officers David Vastano,
- 5 V-A-S-T-A-N-O, Ronald Callahan, William Nessen,
- 6 N-E-S-S-E-N, Arwin Dusenko, D-U-S-E-N-K-O, and
- 7 William Ruff, and their supervisor, Sergeant Donald
- 8 Zawojski.
- 9 At this point the evidence somewhat
- 10 diverges in connection with what occurred when the
- 11 response team endeavored to secure Mr. West for a
- 12 transport to B Unit lockup.
- The events in question eventually led
- 14 to an Internal Affairs investigation and a
- 15 significant point or possible event in that
- 16 investigation focused around whether or not the
- 17 inmate, Mr. West, kicked one of the officers in the
- 18 prison response team, namely Officer Ruff.
- 19 A number of statements were taken, most
- 20 of them introduced into evidence, either with
- 21 separate exhibit numbers or as a part of P-172 which
- 22 is the full administrative investigation report from
- 23 Internal Affairs of this incident.
- Reports from Mr. Koerner with regard to
- 25 when Mr. West allegedly kicked Mr. Ruff included a

- 1 statement that it occurred when they were exiting F
- 2 Unit, and another one when the response team escorted
- 3 him.
- A report of Sergeant Zawojski, by
- 5 implication at least, indicated that the kick
- 6 actually happened in F Unit. And another report of
- 7 his says: "As we began to remove Inmate West from
- 8 the corner of F Unit, he kicked Officer Ruff in the
- 9 knee".
- 10 Officer Ruff, in one of his reports,
- 11 indicated that he was kicked in the right leg from
- 12 behind. Once again, with an inference that it
- occurred in F Unit itself in the immediate vicinity
- 14 of their exiting the premises.
- Officer Nessen said this occurred as we
- 16 started to escort Mr. West. Officer Vastano,
- interestingly enough in his report appearing at Bates
- 18 stamp page number 5902 in P-172, did not mention that
- 19 the kicking occurred.
- 20 Another officer's report appearing at
- 21 5903 says, while we were escorting the inmate to
- 22 lockup. Mr. Callahan indicated it was while we were
- 23 attempting to take control of the inmate for
- 24 transport to lockup. Endeavoring to read these
- 25 reports and make a determination at least as to what

- 1 the response team's position was leads me to draw the
- 2 inference, I think appropriately so, that according
- 3 to them, this incident occurred, and the kicking
- 4 actually did, in fact, take place while they were in
- 5 F Unit, albeit at the point of exiting.
- 6 Ms. Tozer, however, in the day room, in
- 7 the immediate vicinity of this event, whose decision
- 8 it was to write a charge (even though it may not yet
- 9 have been written), and in a position definitely to
- 10 observe what was taking place in that immediate area,
- 11 makes no mention whatsoever of Inmate West kicking
- 12 Mr. Ruff or any other officer. Her statement, I
- 13 think marked into evidence independently as Exhibit
- 14 D-620, but nevertheless also appearing as a part of
- 15 P-172 beginning at Bates stamp page 5894, after her
- 16 discussion of the preliminary events continues on
- 17 page 5895: "At this time I saw the response team
- 18 enter the unit and escort Inmate West out of the
- 19 unit, down the steps and onto the grassy area in the
- 20 direction of A/B Unit. I then returned to my normal
- 21 duties."
- 22 So it's clear from that statement
- 23 relatively contemporaneous, September 11th, 1997,
- 24 that she did observe this segment at least of Mr.
- 25 West's custody by the response team. She continues

- 1 in that statement and I continue this quote: "At no
- 2 time did I witness any unusual incidents. There was
- 3 at no time any assaults by compound patrol or the
- 4 response team toward this inmate nor did I witness
- 5 the assault of any officer from the response team or
- 6 compound patrol by this inmate."
- Now, in the course of her testimony
- 8 here in court Ms. Tozer, one might say, somewhat
- 9 compromised that statement and in some instances
- 10 indicated that she may not have been watching these
- 11 events surrounding Mr. West quite as completely as
- 12 she was, ostensibly due to other duties. I'm not
- 13 exactly sure why this approach took place. Ms. Tozer
- 14 has been retired from Bureau of Prisons employment
- 15 for some time. Perhaps it's the product of her
- 16 realizing that her written statement contradicted
- 17 those of others. But in any event, certainly I find
- 18 it more credible that her statement at the time, when
- 19 not a part of this response team and with no need, if
- 20 you will, to get the story straight, stated very
- 21 clearly that at the same point in time when the other
- 22 members of the response team are alleging that
- 23 Mr. West kicked Mr. Ruff, she saw no such thing.
- 24 And so I reach the determination here
- 25 that that didn't happen, that he did not kick Mr.

- 1 Ruff.
- I find further that there were, indeed,
- 3 injuries inflicted by the response team upon Mr. West
- 4 as reflected in P-171 which are the photographs,
- 5 albeit by photocopy, and also as discussed and
- 6 described at some length in the Internal Affairs
- 7 investigation report.
- I don't think it's necessary to
- 9 reiterate those at length, that report is in evidence
- 10 and can be consulted for that purpose.
- 11 These injuries happily were relatively
- 12 minor injuries, cuts and bruises sustained due to the
- 13 employment of excessive and, frankly, unnecessary
- 14 force in the course of his transport to the lockup
- 15 unit.
- Upon arrival at the lockup unit I find,
- 17 as Mr. West testified, that his shoulder, which was
- 18 indeed injured as the pictures and analysis reflect,
- 19 was slammed into the door of the unit in order to
- 20 open it. This, once again, is consistent with a
- 21 rough and unnecessary and excessive use of force in
- 22 the course of the transport of this plaintiff.
- I find that the reports and testimony
- 24 of the response team members that the plaintiff was
- 25 combative in the course of his transport is not

- 1 credible and like the fabricated kicking incident,
- 2 was employed here as a shield, so to speak, for their
- 3 own misconduct and use of excessive force in the
- 4 transport.
- 5 However, I find, as reflected in the
- 6 photographs taken on the 27th of August, some
- 7 two days later, that the injuries depicted in those
- 8 photographs, including those to plaintiff's shoulder
- 9 resulting from the use of it to open the door into
- 10 the unit, are the injuries which, in fact, occurred.
- 11 I do not find it credible as described by Mr. West
- 12 that other events transpiring supposedly on that day
- 13 (such as his head being slammed into the B Unit
- 14 podium) and later, upon his imminent departure to
- 15 Trenton State Prison, in fact, occurred. I find
- 16 this, frankly, is an effort to gild the lily and
- 17 enhance his claims in this matter against the
- 18 facility and its officers.
- I do not find that these efforts, under
- 20 any legal or equitable doctrine, bar his recovery for
- 21 the injuries which he did, in fact, sustain. But I
- 22 do not find them credible to support the allegations,
- among other things, that his head was slammed into
- 24 the podium and/or that he was otherwise mistreated in
- 25 the moments and minutes subsequent to his arrival at

- 1 the facility.
- 2 He was, of course, seen by medical
- 3 personnel as best my recollection recalls, both on
- 4 the 25th in the evening itself and later on the 27th
- 5 with reports of the injuries actually sustained and
- 6 not those which he has fabricated.
- 7 With regard to specifically his alleged
- 8 assault by officers on the eve of his relocation to
- 9 Trenton State Prison, those are not substantiated
- 10 and, frankly, make no sense. Here is a man already
- 11 marked to some extent and with reports to that
- 12 effect. And for the officers at this point to
- 13 reassault him with the potential of leaving
- 14 additional evidence of assaults and injuries, which
- 15 would have to be revealed and reviewed in either an
- 16 exit medical exam from Bayside State Prison or an
- intake medical exam at Trenton State Prison or both.
- 18 makes no sense and would indicate the willingness of
- 19 these custodial officers, now about to be rid of a
- 20 particular inmate, to run a substantial risk to their
- 21 own careers. And once again, I see no grounds for it
- 22 and it makes no inherent sense either. There is no
- 23 reason to take this risk as a matter of logic. And
- 24 with the claims of these injuries otherwise
- 25 unsubstantiated, I find that they have not been

- 1 proven here.
- 2 There were also indications from the
- 3 record that, upon his arrival at Trenton State
- 4 Prison, now out of the clutches, if you will, of
- 5 Bayside and its officers, he sought no follow-up
- 6 medical treatment. One would have expected such a
- 7 request had he been beaten only hours before.
- 8 As far as the scope and extent of his
- 9 injuries are concerned, Mr. West did have lingering
- 10 shoulder pain over time, although it has lessened by
- 11 now. It did restrict his weight-lifting activities,
- 12 but there was no significant permanency from any of
- 13 these injuries and, in fact, when being admitted to
- 14 Southwoods State Prison slightly less than a year
- later, on or about the 18th of June of 1998, he made
- 16 no complaints of any lingering injuries afflicting
- 17 him at that time.
- There was, therefore, to the extent
- described and found above indeed excessive,
- 20 unnecessary and sadistic force employed upon Mr. West
- 21 within the comtemplation of those legal principles.
- 22 However, in light of the fact that the injuries and
- 23 hence this punishment inflicted upon him in the
- 24 course of his transit to the lockup unit was not
- 25 prolonged, repeated or resulting in extremely severe

- 1 injuries, I find, therefore, that while this is
- 2 actionable for the recovery of compensatory damages,
- 3 I do not find that this assault visited upon him rose
- 4 to the level of being so egregious as to support a
- 5 claim for punitive damages, at least against the
- 6 identified officers here; once again, as the punitive
- 7 damages standard is applied in the jury instructions
- 8 mentioned above and incorporated here by reference.
- 9 I realize, of course, that there may
- 10 come a time when an issue arises as to whether there
- 11 is any significance of this conduct with regard to
- 12 the establishment of supervisory liability and/or
- 13 punitive damages in that respect. However, that
- 14 awaits another day. And I will impose no punitive
- 15 damages here.
- 16 Finally, although not every item of
- evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report,
- 18 all evidence presented to the Special Master was
- 19 reviewed and considered.
- This is a case (unlike most) where we
- 21 do, indeed, have identifiable defendants, namely the
- 22 members of the Bayside State Prison Response Team. I
- 23 might add that I find no basis for any liability
- 24 against either the podium officer identified
- 25 previously or the two initial responders who placed

- 1 this man in handcuffs. The injuries were inflicted
- 2 by the response team. I find that the injury
- 3 inflicted here was actionable. I find that although
- 4 originally and initially acute, it has not remained
- 5 significantly permanent with any major continuing
- 6 pain or significant restriction on Mr. West's
- 7 activities.
- 8 Accordingly, I recommend in this report
- 9 that the district court enter an award of
- 10 compensatory damages in the amount of \$4,500 in Mr.
- 11 West's favor and that that judgment may specifically
- 12 be entered in this matter against the members of the
- 13 response team, David Vastano, Ronald Callahan,
- 14 William Nessen, Arwin Dusenko, William Ruff and
- 15 Donald Zawojski to the extent that any of them are
- 16 named defendants in this action. That frankly is
- something that's not at my fingertips, but if they
- 18 are, then the case has been proven as to them.
- 19 (Hearing Adjourned)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New
5	Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as
7	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any
11	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,
13	and that I am not financially interested in the
14	action.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Theresa O Mastroianne
20	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R. Notary Public, State of New Jersey
21	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 Certificate No. XIO857
22	Date: October 1, 2009
23	
24	
25	

A	applicable 4:13	<b>Bayside</b> 1:5 10:2	clear 12:22	8:18
accurate 20:6	applied 4:10	16:16 17:5	clearly 13:21	Corrections 5:2
accurately 4:25	18:7	18:22	clutches 17:4	counsel 20:10,1
9:18	approach 13:13	beaten 17:7	combative 14:25	couple 7:23
action 1:2 19:16	approached 7:1	began 11:7	come 6:20 8:2	course 13:7
20:11,14	appropriately	beginning 12:15	18:10	14:14,22,25
actionable 18:2	12:2	begins 5:7	coming 9:11	16:2 17:24
19:3	area 5:15 7:2,7	believe 9:18	commencing	18:9
activities 17:11	8:8,14,15,18	<b>best</b> 16:3	2:10	court 1:1 2:7,9
19:7	9:20 12:10,19	BISSELL 1:21	Commission	2:20 13:8 19:9
acute 19:4	arises 18:10	4:1	20:20	courtroom 5:9
add 18:23	arrival 14:16	BLACK 3:4	compensatory	credible 13:18
additional 16:14	15:25 17:3	BLACKWOOD	18:2 19:10	15:1,11,22
Adjourned	arrive 9:24 10:1	3:5	complaint 4:5	credibly 5:1
19:19	Arwin 10:6	bringing 7:9,10	complaints	cross 5:20 8:8
administrative	19:14	bruises 14:12	17:16	crossed 9:5
10:22	asked 9:18	<b>bunk</b> 9:19	completely	cuffed 9:22
admitted 17:13	assault 13:5 16:8	Bureau 13:14	13:11	custodial 16:19
<b>Affairs</b> 10:14,23	18:3	——————————————————————————————————————	compound 13:3	custody 12:25
14:6	assaults 13:3	C	13:6	cuts 14:12
afflicting 17:16	16:14	C 3:2 20:1,1	compromised	<b>C.S.R</b> 20:19
agitated 7:4 8:21	asshole 7:5 9:1	call 4:20 9:3	13:9	7177Aa87aAAAAA
agitation 9:14	9:10,15	Callahan 10:5	comtemplation	<u> </u>
Agreement 4:9	attempt 9:2	11:22 19:13	17:21	damages 18:2,5
al 1:9	attempting	<b>called</b> 7:4,19,19	concerned 17:9	18:7,13,15
albeit 12:5 14:5	11:23	9:1,9	conduct 18:11	19:10
allegations 4:13	attitude 9:13	Camden 2:10	connection	date 5:6 20:8,21
15:22	attorney 20:10	careers 16:21	10:10	David 10:4
alleged 16:7	20:12	case 18:20 19:18	considered	19:13
allegedly 10:25	<b>ATTORNEYS</b>	certainly 13:17	18:19	day 9:23 12:6
alleging 13:22	3:6,12	Certificate	consisted 10:4	15:12 18:14
amount 19:10	Audubon 2:22	20:21	consistent 14:20	dayroom 4:24
analysis 14:18	August 4:22	Certified 2:6,20	constitute 4:3,16	days 15:7
and/or 15:24	6:15,16 15:6	20:4	consulted 14:10	death 5:23
	awaits 18:14	certify 20:5,9	contemporane	decide 9:10
	award 19:9	<b>chair</b> 7:20,23 8:4	12:23	decision 4:10
5:18,24 6:3,7	<b>A/B</b> 12:20	chairs 7:23	continue 13:1	12:7
6:11,15,19,23		<b>charge</b> 5:12,17	continues 12:16	defendants 1:10
7:1,8,14,17,22	В	6:22 12:8	12:25	3:12 18:21
8:1,6,10,13,19	<b>B</b> 10:12 15:13	charges 4:12	continuing 19:5	19:16
8.23 9.1 8 13	Baker 5:23	circumstances	contradicted	definitely 12:9
nybody 9:14	bar 15:20	5:13	13:16	departure 15:14
ppearing 11:17	based 4:11	Civil 1:2 4:15	control 11:23	depicted 15:7
11:20 12:14	basis 18:23	claim 18:5	convict 8:15	describe 7:16
í	Bates 11:17	claims 15:17	corner 11:8	described 5:1
ppears 5:4 10:3				

15.10		1		1
17:19	13:14 14:13	16:11 17:8,18	Fred 5:23	<b>ID</b> 9:19
description 9:16	endeavored	19:15	Fronczek 9:22	identifiable
desk 7:13,16,25	10:11	extremely 17:25	full 10:22	18:21
determination	Endeavoring	eyes 8:2	further 9:17	identified 18:6
4:4 11:25	11:24	F	14:2 20:9	18:24
13:24	enhance 15:17		G	imagine 6:4
direct 6:8	enter 8:8 12:18	<b>F</b> 4:19,25 6:17		immediate 11:13
direction 12:20	19:9	11:1,6,8,13	geared 10:3	12:7,10
directives 4:7	entered 7:7	12:5 20:1	generated 5:5	imminent 15:14
disciplinary 9:6	19:12	fabricated 15:1	Gerry 2:9	implemented
9:11	equitable 15:20	16:6	gild 15:16	5:22 6:1
discussed 14:5	escort 9:24 10:1	facility 5:18	give 6:5	implication 11:5
18:17	11:16 12:18	15:18 16:1	go 9:19	impose 18:14
discussion 12:16	escorted 11:2	fact 12:4 15:10	going 9:10	imposed 5:18
distance 6:6	escorting 11:21	15:15,21 17:13	grassy 12:19	incident 4:20,24
<b>district</b> 1:1,2 2:9	ESQUIRE 3:4,9	17:22	gray 7:18	6:21 9:23
19:9	3:10	facts 4:10	GRIEGEL 3:9	10:23 12:3
diverges 10:10	essentially 9:21	far 17:8	grounds 16:21	15:1
docket 4:2	establishment	fashion 8:24	guiding 4:9	incidents 4:21
doctrine 15:20	18:12	FAUVER 1:9	Н	13:2
Donald 10:7	et 1:9	favor 19:11		included 10:25
19:15	eve 16:8	finalized 4:14	H 1:9	including 15:8
<b>door</b> 14:19 15:9	evening 16:4	Finally 18:16	HAMILTON	incorporated
draw 12:1	event 10:15 12:7	financially	3:11	18:8
due 13:12 14:12	13:17	20:13	handcuffs 19:1	independently
Dusenko 10:6	events 9:16	find 4:25 13:17	happen 13:25	12:13
19:14	10:13 12:16	14:2,16,23	happened 11:6	indicate 16:18
duties 12:21	13:11 15:12	15:5,11,15,19	happily 14:11	indicated 11:5
13:12	eventually 10:13	15:22 16:25	head 15:13,23	11:11,22 13:10
D-U-S-E-N-K-O	<b>evidence</b> 10:9,20	18:1,3,23 19:2	hearing 5:6	indications 17:2
10:6	12:13 14:9	19:3	19:19	inference 11:12
<b>D-620</b> 12:14	16:14 18:17,18	fingertips 19:17	height 8:2	12:2
	exactly 13:13	first 9:4	held 9:22	inflicted 14:3
<u>E</u>	exam 16:16,17	focused 10:16	hereinbefore	17:23 19:1,3
E 3:2,2 20:1,1	excerpt 5:4	following 4:3	20:8	inherent 16:22
effect 16:12	excessive 14:13	follow-up 17:5	higher 7:25	initial 18:25
effort 15:16	14:21 15:3	force 14:14,21	HIGHWAY	initially 19:4
efforts 15:19	17:19	15:3 17:20	3:11	injured 14:18
egregious 18:4	exhibit 10:21	foregoing 20:5	HONORABLE	injuries 14:3,11
either 10:20	12:13	FORMAROLI	1:21	14:12 15:7,10
16:15,22 18:24	exit 16:16	2:19	Horse 2:21 3:4	15:21 16:5,14
employed 15:2	<b>exiting</b> 11:1,14	forth 4:11 20:8	hours 17:7	16:24 17:9,13
17:20	12:5	<b>found</b> 17:19	House 2:9	17:16,22 18:1
employee 20:10	expected 17:6	frankly 14:13	housed 4:19	19:1
20:12	Expires 20:20	15:16 16:10	I	injury 19:2
employment	extent 4:13	19:16	<u> </u>	inmate 5:9,12
				, , -

	1		F	į.
6:10,21 7:1 8:8	1 '	<b>marked</b> 12:13	number 2:7 4:3	pages 5:5
10:17 11:7,21	knee 11:9	16:11	10:19 11:18	<b>pain</b> 17:10 19:6
11:23 12:11,18	know 5:25 6:8	<b>Master</b> 1:6,21	numbers 10:21	paragraph 4:17
13:4,6 16:20	7:18	4:8,18 18:18	N-E-S-S-E-N	part 10:21 12:14
inmates 5:20	Koerner 9:21	<b>Master's</b> 4:4,8	10:6	13:19
instances 13:9	10:24	Mastroianni 2:6		particular 9:23
instructions		2:19 20:3,19	0	16:20
4:12 18:7	L	matter 1:4 2:6	O 2:6 20:3,19	parties 20:11
intake 16:17	law 4:9	4:2 15:17	observe 12:10	patrol 9:21 13:3
intention 9:6	LAZZARO 3:9	16:23 19:12	12:24	13:6
interested 20:13	leads 12:1	medical 16:2,16	obviously 8:14	<b>PC</b> 3:9
interestingly	leaving 16:13	16:17 17:6	occurred 10:10	period 4:23
11:17	led 6:21 10:13	Mejias 4:12	11:1,13,15,19	permanency
Internal 10:14	leg 11:11	members 13:22	12:3 15:10,15	17:12
10:23 14:6	legal 15:20	14:24 18:22	occurring 4:21	permanent 19:5
introduced	17:21	19:12	October 1:15	personnel 16:3
10:20	length 14:6,9	mention 11:18	20:21	phone 9:3
investigation	lessened 17:10	12:11	officer 5:2 6:3,9	phones 9:2
10:14,16,22	level 18:4	mentioned 18:8	10:18 11:8,10	photocopy 14:5
14:7	liability 18:12	metal 7:18	11:15,16 12:12	photographs
involved 5:4	18:23	minor 14:12	13:5 18:24	14:4 15:6,8
issue 9:6 18:10	license 2:7	minutes 15:25	officers 9:20,21	picking 7:10
issued 4:6	light 17:22	misconduct 15:3	10:4,17 15:18	pictures 14:18
item 18:16	lily 15:16	mistreated	16:8,12,19	Pike 2:21 3:4
	line 5:19 6:13	15:24	17:5 18:6	place 6:14 12:4
J	7:2,3 8:9,14	moments 15:25	officer's 11:20	12:10 13:13
JAIME 3:4	9:5,12		Oh 8:1	20:8
Jersey 1:2 2:8,10	<b>lines</b> 5:21	N	Okay 6:12,16	placed 18:25
2:22 3:5,11	lingering 17:9	N 3:2	8:11 9:4	places 5:19
20:5,20	17:16	named 19:16	once 9:17 11:12	plaintiff 14:22
<b>JOHN</b> 1:21	Litigation 1:6	necessarily 9:14	14:20 16:21	14:24
JUDGE 4:1	Local 4:14	necessary 14:8	18:6	PLAINTIFFS
judgment 19:11	lockdown 4:22	need 13:19	open 14:20 15:9	3:6
June 17:15	lockup 9:25	neither 20:9,11	opinion 4:15	plaintiff's 15:8
jury 4:11,12	10:12 11:22,24	Nessen 10:5	opinion/report	plantin \$15.6 plastic 7:23
18:7	14:14,16 17:24	11:15 19:14	1:5 4:6 18:17	Plaza 2:9
	logic 16:23	nevertheless	oral 4:15	podium 7:13,15
K	<b>LOZIER</b> 3:9,10	12:14	order 4:7,17	7:17,19,24 8:2
KAIGH 3:4		New 1:2 2:8,10	14:19	15:14,24 18:24
<b>keep</b> 6:7	<u> </u>	2:22 3:5,11	originally 19:4	point 9:5 10:9
Kenneth 1:7	mail 7:9,10,11	20:4,20	ostensibly 13:12	10:15 12:5
3:10 4:2 5:9,12	mailed 7:9,11	normal 7:25		
kick 11:5 13:25	major 19:5	12:20	P	13:21 16:12
kicked 10:17,25	man 16:10 19:1	NORTH 3:4	P 3:2,2	position 12:1,9
11:8,11 13:23	March 5:3	Notary 2:8 20:3	page 11:18	possible 10:15
kicking 11:19	<b>MARK</b> 3:9	20:20	12:15,17	potential 16:13
		20.20		preliminary

12:16	R	10:22 11:4,6	13:23 14:1	15:13,23
premises 11:14	R 3:2 20:1	11:17,20 14:7	19:14	slightly 17:14
presented 18:18	reach 13:24	14:9 19:8	rule 4:15 8:17	<b>SOG</b> 10:2
previously 18:25	read 11:24	Reporter 2:7	run 16:20	somewhat 10:9
principles 4:9	read 11.27	20:4	10110.20	13:8
17:21	realize 18:9	Reporting 2:20	S	sort 6:5 8:21
prison 1:5 10:18	realizing 13:16	reports 10:24	S 3:2	sought 17:5
15:15 16:9,16	really 7:18 8:5	11:10,25 14:23	sadistic 17:20	South 2:21
16:17 17:4,14	reason 16:23	16:5,11	safety 6:3	South 2.21 Southwoods
18:22	reassault 16:13	request 17:7	saw 8:12 12:17	17:14
Prisoner 10:2	recall 6:25 7:9	required 4:16	13:23	
Prisons 13:14	8:7,25	respect 18:13	says 11:7,21	space 6:8,8
proceeded 9:2	recalls 16:3	-	scope 17:8	speak 15:2
proceedings 2:5	recognize 5:9	responders	second 6:17	Special 1:6,21
4:2	recollection 6:14		secure 10:11	4:4,8,8,18
1	16:3	response 8:20	see 16:21	18:18
product 13:15	recommend	10:2,4,11,18	seeing 8:8	specifically 6:13
progressive 4:20	19:8	11:2 12:1,17	seeing 6.6 seen 16:2	16:7 19:11
prolonged 17:25	record 17:3	12:25 13:4,5		SQUARE 3:11
<b>proven</b> 17:1	recovery 15:20	13:19,22 14:3	segment 12:24	stamp 11:18
19:18	18:2	14:24 18:22	sense 16:10,18	12:15
<b>Public</b> 2:8 20:3	Recruit 5:2	19:2,13	16:22	standard 18:7
20:20	red 5:19,19,21	restrict 17:11	separate 10:21	standing 8:1
punishment	6:13 7:2,3 8:8	restricted 8:14	September	started 11:16
17:23	8:13 9:11	restriction 19:6	12:23	<b>State</b> 1:5 2:8
punitive 18:5,6	reference 4:7,17	resulting 15:9	Sergeant 10:7	3:11 15:15
18:13,14	18:8	17:25	11:4	16:9,16,17
purpose 6:1	referring 9:14	retired 13:14	series 4:21	17:3,14 18:22
14:10	reflect 14:18	return 9:20	set 4:11 20:8	20:4,20
pursuant 4:7	reflected 14:4	returned 12:20	setup 7:16	<b>stated</b> 13:20
<b>put</b> 5:19 6:13	15:5	revealed 16:15	seven 4:17	statement 11:1
<b>P-171</b> 14:4	regard 1:4 10:24	review 4:14	severe 17:25	12:12,22 13:1
<b>P-172</b> 10:21	16:7 18:11	reviewed 16:15	shield 15:2	13:9,16,18
11:18 12:15	regarding 4:4	18:19	<b>shift</b> 6:17	statements
	reiterate 14:9	rid 16:19	Shirley 5:2,3	10:19
Q	relative 20:10,12	right 11:11	Shorthand 20:4	States 1:1 2:9
question 5:8,11	relatively 12:23	risk 16:20,23	shoulder 14:17	stenographica
5:16,22,25 6:5	14:11	Ronald 10:5	15:8 17:10	20:7
6:9,12,16,20	relocation 16:8	19:13	significance	step 5:21
6:24 7:6,12,15	remained 19:4	room 9:23 12:6	18:11	steps 12:19
7:20,24 8:4,7	remember 5:11	rose 18:3	significant 10:15	stool 7:23 8:5
8:11,16,20,24	6:12 7:6	ROSELLI 3:9,9	17:12 19:6	story 13:20
9:4,9 10:13	remove 11:7	rough 14:21	significantly	straight 13:20
questioning 5:7		roving 9:21	19:5	subject 5:7
quite 13:11	reopening 4:1	Royal 5:3	sit 7:21	subsequent
quote 13:1	repeated 17:25	Ruff 10:7,18,25	Sitting 5:8	15:25
	report 4:16	11:8,10 12:12	slammed 14:19	substantial
		11.0,10 12.12		savstantiai

	1	1	1	
16:20	20:19	15:13 17:24	16:18	<b>25th</b> 4:21 6:16
substantiated	thing 13:23	<b>United</b> 1:1 2:9	witness 13:2,4	16:4
16:9	things 15:23	unnecessary	work 7:17	<b>251</b> 2:21
supervisor 10:7	think 7:10 8:21	14:13,21 17:20	working 6:17	<b>27th</b> 15:6 16:4
supervisory	12:2,13 14:8	unsubstantiated	write 9:11 12:8	Were the second
18:12	THURSDAY	16:25	written 4:16	3
support 15:22	1:15	unusual 13:2	12:9 13:16	30X100085700
18:4	time 4:20,25	use 14:21 15:3,9	wrote 5:12,17	2:7
supposed 5:20	6:20 9:17,20	usually 7:22		_ <b>32</b> 3:4
8:18	12:17 13:2,3	/	X	<b>33</b> 3:11
supposedly	13:15,18,21	V	<b>XIO857</b> 20:21	A
15:12	17:10,17 18:10	Vastano 10:4	Y	4 402 5 14
sure 13:13	20:7	11:16 19:13		<b>402</b> 5:14
surrounding	told 6:17 7:2	vicinity 11:13	Yeah 7:22	5
5:13 13:11	8:13,16 9:5	12:7	year 17:14	<b>5</b> 20:20
sustain 15:21	top 8:3	Videoconfere	Z	<b>52.1</b> 4:15
sustained 14:12	<b>Tozer</b> 5:2 9:17	2:20	Zawojski 10:8	<b>5894</b> 12:15
16:5	12:6 13:8,13	visited 18:3	11:4 19:15	<b>5895</b> 12:17
<b>system</b> 5:19,22	transcript 2:5	vs 1:8	11.4 17.13	<b>5902</b> 11:18
6:2,13	4:15 5:5 20:6	V-A-S-T-A-N-O	\$	<b>5903</b> 11:21
	transit 17:24	10:5	<b>\$4,500</b> 19:10	3703 11.21
T	transpiring	W		6
T 20:1,1	15:12		0	609-586-2257
table 7:25	transport 10:12	W 1:21 3:10	<b>08-1288</b> 1:2 4:3	3:12
take 9:24 11:23	11:24 14:14,22	waiting 9:24	<b>08012</b> 3:5	
12:4 16:23	14:25 15:4	walked 7:4 9:2	<b>08102</b> 2:10	8
taken 2:6 10:19	treatment 17:6	Walker 4:12	<b>08106</b> 2:22	856-232-3337
15:6 20:7	Trenton 15:15	watching 13:10	<b>08690</b> 3:11	3:5
tall 7:17	16:9,17 17:3	weight-lifting		856-232-4561
tape 5:19	triggering 4:24	17:11	1	3:6
team 10:2,2,4,11	true 20:6	West 1:7 4:2,13	<b>1</b> 1:15 20:21	856-546-1100
10:18 11:2	two 9:20 15:7	4:19 5:9,12	10th 5:3	2:23
12:17,25 13:4	18:25	6:21 8:8 9:18	11th 12:23	
13:5,19,22	type 8:5,22 9:7	9:22 10:11,17	<b>11:36</b> 2:10	
14:3,24 18:22	<b>T-O-Z-E-R</b> 5:2	10:25 11:7,16	<b>1337</b> 3:11	
19:2,13	***	12:11,18 13:11	<b>18th</b> 17:15	
team's 12:1	U	13:23 14:3,17	<b>196</b> 5:5	
technically 7:19	unauthorized	15:11 17:9,20	<b>1997</b> 4:22 6:17	
tell 5:16 6:24	5:15 8:15	West's 12:25	12:23	
8:25	underlie 4:10	19:6,11	<b>1998</b> 17:15	
testified 5:3 9:17	unit 4:19,25	whatsoever	***************************************	
14:17	6:18 9:24 10:1	12:11	2	
testimony 5:1	10:12 11:2,6,8	White 2:21	200 5:5	
13:7 14:23	11:13 12:5,18	William 1:9 10:5	<b>2009</b> 1:15 5:4	
20:6	12:19,20 14:15	10:7 19:14,14	20:21	
Theresa 2:6 20:3	14:16,19 15:10	willingness	<b>2010</b> 20:20	