# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TIMOTHY AND HANNAH LEE,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Case. No. 11-CV-637-TCK
FIRST UNITED BANK AND TRUST, and SHANNON TAYLOR,	)	
Defendants.	) )	

#### **OPINION AND ORDER**

Before the Court are Defendant First United Bank and Trust's Amended Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. 6) and Defendant Shannon Taylor's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. 7).

### I. Background

On October 17, 2011, Plaintiffs Timothy and Hannah Lee ("Plaintiffs") filed suit against First United Bank and Trust ("First United") and Shannon Taylor ("Taylor"). Although the Complaint is largely incognizable, it appears that Plaintiffs' allegations stem from a state foreclosure action. Both First United and Taylor identify Case No. CJ-2011-2492 as the underlying action, although the Court did not find a specific reference to this case in Plaintiffs' Complaint. The Complaint is titled as follows:

R.I.C.O, FRAUD/BANK FRAUD
CONSPIRACY/OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
TAX FRAUD, MONEY LAUNDERING, WIRE FRAUD, PERJURY
DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS IN STATE COURT AND RIGHT TO REMOVE
TO THIS
FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION, DEFENDANTS DID NOT PRODUCE
THE ORIGINAL NOTE
U.S. Patriot Act Title III

PETITION IN THE NATURE OF A SUIT FOR DEPRIVATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS TITLE 42 USC 1983, 1981, 1985, 1988, TITLE 18 USC 241, 242, 1512, 1968, 1964, FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND OTHER DAMAGES AS THE COURT SHALL DETERMINE REASONABLE, LAWFUL, AND JUST

(Compl. 1.) Both First United and Taylor move to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) ("Rule 12(b)(6)"), arguing that Plaintiffs Complaint fails to contain any cognizable claims.

### II. Rule 12(b)(6) Standard

In considering a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6), a court must determine whether the plaintiff has stated a claim upon which relief may be granted. The inquiry is "whether the complaint contains 'enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ridge at Red Hawk, LLC v. Schneider*, 493 F.3d 1174, 1177 (10th Cir. 2007) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544)). In order to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, a plaintiff must "'nudge [] [his] claims across the line from conceivable to plausible." *Schneider*, 493 F.3d at 1177 (quoting *Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. at 1974). Thus, "the mere metaphysical possibility that some plaintiff could prove some set of facts in support of the pleaded claims is insufficient; the complaint must give the court reason to believe that this plaintiff has a reasonable likelihood of mustering factual support for these claims." *Schneider*, 493 F.3d at 1177.

## III. Analysis

After reviewing Plaintiffs' lengthy Complaint,<sup>1</sup> the Court agrees with Defendants that the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Specifically, even construing Plaintiffs' Complaint liberally and drawing all reasonable inferences in Plaintiffs' favor, the Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs' Complaint is eighty (80) pages.

is unable to discern any cognizable claim or set of facts from the allegations therein. Plaintiffs'

Complaint fails to properly identify the parties to suit, allege any facts creating personal or subject

matter jurisdiction, or outline any specific claims against Defendants. Instead, the Court agrees with

Taylor that the Complaint "consists of an unintelligible patchwork of inapplicable case law,

irrelevant statutes[,] and memorandums apparently procured from the internet." (Taylor's Mot. to

Dismiss 6.) The complete lack of factual allegations or legally cognizable claims renders dismissal

of the Complaint proper pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6).

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons outlined herein, the Court GRANTS Defendant First United Bank and

Trust's Amended Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. 6) and Defendant

Shannon Taylor's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. 7). A Judgment of Dismissal

will be entered separately.

SO ORDERED this 30th day of May, 2012.

TERENCE C. KERN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Hrence C Xlru

3