

~~DENIED~~

RECEIVED  
U.S. CLERK, FLORENCE

Henry C. Wooten  
TERRY L. WOOTEN  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

2012 DEC -6 AM 8:12  
12-5-12

DATE

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Thomas Beauford Brooks,

Plaintiff.

VS.  
The South Carolina Department of Corrections, et al. of Wilson; (4) Motion for service of process on Operations in General; (4) Richland County Public Defender. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ADDENDUM DIS-  
CLOSURE, et al. Caley.

Defendants

HEREIN THE plaintiff in Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-03342-TLW,  
Motions upon the Court for leave pertaining to the (4) listed motion re-  
quests herein. THE plaintiff, pro se will attempt to address those  
issues to establish "good cause" for the Courts discretion to Judge-  
ment in favor of plaintiff, pro se, AS A MATTER OF LAW.

HEREIN THE plaintiff's requests for leave to file a supplemental  
complaint deals with a issue with one Maj. Dean and one  
LT. John Doe and one S.C.D. C. I/kn that these three alleged  
that some type of security issue arose between me and  
John Doe I/kn one that i lack any knowledge of pertaining  
to some alleged argument etc., that lead. John Doe I/kn  
to address some further issues to LT. John Doe, Spensor w/  
The Sheriff under who referred to alleged intentions by Maj  
Dean, HEAD of Security b/c of L.C.I.

RECEIVED  
U.S. CLERK, FLORENCE

A  
10  
13

Braxton

at 08-01-2012, IN MAJ. DENNIS, THERE WERE AT L.C.I. before  
LT. JANE DEX, AND ONE CAPT. COMMANDER, THE plaintifff.  
was threatened and forced to sign protective custody papers  
concerning an incident he lacked knowledge of nor had any  
involvement in, that was brought forth by 'Tom John Doe', who  
threw me in the situation because he wanted a room  
change. because i ~~want~~ want talk to him at night when  
i'm trying to sleep and he what's to worry me about his  
problems that he got himself into when i have my own  
problems that have been brought upon by others causing  
me additional stress and aggression deal with and  
being around individuals with different personalities.  
that i dont know nor care to involve in my personal  
and or private life. for the record. IT is upon my belief  
that the admn. here at L.C.I. and the staff that coordinates  
A.T.U., which is a substance abuse program that i took  
upon myself to be a part of to overcome my substance abuse  
issues and certain I.M.'s that help the staff coordinate  
program or trying to document benned-up uncollaborated  
incidents to get me kicked out of the A.T.U. programs. MAJ.  
DENNIS, on 08-01-2012, to S.J.R. P.C. papers saying  
that i did not fear for my life and that i did not what  
P.C. it is upon my belief that due to the status quo, or  
unknowingly of this criminal and or Civil Conspiracy  
and or Controversy and the fact that L.C.I. ~~act~~

Brooks

admin., security, medical, etc. has been made aware of my innocence and the fact that [redacted] county parties attempt to force me to suffer the consequences of criminal acts of law enforcement etc., due to bad facts the incident report that is attached to the censored signed statement and form indicates that you do not know for your life may be altered and subject out to address a more broader or over broader issue that was not the topic of the forced signing of this paperwork concerning a matter i had no knowledge of other than a lack of communication with idle John Doe, all parties are defendants in C/A No 4:14-cv-03362-T-W, and I [redacted] went to the throat of being placed on lock-up during the month of Ramadan where i'm trying to fast as a Muslim without all the foolishness that has manifested at this [redacted] prison, also were i have a up coming P.C.R., hearing that is possibly coming up [redacted] on the 10th date of the week of Sept. 2012... and i dont have time to be writing the law brief from lock-up and nearly 4 weeks for the material that i wish to view. In addition even in general population for the record the plaintiff is not getting adequate law library time to perfect suit pro se, and or [redacted] to assist P.C.R.; attorney of avenues for grounds that i feel that petition should be attacked on.

Brock's 4

The State Dept. of Corrections may attempt too pre-judge or premature judgment than a Fed. L.ub. C. v. P.S. Rule 12 (b) motion etc., and the Plaintiff asserts the right that he should have at least access to legal materials if possible, and adequate time to study these materials and the accommodations of supplies or instruments such as Xerocopy copies of cases and studies in his favor that may prevent a premature pre-judge ruling in favor of defendants. The Plaintiff is confined and he is unable to obtain a lawyer by normal means rather paid, pro bono, etc., the Plaintiff lacks legal knowledge needed to address complicated depictions of his Civil rights and these issues where brought upon him by defendants, where ~~he~~ relief requested may be denied in a ~~given~~ issue at hand. While a Court Appointed Attorney would be in Plaintiff's best interest due to No fault of his own for his confinement within the penal institution at the S.C. Dept. of Corrections. ~~Given~~ this I request that these additional issues or "personed in this matter", added to this "complaint" and the "incident report". If the encounter on 01-01-2012, ~~between~~ MAJ. DEAN, etc. or personed in this ~~entity~~ which includes any and all statements and or affidavits etc. or personed etc. pursuant to SOUTH CAROLINA CODE ANN. § 55-19-21-70 supra, § 17-27-150 supra. Plaintiff believes that this may be used for other reasons pertaining to the incident report etc. and ~~he~~ wishes disclosure etc.

Brooks

HEREIN FOR THE RECORD. The plaintiff requests that the following information, rather statements, orally stated, written, any and all physical evidence and or reports or documents etc., of records of Contraband ~~and~~ Confiscated items inmates and or staff at "Cell phone's" that or being used to coordinate Criminal acts and or nuisance, Drugs, Weapons; and all incident reports, infractions of Inmate ~~and~~ offenders of disciplinary report and hearing records of (B.C.D.C. 19-409) Arms that have been in possession and or under the use and influence of drugs from plaintiff's arrival from 11-10-2012 thru — the plaintiff also requests that the following B.C.D.C. Arms be set forth dealing with above requests B.C.D.C. 19-29A and any and all outside illegal charges which may have been served by the Sheriff of Lee County W.I.s Civilian V.O.B.T.S trying to ~~bring~~ <sup>Songte</sup> Contraband into the inst, by any and all means not to just direct the presence of Contraband in its most harmful scope concerning its on going presence and the consequences that people that <sup>may be</sup> involved suffers for those involved. This includes Staff ~~and~~ write-ups on B.C.D.C 19-29A Arms etc. who may have be fired for failure of a standard, duty and or special care of security awareness, action, and protection etc., and or who may also still retain there positions. This also includes officers having been caught at admiring

BREAKS TO

Inmates in moves and/or bringing contraband in themselves to inmates to cell. For "Green Sets", Green sets or visa cards that have a 12 or 14 digit number that is giving to these items by friends and/or family on the Streets where these digit numbers are giving to the dealers of the contraband with whatever amount that ~~is~~ is placed on the Green set cards for ~~get~~ profit. The Cell phones or the Main and most used way to make easy this transaction and the Cell phones also or used to coordinate with ~~the~~ individuals in Secrecy and/or organizations to coordinate the movement to get the Contraband over the rec. Held Kenses to inmates we have here made keys to unlock Rec. Held doors, room doors of other inmates they wish to kill, beat up, rape, etc. When they evade security devices which is another SCDC 19-29A et al report i. request along with all institutional, departmental, security, administrative, and ~~any~~ and all investigative agencies inside and out side of the Department of Corrections that have a record of any and all security break in that has been made from 11-10-2010 thru \_\_\_\_\_. which is material to the cause of action and should be set forth pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 33-19-21-30, 19-21-40, 19-21-70. And where good cause exists pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-150 supra. Rule 71, S.C.R.C.P.

BLOCKS?

HEREIN pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 13-9-210., the plaintiff requests due to safety concerns that the representative capacity of the Deaf D.O.B I/M party to this joinder be served upon a agent that is not connected with L.E.C.J., as a matter of law due to Safety Concerns that may affect Plaintiff's safety, life, treatment concerns which could cause additional emotional stress if he is retaliated upon by the L/M population and the staff and or admin. who he is forced to be housed with, be around, abide to and or rely on pertaining to the admin, officers, and inmates.

HEREIN THE plaintiff requests the full and or actual names and Identification numbers of all defendants rather employees and inmates of this personnal joinder from all parties as defendants in this suit from the Richland County defendants to the LEC: Ceccettini & Ind. defendants. THIS REQUEST is also directed at locations of businesses and or places where service can be served on individuals and groups of defendants to accomodate all parties and plaintiffs interest pertaining to the earliest convenience pertaining to the service of process. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-150, Rule 71.1 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil procedure, and on the grounds that good cause exists to allow this discovery to proceed.

BRODS 8

HEREIN. Finally, THE plaintiff, requests a special order from the Courts to perpetuate telephone records from a establishment named "POP'S NEW YORK PIZZA WINGS AND HOOCHES", that was requested of by Plaintiff to the appointed attorney John Rhodes Bailey, Richland County public defenders office.

Richland County Judicial Center, 1701 MAIN St., Columbia, S.C. 29201, where he Subpoenaed the previous Subpoena # 2009954Q01154, thru Bell South # BST09068325, that was Received on 03-06-2009 at the Subpoena Center located at 208 S Akard 10th Floor Dallas, TX 75202 (214) 268-2137.

by Ms. Karen Lerington, and or Ms Jennette Smith, (214) 268-2300, which address the issue of trunk numbers etc, in the disclosure of an alleged phone call coming into Pop's N.Y. Pizza to order a pizza and Mozzarella sticks which could only be at Call # 45 that came in from (803) 261-3830 at 22:10:03 hrs and ended at 22:12:44 hrs which would represent the same approx. time on pizza receipt which would give the investigation a prime suspect and not a attempt to Convene a jury and deceive a judge concerning rather i had involvement in allegation thru the phone records of who made this call in respects to the pizza receipt, where time derives from the receipt, location etc, things that have been asserted

Brooks 9

to put me to this location at this specific time by post of this document. The number (SD3) 261-3830 at Call Number 85, is a trunk number this same number represents the Carrier Obviously and this number pops up on the telephone log when i was at work on the Clock etc., and even after the arrest for this alleged incident where there would be no way i could have made the calls and or call. I have sent the telephone records in a prior ~~date~~ prima facie showing to the Court the date is unknown at this time. and i need these records not only to prove my innocence but for the <sup>legal</sup> care of the defendant in question at neglect of a matter entrusted upon him pursuant to DR 6-101(B) of the Code of Professional Responsibility to perfect claim against defendants in their on individual capacities as a matter of law pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. 17-27-150. Rule 71-1 of the S.C.R.C.P.

Finally, this motion is supported by the pleading in the Complaints and or Complaints in hole and part at C/A NO. 4:11-CV-03362-TLW. and all supporting evidence of all prima facie showings of this genuine issue, before the Court and or thru all motions admitted etc, and all applicable Statutes and case authority, <sup>the</sup> ~~not~~ applicable, Rules of Civil procedure, and such other evidence and

authority as the Court may find it appropriate  
to consider.

Brooks 10

Respectfully,

L B

# 08-03-2013