WITHERSPOON v. PIER 60 Doc. 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DESMOND WITHERSPOON,

Civil Action No. 14-7802 (SRC)

Plaintiff,

v.

OPINION

PIER 60,

Defendant.

CHESLER, District Judge

This matter comes before the Court on the application filed by Plaintiff Desmond Witherspoon to proceed in forma pauperis without fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Court finds that Plaintiff qualifies for in forma pauperis status, yet his Complaint will be dismissed. The Court must examine Plaintiff's claim and dismiss it if frivolous or legally insufficient. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Here, Plaintiff demands a "5 yr gym membership" against "Pier 60 20st + Hudson Park New York" for a cause of action "Prejudice." No further information is provided. As such, Plaintiff has failed to state a basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction, and he has failed to state a facially plausible claim for relief. For the reasons provided in the Opinion for Civil Action No. 14-6296, Plaintiff is on notice that he may be sanctioned if he continues to file unsubstantiated and repetitive lawsuits. An appropriate Order will be filed.

> s/Stanley R. Chesler STANLEY R. CHESLER United States District Judge

Dated: December 30, 2014