## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

## CAREY KLEIN,

Plaintiff,

No. CV 07-1088-AC

**OPINION AND ORDER** 

v.

CITY OF PORTLAND, et al.,

Defendants.

# MOSMAN, J.,

On May 26, 2009, and June 1, 2009, Magistrate Judge Acosta issued Findings and Recommendations ("F&Rs") (## 68, 69) in the above-captioned case recommending that I DENY defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (#37) with regard to plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief for violation of his constitutional right to freedom of speech, and GRANT in all other respects. Judge Acosta further recommended that I DENY defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#54), DENY AS MOOT plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (#58), and GRANT plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Patricia Zylawy, as personal representative of Mark Zylawy's estate (#62). No objections to the F&Rs were filed.

### DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge,

#### PAGE 1 - OPINION AND ORDER

but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Acosta's recommendations, and I ADOPT the F&Rs (## 68, 69) as my own opinions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>8th</u> day of July, 2009.

<u>/s/ Michael W. Mosman</u> MICHAEL W. MOSMAN United States District Court

## PAGE 2 - OPINION AND ORDER