



Case No. 1:15-CV-1917  
Gwin, J.

remedies than the federal claims,”<sup>3/</sup> favor declining jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ pendent state law claims. The Court **DISMISSES** without prejudice Plaintiffs’ pendent state law claims and retains jurisdiction over the remaining FLSA claim.

The Court’s jurisdiction over the FLSA claim, however, is still uncertain due to Plaintiffs’ potential lack of standing in this case. The Court therefore **ORDERS** the parties to file supplemental briefing on the issue of Plaintiffs’ standing to bring this FLSA claim in federal court. The briefs should address whether Plaintiff Loomis or Plaintiff CPPA has standing to assert FLSA claims on behalf of individual recruits and whether these FLSA claims are ripe for judicial review. These briefs shall be filed within fourteen days of the filing of this opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 2, 2015

s/ James S. Gwin  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>3/</sup>[\*Coleman v. Wirtz\*, 745 F. Supp. 434, 441 \(N.D. Ohio 1990\) \*aff’d\*, 985 F.2d 559 \(6th Cir. 1993\)](#) (citing [\*Gibbs\*, 383 U.S. 715, 727 \(1966\)](#)) (“ . . . Courts have interpreted *Gibbs* . . . as allowing courts, in their discretion, to dismiss, *sua sponte*, pendent state law claims . . . ”).