



damages of \$150,000 plus interest, fees, and costs of suit. (*Id.*) On June 5, 2009, the Clerk of the Court entered default against Defendants. On August 19, 2009, Plaintiff filed the present motion for default judgment. (Doc. No. 8.) On March 5, 2010, this case was reassigned to the undersigned.

## **II. DISCUSSION**

### **A. Legal Standard**

Default is governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55. Rule 55(a) provides, in relevant part, as follows: “When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party’s default.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). “Thereafter, the plaintiff may seek the Court’s entry of default judgment under either Rule 55(b)(1) or Rule 55(b)(2).” *Doug Brady, Inc. v. N.J. Bldg. Laborers Statewide Funds*, 250 F.R.D. 171, 177 (D.N.J. 2008) (citation omitted). “The district court has the discretion to enter default judgment, although entry of default judgments is disfavored as decisions on the merits are preferred.” *Super 8 Motels, Inc. v. Kumar*, No. 06-5231, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28066 at \*7 (D.N.J. Apr. 1, 2008) (citation omitted).

Before entering default judgment, the court “must make explicit factual findings as to: (1) whether the party subject to default has a meritorious defense, (2) the prejudice suffered by the party seeking default, and (3) the culpability of the party subject to default.” *Doug Brady*, 250 F.R.D. at 177 (citing *Emcasco Ins. Co. v. Sambrick*, 834 F.2d 71, 74 (3d Cir. 1987)) (“we have further required the district court to make explicit findings concerning the factors it must consider in rendering judgment by default or dismissal, or in declining to reopen such

judgment”). “In weighing these factors, district courts must remain mindful that, like dismissal with prejudice, default is a sanction of last resort.” *Id.* (citing *Poulis v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co.*, 747 F.2d 863, 867-68 (3d Cir. 1984)).

### **B. Application**

Applying this standard to the facts alleged in Plaintiff’s submissions, the Court determines that an entry of default judgment against Defendants is appropriate in this case because: (1) Defendants have not proffered and do not appear to have a meritorious defense to Plaintiff’s allegations; (2) Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer prejudice because of the amount withheld by Defendants; and (3) Defendants appear culpable for the conduct alleged in Plaintiff’s complaint. As such, the Court will grant Plaintiff’s motion for default judgment as to Defendants’ liability for \$150,000 plus interest, fees, and costs of suit. Further, the Court shall order Plaintiff to promptly submit a written certification that details with supporting documentation the interest, fees, and costs of suit for which Defendants are liable.

### **III. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff’s motion for default judgment against Defendants will be granted as to Defendants’ liability for \$150,000 plus interest, fees, and costs of suit. The Court shall order Plaintiff to promptly submit a written certification that details with supporting documentation the interest, fees, and costs of suit for which Defendants are liable. An appropriate form of order accompanies this memorandum opinion.

Dated: March 9, 2010

/s/ Garrett E. Brown, Jr.  
GARRETT E. BROWN, JR., U.S.D.J.

