Hale v. Vanguard Doc. 14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

BRIAN HALE,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

No. 3:21-cv-01236-YY

v.

VANGUARD,

OPINION AND ORDER

MOSMAN, J.,

On October 4, 2021, Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued her Findings and Recommendation ("F. & R.") [ECF 11]. Judge You recommends that I enter judgment and dismiss the complaint without prejudice. Objections were due on October 26, 2021, but none were filed. I agree with Judge You.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of 1 – OPINION AND ORDER

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F. & R. to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F. & R. depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F. & R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

CONCLUSION

Upon review, I agree with Judge You's recommendation, I ADOPT her F. & R. [ECF 11] as my own opinion, and I dismiss this case without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27 day of October, 2021.

MICHAEL W. MÖSMAN United States District Judge