

recommendation.” In *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985), the Court held that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate judge’s factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings.”

DECISION

This Court, having reviewed the R&R and finding no clear error, hereby ACCEPTS the Magistrate Judge’s R&R. In accordance with that recommendation, the Court hereby AFFIRMS the decision of the Commissioner for the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the R&R, which is incorporated herein by reference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/23/24

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
United States District Judge