

and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do.” Twombly, 127 S. Ct. at 1964-65 (internal citations omitted); see also FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a)(2). “Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint are true (even if doubtful in fact).” Id. at 1965 (internal citations omitted).

The Complaint does not state any valid claim for relief, as it does not appear to assert in any coherent form facts which could be the basis for the claims against the named defendant. It does not contain a short and plain statement of the basis for jurisdiction, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). The statement of the claim contains only two conclusory sentences, with no supporting factual statements. This Court cannot discern from the Complaint whether any facts support the claims. The Court is left to speculate about what, if any, specific conduct is asserted by Plaintiff as the basis for any of the claims which he asserts. As such, the Complaint will be dismissed without prejudice.

s/ Stanley R. Chesler
Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J.