| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO | | |
|---|--------|----------------------|
| DEBORAH A. PARKER, | : | CASE NO. 5:16-CV-278 |
| Plaintiff, | : : | |
| vs. | : | OPINION & ORDER |
| COMMISSIONER SOCIAL SECURITY, | : | [Resolving Doc. 1] |
| Defendant. | : | |
| | | |

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On February 5, 2016, Plaintiff Deborah A. Parker filed a complaint seeking judicial review of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's decision to deny her non-disability retirement income benefits.¹ The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge William H. Baughman, Jr.

On May 5, 2017, Magistrate Judge Baughman issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that this Court affirm the Commissioner's decision.² Magistrate Judge Baughman found that because Plaintiff Parker never submitted a brief in support of her claim despite multiple extensions, an "obvious error" standard applies to her case.³ Magistrate Judge Baughman found no obvious error in the denial of benefits.⁴

On May 26, 2017, Plaintiff Parker requested an extension to file objections to the R&R so that she could hire a lawyer.⁵ The Court granted the extension.⁶

- ¹ Doc. <u>1</u>.
- ² Doc. <u>36</u>.
- ³ Id. at 6.
- ⁴ Id. at 7-8.
- ⁵ Doc. <u>37</u>.
- ⁶ Doc. <u>38</u>.

Case No. 5:16-CV-278 Gwin, J.

Objections were due June 30, 2017. Plaintiff Parker neither retained counsel nor filed objections.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a de novo review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection.⁷ Failure to timely object waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate's report. ⁸

Absent objection, a district court may adopt the magistrate judge's report without review.⁹ Moreover, having conducted its own review of the complaint and record, the Court agrees with the conclusions in the Report and Recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** in whole Magistrate Judge Baughman's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it fully herein by reference, and **DISMISSES** Plaintiff Parker's complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 3, 2017

s/ James S. Gwin JAMES S. GWIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁷ <u>28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C)</u>.

⁸ Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

⁹ See Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149.