

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
 ROCK HILL DIVISION

Dwight Xavier Jones, #969277,	)	
	)	C.A. No. 0:09-269-HMH-PJG
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>OPINION AND ORDER</b>
	)	
Correctional Care Solutions; Dennis A.	)	
Tracy; Sgt. Klausen, Lexington County	)	
Detention Center; NFN Mickens,	)	
Lexington County Detention Center; and	)	
James R. Metts, Lexington County	)	
Detention Center,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2006).

To the extent Plaintiff’s June letters to Magistrate Judge Gossett and Larry Propes are objections to the Report and Recommendation, those objections are non-specific, unrelated to

the dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. In the absence of specific objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). The court must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Gossett's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein. It is therefore

**ORDERED** that the Defendants' motions for summary judgment, docket numbers 75 and 79, are granted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr.  
Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina  
June 28, 2010

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.