

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**GEORGE VINCENT KUBIS,**  
**Petitioner,**

**v.**

**THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF  
BUCKS COUNTY, et al.,**  
**Respondents.**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**CIVIL ACTION 15-CV-2142**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 29th day of July, 2020, in consideration of Petitioner George Vincent Kubis’s Motion for Relief from Judgment Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) (ECF No. 49), it is

**ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction for the reasons set forth in the Court’s Memorandum accompanying this Order.

2. A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) because reasonable jurists would not debate the propriety of this Court’s procedural ruling with respect to these claims. See *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

**BY THE COURT:**

**/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.**

**WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.**