

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TORANCE W. LACOUR,)	
)	
PLAINTIFF,)	
)	
vs.)	CASE No. 11-CV-89-TCK-FHM
)	
METROPOLITAN TULSA TRANSIT)	
AUTHORITY, and several)	
unnamed employees,)	
)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff’s Motion for Scheduling Conference [Dkt. 33] is before the Court for consideration. Plaintiff seeks a hearing to resolve issues related to the entry of a scheduling order in the case. Based upon the Joint Status Report [Dkt. 30], Plaintiff’s Request for Extension of Time [Dkt. 31] and the current motion, the Court has sufficient information to enter a scheduling order without a hearing.

Plaintiff’s Motion for Scheduling Conference [Dkt. 33] is therefore DENIED and a scheduling order will be entered.

SO ORDERED this 9th day of August, 2011.



 FRANK H. McCARTHY
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE