



the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). In addition, petitioner must have exhausted all available state remedies. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b).

Alleged violations by a sending state of extradition requirements do not generally form a basis for habeas relief. Edkert v. Tansy, 936 F.2d 444, 450 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir 1991); see also, Young v. St. Joseph County Sheriff Department, No. 4:06 CV 124, 2007 WL 760525 (W.D. Mich. Mar. 7, 2007). Further, there is no suggestion that Mr. White has exhausted his state court remedies. Thus, without regard to the potential merits of the grounds sought to be raised, the petition is premature in any event.

Based on the foregoing, this action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Further, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis on which to issue a certificate of appealability. Fed.R.App.P. 22(b); 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Lesley Wells  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE