

for actions taken within the scope of their official duties - *see, e.g., Pierson v. Ray*, 387 U.S. 547 (1967) (judges), *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409 (1976) (prosecutors) - and there is no suggestion in the Complaint that Defendants acted outside the scope of those duties.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915A. Further, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: September 13, 2016

/s/ John R. Adams
JOHN R. ADAMS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE