WRIGHT v. WETZEL et al Doc. 19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDRE WRIGHT, Plaintiff, v. JOHN WETZEL; MICHAEL WENEROWICZ;[1] A.B. MATHIS; MARY CANINO; PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE AT SCIG; ROBIN M. LEWIS; JANE/JOHN DOES, Defendants.	:	No. 5:15-cv-05109

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 7th day of July, 2016, upon consideration Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, ECF Nos. 10, 13, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum issued this date, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. Defendants' Motions, ECF Nos. 10, 13, are **GRANTED**;
2. Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED with prejudice**;
3. This case is **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joseph F. Leeson, Jr.
JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR.
United States District Judge

[1] The docket incorrectly identifies Michael Wenereowicz and the Superintendent of the State Correctional Institution at Graterford as two separate defendants.

Dockets.Justia.com