IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT . FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON EUGENE DIVISION

ANDRE MILLER,

Case No. 6:18-cv-00884-MK
OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

VS.

SAN MATEO COUNTY and SAN MATEO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES,

Defendants.

AIKEN, Judge:

United States Magistrate Judge Jolie A. Russo¹ filed her Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 18) on September 18, 2018, recommending that this Court grant defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 15). The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Plaintiff filed timely objections (doc. 22) to the F&R. When any party objects to a magistrate judge's F&R, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of the specified proposed findings or recommendations to which the objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

¹ This case has since been reassigned to Magistrate Judge Mustafa T. Kasubhai.

^{1 –} OPINION AND ORDER

Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has considered plaintiff's objections and concludes that there is no basis to modify the F&R. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and finds no errors in the F&R. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Russo's F&R (doc. 18). Defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 15) is GRANTED, and this case is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this $\frac{\mu D}{day}$ of October 2018.

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge