Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Email to EHM re phone call from							,	
			Mr. LaPointe: He is being								
			harassed by Midland Credit								
			Management over a GE Money								
			Bank/Wal-Mart Card from about								
i			5 years ago. Said the original								
			debt was about \$120 to \$150								
			and he made his payments on it								
ĺ			and they kept adding more and								
			more interest and charges and								
			he couldn't make the payments.								
1			He said MCM has been calling								
			for about 2 or 3 years. He has								
			received at least three letters so								
			far from them all showing								
			different amounts ranging from								
			\$400 to \$600. He has not been								
			sued yet. He said back in June								
			they were calling him 3 to 4 times								
		}	per day on his cell phone (only	,							
			phone he has) but he only								\$23.75
			answered 1 or 2 times and told								(defendant
			the man he was a 71 year old								objected
			man on SSI who couldn't afford o								but still
			pay the bill. He was asking if the								included
			different amounts they are telling				Plaintiff not entitled to				amount in
			him is illegal. He will check his				recover time billed				its
			credit reports to see what they				prior to counsel being				proposed
171	9/25/2014	4 SPI	are reporting.	0.25	\$95.00	\$23.75	retained	0.25	\$95.00	\$23.75	

Case		Time-			·	Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Email to EHM re phone call from								
			Mr. LaPointe: He is being								
			harassed by Midland Credit								
			Management over a GE Money								
			Bank/Wal-Mart Card from about								
			5 years ago. Siad the original								
			debt was about \$120 to \$150								
			and he made his payments on it								
			and they kept adding more and								
			more interest and charges and								
			he couldn't make the payments.								
	19		He said MCM has been calling								
			for about 2 or 3 years. He has								
		. *	received at least three letters so		•						
	•		far from them all showing				•				
			different amounts ranging from								
			\$400 to \$600. He has not been								
			sued yet. He said back in June								
			they were calling him 3 to 4 times								
			per day on his cell phone (only								
			phone he has) but he only								
			answered 1 or 2 times and told								
			the man he was a 71 year old								
			man on SSI who couldn't afford o								
			pay the bill. He was asking if the							### April 1	
			different amounts they are telling him is illegal. He will check his								
			credit reports to see what they				Identical entry appears				
172	9/25/2014	I CDI	are reporting.	0.25	\$95.00	¢22.75	in bill for both cases.		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
172	3/23/20 14	r, Sinc	are reporting.	. 0.23	φ 9 5.00	Ψ23.7 3	in bill for both cases.		υ Φυ:υυ 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	φυ.υι
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe:								
			Mr. LaPointe left a voicemail last				<i>;</i>				
			nightsaid he found three more								
			letters with different amounts as						;		
			well as a list of the phone calls		į						
171	9/26/2014	SPI	for a couple of weeks in June	0.05	\$95.00	\$4.75	No objection	0.05	\$95.00	\$4.75	\$4.75

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Vainamail fram Ma La Dainta								
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe:								
			Mr. LaPointe left a voicemail last								
			nightsaid he found three more letters with different amounts as								
			well as a list of the phone calls				Identical entry appears				
172	9/26/2014	SDI	for a couple of weeks in June	0.05	\$95.00	\$4.75	in bill for both cases.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
172	3/20/2014	SFL	Call from client discussed fee	0.05	Φ9 3.00	Φ4.1J	in bili for both cases.	J	φυ.υυ 	\$0.00	Ψ0.00
171	10/3/14	SPI	arrangements	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	No objection	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.75
'''	10/3/14	OI L	arrangements	0.15	ψ93.00	Ψ14.25	140 Objection	0.13	ψ95.00	Ψ14.20	Ψ17.70
			Call from client discussed fee				Identical entry appears				
172	10/3/14	SPI	arrangements	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	in bill for both cases.	.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
_		J	Initial office consulation with Mr.	0.10	Ψ00.00	Ψ11. 2 0			1 ,	T 40.00	
171	10/7/14	EHM	Lapointe	0.5	\$300.00	\$150.00	Excessive rate	0.5	\$250.00	\$125.00	\$125.00
''			1 - 2	0.0	Ψοσο.σσ	\$ 100.00	Excessive rate;	0.0	1 423.33	¥	
							unreasonable time		* * *		
			en e				entry, if the initial				
			Initial office consulation with Mr.				consultation was half				
172	10/7/14	EHM	Lapointe	0.5	\$300.00	\$150.00	an hour	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe: He								
			found another letter from MCM								
	1		with a different amount. He will								
			put it with the other paperwork	İ							
171	10/8/14	SPL	you gave him.	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	No objection	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	\$9.50
							Identical entry appears				
							in bill for both cases;				
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe: He				entry references one				
			found another letter from MCM		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		letter, which likely				
			with a different amount. He will				pertains to only one			费16年10年1	
470	40/0/44	CDI	put it with the other paperwork		005.00	00.50	case, yet time is billed		00.00	00.00	#0.00
172	10/8/14	SPL	you gave him.	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	to both cases.		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Voicemail from Mr. LeDeinter, Lle								
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe: He received more collection letters								
			will be working next two days and								
			will try to mail this latest one to								
171	12/22/14	SPI	you on Wednesday.	0.1	\$95.00	\$0.50	No objection	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	\$9.50
	12122117	O, L		0.1	ψ95.00	ψ3.50			#35.00 	Ψ3.30 _[ψ3.50
			Voicemail from Mr. LaPointe: He								
			received more collection letters		t na						
1			will be working next two days and								
			will try to mail this latest one to		41 al (##1)		Identical entry appears				
172	12/22/14	ODI	you on Wednesday.	0.1	\$95.00		in bill for both cases.	C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
171	1/7/15	SPL	Call from Mr. LaPointe: He has broken his cell phone which is the number we have on file for him. He wanted to give you an alternative phone numner to reach him on until he can get his cell phone reactivated. The alternate number is XXX-XXX-8527. He said you were supposed to be filing a lawsuit for him and he didn't want to miss any of your calls.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	Time is excessive and unreasonable.	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	\$9.50
			Call from Mr. LaPointe: He has broken his cell phone which is the number we have on file for								
			him. He wanted to give you an alternative phone numner to								
(1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2			reach him on until he can get his cell phone reactivated. The alternate number is XXX-XXX-8527. He said you were supposed to be filing a lawsuit for				Time is excessive and unreasonable; exact				
172	1/7/15	SPL	him and he didn't want to miss any of your calls.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	same entry appears in bill for both cases.	. 0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Client called and said he received more letters from Midland Credit and wanted to know if he should send them in and I told him yes to go ahead and send them. He wanted to know if we had any idea when this would be filed because he had been waiting since October. I told him I would pass the								
171	2/3/15	SPL	message a long to Mr. Mechem.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	No objection	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.25

Case	Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
		Client called and said he								
		received more letters from								
		Midland Credit and wanted to								
		know if he should send them in								
		and I told him yes to go ahead								
		and send them. He wanted to								
		know if we had any idea when								
		this would be filed because he								
		had been waiting since October.								
		I told him I would pass the			i le	dentical entry appears				
172	2/3/15 SPL	message a long to Mr. Mechem.	0.15	\$95.00		n bill for both cases.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Call from Client: He said you								
		were going to send him some					t partine			
		paperwork in March and he was								
		just following up. Call him if you								
171	3/19/15 SPL	have any news.	-0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50 N	lo objection	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50	\$9.50
		Call from Client: He said you								
		were going to send him some								
		paperwork in March and he was								
11 14	37) 3.44)	just following up. Call him if you				dentical entry appears				
172	3/19/15 SPL	have any news.	0.1	\$95.00	\$9.50 ji	n bill for both cases.	(A)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Client called regarding status of								
		documents he thought the firm								
		was sending him this month. I								
		asked him if had requested his								
		credit reports and he said no.								
		After talking to him, I sent the								
		credit report request form to his								
		email address. He will complete								
		that and send it off and will send								
		the credit reports to us when he								
		receives them. He also has 3 or								
		4 more letters that he will send								
171	3/27/15 SPL	as well.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25 N	No objection.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.25

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
172	3/27/15	SPL	Client called regarding status of documents he thought the firm was sending him this month. I asked him if had requested his credit reports and he said no. After talking to him, I sent the credit report request form to his email address. He will complete that and send it off and will send the credit reports to us when he receives them. He also has 3 or 4 more letters that he will send as well.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	Identical entry appears in bill for both cases.		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Mr. LaPointe called. he received the credit report form and wanted to make sure what he needed to do. I told him he needed to complete the form, fill in the circles next to the credit reporting agency and mail it to the address at the top of the form. Told him it would take about 2 weeks and he would get all 3 credit reports. He will send those in as he gets them. He also received 3 other letters from Midland and will								
171	3/30/15	SPL	send those as well.	0.2	\$95.00	\$19.00	No objection.	0.2	\$95.00	\$19.00	\$19.00

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed F	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
172	3/30/15	SPL	Mr. LaPointe called. he receive the credit report form and wante to make sure what he needed to do. I told him he needed to complete the form, fill in the circles next to the credit reportin agency and mail it to the address at the top of the form. Told him would take about 2 weeks and he would get all 3 credit reports He will send those in as he gets them. He also received 3 other letters from Midland and will send those as well. Call from Mr. LaPointe: He said he mailed in the credit report form you gave him a month ago and haven't received this credit report yet. He also said you would send in for him if he didn receive it. He said you should	ed of one of the original of t	\$95.00		ical entry appe		\$0.00	\$0.00_	\$19.00
171	4/30/15	S SPL	probably put is actual full name this time. He just probably put Steve LaPointe and his whole name is Russell Steven LaPointe. Call from Mr. LaPointe: He said	0.15 1	\$95.00	\$14.25 No o	bjection	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.25
			he mailed in the credit report form you gave him a month ago and haven't received this credit report yet. He also said you would send in for him if he didn receive it. He said you should probably put is actual full name								
470	4120445	CDI	this time. He just probably put Steve LaPointe and his whole name is Russell Steven	0.45	* 0.5.00		tical entry appe		600	40.00	\$0.00
172	4/30/15	OPL O	LaPointe.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25 IN DII	I for both cases	3. 0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0

Case	·	Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			· ·		į		•				1
			Call from Mr. LaPointe -		Ì						ļ.
			message to Everett: We called								
			him last week about coming in to							ľ	
			talk to you before filing two								1
			possible FDCPA complaints for								
			letters v Credit reports with								
171	6/8/15	SPL	inaccurate numbers.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	No objection	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.25
1			Call from Mr. LaPointe -								
			message to Everett: We called								
			him last week about coming in to								
<u> </u>			talk to you before filing two								
			possible FDCPA complaints for								
Vis. 15			letters v Credit reports with				Identical entry appears				
172	6/8/15	SPL	inaccurate numbers.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	in bill for both cases.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate;			-	
							excessive time for				
							copied-and-pasted				
							complaint; contains	1			
1	0/40/45	E1 13 4	Research and prepared draft		400000	44.050.00	unnecessary time for	1.0	****	****	2000 00
171,	6/10/15	EHM	complaint re Midland/WalMart	3.5	\$300.00	\$1,050.00	I a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second an	1.2	\$250.00	\$300.00	\$300.00
							Excessive rate; excessive time for				
							copied-and-pasted				
			· 通用 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				complaints; contains				
							unnecessary time for				0.5 x
			Research and prepared draft				research; separate				\$250=
172	6/10/15	ЕНМ	complaint re Midland/JC Penney	3.5	\$300.00	\$1,050.00	lawsuit unnecessary	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$125.00
			a the administration and the second s								
			Email to Mr. LaPointe with								
			attachments for review and								
			signature: Mr. LaPointe,								
			Attached for your review is a								
			complaint against Midland								
			Funding and Midland Credit								
			regarding the GE/Money		,						
			Bank/Wal-Mart account. Please								
			let me know if there are any changes or corrections. If none,								
			please sign the attached Oath								
171	6/10/15	SPL	will need to be notarized.	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	No objection	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	\$28.50
171	6/10/15	SPL	and return to us. Your signature	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	No objection	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	\$28.50

Case	Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
		Email to Mr. LaPointe re: 2nd case - Midland/JC Penney: Mr. LaPointe, Attached is the complaint against Midland Funding/Midland Credit on the GEMB/JC Penney account.								
		Please review this and let me know if there are any corrections								
		or changes. If not, please print								
		and sign the attached Oath in								:
		front of a notary and return it to our office. If you have any			The Table	two identical emails				
* *		questions please call. Thank				vere sent regarding				
172	6/11/15 SPL	you.	0.3	\$95.00		ach matter.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	6/12/15 SPL	Mr. LaPointe called regarding the emails I sent him. He is to print off the oaths for each complaint and sign them in front of a notary and send them back to us. He said he would do that. He wanted to know if I though Midland would sue him after we do this. I told him he needed to talk to Everett at that point.	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	No objection	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	\$28.50
172	6/12/15 SPL	Mr. LaPointe called regarding the emails I sent him. He is to print off the oaths for each complaint and sign them in front of a notary and send them back to us. He said he would do that. He wanted to know if I though Midland would sue him after we do this. I told him he needed to talk to Everett at that point.	0.3	\$95.00		dentical entry appears	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Called client to follow up								
			regarding his approval to file								
			complaints. Also explained to								
			him that the statute was running	1							
			on some of the letters and he								
			said he wasn't worried about								
			that, that he had 4 or 5 more								
171	6/12/15	SPI	letters from them.	0.25	\$95.00	\$23.75	No objection	0.25	\$95.00	\$23.75	\$23.75
	0/12/10	OI L	Called client to follow up	0.20	Ψ00.00	420.10			,	,	
			regarding his approval to file								
			complaints. Also explained to								
			him that the statute was running								
			on some of the letters and he								
			said he wasn't worried about								
			that, that he had 4 or 5 more				Identical entry appears				
170	CHOME	CDI	letters from them.	0.25	COE OO	¢22.75	in bill for both cases.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
172	6/12/15	SPL	letters from them.	0.25	\$95.00	φ 2 3.73	in pili for potri cases.		ψ0.00	, ψ0.00	Ψ0.00
171	6/15/15	ЕНМ	Received message to call client	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
							Excessive rate; time is				
							excessive and				
							unreasonable, as entry for the same activity is				
172	6/15/15	FHM	Received message to call client	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	billed to both cases	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	0, 10, 10		Returned call to client re			,					
171	6/15/15	ЕНМ	questions on complaint, etc.	0.25	\$300.00	\$75.00	Excessive rate	0.25	\$250.00	\$62.50	\$62.50
1		1							*		
							Excessive rate; time is				
					endre.		excessive and				
							unreasonable, as entry				
			Returned call to client re				for the same activity is				
172	6/15/15	EHM	questions on complaint, etc. Filed complaint	0.25	\$300.00	\$75.00	billed to both cases		\$0.00 	\$0.00	\$0.00
-			Midland/WalMart Penney,						s de side de s		
171	6/22/15	SPL	summonses and cover sheet	1	\$95.00	\$95.00	No objection	1	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$95.00
							Time is excessive and				
							unreasonable; time				
							billed for unnecessarily	, \$40. Id			
			Filed complaint Midland/JC				filing separate lawsuit;				
			Penney, summonses and cover				same entry appears in				
172	6/22/15	SDI	sheet	. 1	\$95.00	\$95.00	both bills	C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1/2	0/22/10	OF L	SHOOL	Section 1	Ψ30.00	Ψ30.00	Dour Ding		, ψυ.υυ	Ψ0.00	

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Кеерег	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
171	7/1/15	ЕНМ	Reviewed Court's Order re case # 2:15-cv-171 and #2:15-cv-172 are related and need to be assigned to same judge, Judge Greer	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
			Reviewed Court's Order re case # 2:15-cv-171 and #2:15-cv-172 are related and need to be assigned to same judge, Judge	,			Excessive rate; billed		'		
172	7/1/15	ЕНМ	Greer Judge, budge	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	twice for same task	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Reviewed Court's Order re 2:15- cv-171; filing of 12(b) motions discourage if defect can be cured								
171	7/2/15	EHM	by filing an amended pleading	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
	•		Prepared and filed Summons				Time is unreasonable; time billed for preparation of summons in second lawsuit when claims				
			returned executed as to Midland				should have been				
172	7/2/15	SPL	Funding in #2:15-cv-172	0.2	\$95.00		brought in one lawsuit	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19.00
			Prepared and filed Summonses returned executed as to Midland Funding and Midland Credit in								
171	7/10/15	SPL	#2:15-cv-171	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	No objection	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	\$28.50
			Prepared and filed Summons				Time is unreasonable, as time billed for preparation of summons in both lawsuits when claims				
			returned executed as to Midland				should have been				
172	7/10/2015		Credit in #2:15-cv-172 Received email from opposing counsel with proposed stipulations and responded to	0.2	\$95.00	\$19.00	brought in one lawsuit	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19.00
171	7/17/2015	EHM	same	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	Excessive rate	0.15	\$250.00	\$37.50	\$37.50

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry Received email from opposing counsel with proposed	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			stipulations and responded to				Excessive rate; billed				
172	7/17/2015	EHM	same	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	twice for same task	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.50
171	7/17/2015	ЕНМ	Phone conference with opposing counsel, Frank Springfield re extension of time to file answer/response in both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	Excessive rate	0.15	\$250.00	\$37.50	\$37.50
			Phone conference with opposing								
			counsel, Frank Springfield re								
			extension of time to file				Excessive rate; billed				
172	7/17/2015	EHM	answer/response in both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	twice for same task	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	7/17/2015	FНM	Reviewed Stipulations of Extension of Time filed by opposing counsel on both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	Excessive rate	0.15	\$250.00	\$37.50	\$37.50
'''					**	ψ 10.00			Ψ20,0.00	Ψοι.σο	, , , , , , , ,
			Reviewed Stipulations of				Excessive rate; billed				
170	7/17/2015		Extension of Time filed by	0.45	#200 00	045.00	twice for same task;	•	\$0.00	\$0.00	00.00
172	7/17/2015		opposing counsel on both cases Reviewed Court's Order re scheduling orders, etc. on #2:15-	0.15	\$300.00	,\$45.00	only one case needed	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	7/22/2015	EHM	cv-171	0.3	\$300.00	\$90.00	Excessive rate	0.3	\$250.00	\$75.00	\$75.00
171	7/31/2015	ЕНМ	Received message to call opposing counsel Received message to call	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate Excessive rate; billed	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
172	7/31/2015	EHM	opposing counsel Prepared Settleemnt Demand	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	twice for same task	``	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	8/5/2015	EHM	letter to opposing counsel on both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	Excessive rate	0.15	\$250.00	\$37.50	\$37.50
			Prepared Settleemnt Demand letter to opposing counsel on				Excessive rate; billed twice for same task;				
172	8/5/2015	EHM	both cases Reviewed Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	only one case needed	•	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	8/10/2015	EHM	in 2:15-cv-171	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper		Time	Rate	Billed	Objection Excessive rate; time billed for review of motion in second lawsuit unnecessary	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Reviewed Unopposed Motion for				because claims could				
170	0/40/0045		Extension of Time to File Answer	0.4	****	202.00	have been filed in one	_	40.00	•••	**
172	8/10/2015	EHIVI	in 2:15-cv-172 Reviewed Court's notice regarding granting Defendants'	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	lawsuit	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Motions for Extension of Time to				Excessive rate;				
171	8/11/2015	EHM	file Answers in both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	excessive time	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
							Excessive rate;				
							excessive time; time				
							billed for review of				
							motion in second				
			Reviewed Court's notice				lawsuit unnecessary				
			regarding granting Defendants'			•	because claims could have been filed in one		•		
			Motions for Extension of Time to				lawsuit; billed twice for				
172	8/11/2015	EHM	file Answers in both cases	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	same task	. 0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
474	9/42/2045	CDI	Mr. LaPointe called regarding status of his cases. Told him that the Court gave Midland until August 24 to file an Answer. Told him we would let him know	0.45	207.00	244.55					
171	8/13/2015	SPL	if anything happened before that.	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	No objection	0.15	\$95.00	\$14.25	\$14.25
			Mr. LaPointe called regarding status of his cases. Told him								
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Allegaria.	that the Court gave Midland until								
			August 24 to file an Answer. Told him we would let him know								
172	8/13/2015	SPI	if anything happened before that.	0.15	\$95.00	¢14.25	Identical entry appears in bill for both cases	n.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
''-	0/10/2010	J. L	Reviewed Court's Order re	0.13	Ψ33.00	Ψ14.20	iii biii ioi botii cases.	Ų	Ψ0.00	\$0.00_	φυ.υυ
			discouraging filing of 12(b)								
			Motion in 2:15-cv-172 if defect								
			can be cured by filig amended				Excessive rate; only				
172	8/17/2015	EHM	pleading.	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	one case needed Unnecessary time, as	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Drafted proposed Report of Parties' Planning Meeting and emailed to opposing counsel in				Defendants had not even answered or otherwise responded				
171	8/21/2015	SPI	2:15-cv-171	0.6	\$95.00	\$57.00	to complaint.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
							Excessive rate;				
							unnecessary time, as				
							Defendants had not				
			Reviewed and revised draft				even answered or				
			Report of Parties' Planning				otherwise responded				
171	8/21/2015	EHM	Meeting	0.8	\$300.00	\$240.00	to complaint.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
										ļ	
			Reviewed documents filed by				Excessive rate;			9	
			opposing counsel: Motion and				contains unnecessary				
			Memo to Consolidate Cases;				time toward			İ	
			Offers of Judgment on both				researching				
			cases; Certificates of Corporate				consolidation because				
			Interest for defendants; Answers				Offers of Judgment				
171	9/04/0045	CLINA.	on both cases; research	4.05	#200 00		should have been	0.0	#250.00	¢ E0.00	¢ E0.00
171	8/24/2015	ELIN	regarding consolidation	1.25	\$300.00	\$375.00	discussed with Plaintiff	0.2	\$250.00	\$50.00	\$50.00
						ar a constant	Excessive rate;				
					·		contains unnecessary				
							time toward				
							researching				
							consolidation because				
							Offers of Judgment				
V 75							should have been				
							discussed with				
			Reviewed documents filed by				Plaintiff; contains				
			opposing counsel: Motion and				unnecessary time as				
			Memo to Consolidate Cases;				Plaintiff could have				
			Offers of Judgment on both				filed one lawsuit; billed				
		AXX	cases; Certificates of Corporate				twice for same task;				
			Interest for defendants; Answers				Motion to Consolidate				
			on both cases; research				not filed in Case No.				
172	8/24/2015	EHM	regarding consolidation	1.25	\$300.00	\$375.00	172	.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Reviewed Court's Orders in both					*		ĺ	
			cases re availability of magistrate				Excessive rate;				
171	8/25/2015	EHM	judge	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	excessive time	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
									임, 배경 훅.		
					e distribuição de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante La constante de la constante d		Excessive rate;				
							excessive time;				
							contains unnecessary				
			<u> </u>				time as Plaintiff could		Y Pilotoni.		
			Reviewed Court's Orders in both				have filed one lawsuit;	1 # 1			
	0.005.004.5		cases re availability of magistrate	0.15	400		billed twice for same			^	60.00
172	8/25/2015	⊨HM	judge	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	task	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case	-	Time-				Amount	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
											:
			Prepared and filed Motion for								
474	0/0/0045	E1 13 4	Extension of Time to File Report	2.4	2000 00	0400.00	-		0050.00	0400.00	¢400.00
171	9/3/2015	EHM	of the Parties' Planning Meeting	0.4	\$300.00	\$120.00	Excessive rate	0.4	\$250.00	\$100.00	\$100.00
							Excessive rate; contains unnecessary				
			Researched and drafted				time toward response				
			response to Motion to				to motion to				
171	9/4/2015	ЕНМ	Consolidate cases	3	\$300.00	\$900.00	consolidate	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				2		***************************************		-	, ,		
							Excessive rate;				
				er sjá			contains unnecessary				
							time toward response				
							to motion to				
							consolidate; contains				
							time billed to draft				
	· Land		Researched and drafted			•	response to motion that was not filed in		-		
172	9/4/2015	EUM	response to Motion to Consolidate cases	2	\$300.00	00 0002	Case No. 172	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
172	31412013	LITIVI	Consolidate cases	ى ا	 	φ900.00	Case No. 172	ı O	φυ.υυ 	φυ.υυ _	Ψ0.00
					•		Excessive rate;				
							contains unnecessary				
			Reveiwed and revised draft		!		time toward response				
			Response to Motion to				to motion to				
171	9/5/2015	ЕНМ	Consolidate cases	3	\$300.00	\$900.00	consolidate.	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate;				
							contains unnecessary				
			engalanda ang kalanda kalanda ang kalanda ang kalanda ang kalanda ang kalanda ang kalanda ang kalanda ang kala Kalanda ang kalanda ang ka				time toward response				
							to motion to				
					Kulin.		consolidate; contains				
1							time billed to draft				
			Reveiwed and revised draft				response to motion				
1.75		- AWES	Response to Motion to				that was not filed in				
172	9/5/2015	EHM	Consolidate cases	3	\$300.00	\$900.00	Case No. 172	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Finalized and filed Response in								
			Opposition to Motion to								
			Consolidate cases. Spoke with				Excessive rate;				
			client regarding Offer of				contains unnecessary				
			Judgment. Prepared and filed Notice of Acceptance of Offer of				time toward response to motion to				
171	9/8/2015	ЕНМ	Judgment	1.1	\$300.00	\$330.00	consolidate	0.4	\$250.00	\$100.00	\$100.00
171	3/0/2013	 -	baaginent	1.1	Ψ500.00	Ψ000.00	CONSUMARS	1 0.4	Ψ230.00	ψ100.00	Ψ100.00

Case	Time-			Amount	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date Keeper	Entry Tir	ne Rate	Billed Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
172	9/8/2015 EHM	Finalized and filed Response in Opposition to Motion to Consolidate cases. Spoke with client regarding Offer of Judgment. Prepared and filed Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment	1.1 \$300.00	Excessive rate; contains unnecessary time toward response to motion to consolidate; contains time billed to draft response to motion that was not filed in Case No. 172; only \$330.00 one case needed		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
171	9/8/2015 EHM	Received email from Frank Springfield: Everett, I am in receipt of your notice of acceptance of Midland's Offers of Judgment for the two LaPointe cases. If you will please forward me your fees and expenses for each case, we can see if we can get everything workf out without having to involve the Court to set those. Thanks.	0.15 \$300.00	Excessive rate; \$45.00 excessive time	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
172	9/8/2015 EHM	Received email from John Marshall Smith, Judge Corker's law clerk, and opposing counsel's response to same, since plaintiff accepted Offer of Judgment in both cases if the motion to consolidate and request for extension of time are	0.15 \$300.00 0.15 \$300.00	Excessive rate; excessive time; billed \$45.00 twice for same task Excessive rate; excessive rate; excessive time	0.1			\$0.00 \$25.00

Case	Time-					Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
			Received email from John								
			Marshall Smith, Judge Corker's								
			law clerk, and opposing								
			counsel's response to same,								
			since plaintiff accepted Offer of								
			Judgment in both cases if the								
			motion to consolidate and				Excessive rate;				
			request for extension of time are				excessive time; billed	_			***
172	9/9/2015		now moot.	0.15	\$300.00		twice for same task	. 0		\$0.00	\$0.00
171	9/9/2015	EHM	Reviewed Court's Judgment	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	Excessive rate	0.1	\$250.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
							Excessive rate and				
470	0/0/0045		Bartana de Caralla da da caral	0.4	0000.00	000.00	time; should only be	_	CO OO	CO OO	#0.00
172	9/9/2015	EHM	Reviewed Court's Judgment	0.1	\$300.00	\$30.00	one case	; 	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate;				
							contains unnecessary				
			Research and drafted Motion for				time toward recycled			!	
			attorney fees & costs & Memo in	ļ			fee petition; fee		!	-	
171	9/21/2015	FHM	support	3.2	\$300.00	\$960.00	incurred after OOJ	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$47.63
	0,21,2010		- Capport	0.2	Ψ000.00	Ψ000.00			45.55		
							Excessive rate;				
							contains unnecessary				
			Research and drafted Motion for				time toward recycled				
Pr			attorney fees & costs & Memo in				fee petition; fee				
172	9/21/2015	EHM	support	3.2	\$300.00	\$960.00	incurred after OOJ	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			Client called regarding status of								
			his case. I told him that WHM								
			was talking to opposing counsel								
			re settlement. I told him that								
			what EHM was trying to do was								
			to get them to write the debt off								
			(couldn't guarantee this), get				Fee incurred after OO.	J			
			EHM's fees and statutory				accepted; trying to				
			damages for him. I told him that				strike a new deal that				
174	0/22/2045	CDI	we would call him if EHM got his	0.3	405.00	¢20 E0	was not part of the	0	\$95.00	\$0.00	\$28.50
171	9/23/2015	OPL	case settled.	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	Case) \$95.00	\$0.00	⊅∠0. 5∪

Case		Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
		·	Client called regarding status of				.				
			his case. I told him that WHM								
			was talking to opposing counsel								
			re settlement. I told him that								
			what EHM was trying to do was								
			to get them to write the debt off								
			(couldn't guarantee this), get								
			EHM's fees and statutory								
			damages for him. I told him that				Fee incurred after OOJ				
			we would call him if EHM got his				accepted; billed twice				
172	9/23/2015	SPL	case settled.	0.3	\$95.00	\$28.50	for same task	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; fee				
			Phone call to opposing counsel		1		incurred after OOJ				
171	9/23/2015	ЕНМ	regarding fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$37.50
							Excessive rate; billed				
			되도 하는 항상이 사용하다 하나요?				twice for same task;				
	* * * *		Phone call to opposing counsel				fee incurred after OOJ				
172	9/23/2015	EHM	regarding fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted	. 0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; billed				
			Email to opposing counsel o				twice for same task;				
	0.100.100.1.		follow up on phone call regarding	0.45	2000 00	0.45.00	fee incurred after OOJ		#250.00	#0.00	627 50
171	9/23/2015	EHM	fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted	C	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$37.50
	- 150		+ 2006 年 (*) 第 2章 25 2 5 1 7 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		April 2		Excessive rate; billed				
			Email to opposing counsel o				twice for same task; fee incurred after OOJ				
470	0/00/0045		follow up on phone call regarding	0.45	6000 00	C4E 00		C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
172	9/23/2015	EHIVI	fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted Excessive rate; fee	i	\$0.00	40.00	Ψ0.00
			Email from appacing councel				incurred after OOJ				
171	9/23/2015		Email from opposing counsel regarding fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	945.00	accepted	C	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$37.50
171	9/23/2013	CHIVI		0.13	\$300.00	Ψ40.00	Excessive rate; billed		η ψ200.00	Ψ0.00 <u> </u> 	ΨΟ1.00
							twice for same task;				
			Email from opposing counsel				fee incurred after OOJ				
172	9/23/2015	EHM	regarding fees and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted	(\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
112	3/23/2013	 	Received email from opposing	0.10	Ψοσο.σσ	, ,		1			
			counsel re breakdown of fees				Excessive rate; fee				
171	9/23/2015	ЕНМ	and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	incurred after OOJ	1	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$37.50
	5,25,2510				7000.00	J 15.50	Excessive rate; billed				
			Received email from opposing				twice for same task;			1 基 狀 泰 清 浪	
			counsel re breakdown of fees				fee incurred after OOJ			基式基础	
172	9/23/2015	ЕНМ	and expenses	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	accepted	(\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case		Time-				Amount	And the state of t	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
							Excessive rate; fee incurred after OOJ accepted;				
			Prepared draft Joint Motion for Extension to file Plaintiff's Motion				unnecessary time toward motion for attorney's fees; fees				
			for Attorney fees and Costs and				could have been				
171	10/0/2015	ELINA	emailed same to Frank	0.45	****	0.45.00	avoided if motion		4050.00	•	**
171	10/8/2015	EHIVI	Springfield	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	timely filed	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; billed twice for same task;				
							fee incurred after OOJ				
							accepted;				
							unnecessary time		85 45		
			Prepared draft Joint Motion for				toward motion for				
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			Extension to file Plaintiff's Motion				attorney's fees; fees			aditus Bartana	
			for Attorney fees and Costs and				could have been				
			emailed same to Frank				avoided if motion				
171	10/8/2015	EHM	Springfield	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	timely filed	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; fee				
							incurred after OOJ accepted; unnecessary time toward motion for				
							attorney's fees; fees				
			Received email from opposing				could have been				
	10/0/00		counsel re proposed Joint Motion				avoided if motion				
171	10/8/2015	EHM	and replied to same	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	timely filed	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; billed				
							twice for same task;				
							fee incurred after OOJ				
							accepted; unnecessary time				
							toward motion for			화장 복으통	
							attorney's fees; fees				
	별시 그는 10 결사 남자의 사람들 중요		Received email from opposing				could have been				
			counsel re proposed Joint Motion				avoided if motion				
172	10/8/2015	EHM	and replied to same	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	timely filed	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	en en en take 1.5				* *******	7,121.00	Excessive rate; fee		+_00,00	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Ψ0.00
							incurred after OOJ				
			Received 2nd email from				accepted;				
			opposing counsel regarding				unnecessary time			Links When	
			changes to proposed Joint				toward motion for				
171	10/8/2015	EHM	Motion	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	attorney's fees	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Case	-	Time-				Amount		Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Court
No.	Date	Keeper	Entry	Time	Rate	Billed	Objection	Time	Rate	Total	Ruling
							Excessive rate; billed				
							twice for same task;				
							fee incurred after OOJ				
			Received 2nd email from				accepted;				
			opposing counsel regarding				unnecessary time				
			changes to proposed Joint				toward motion for				
172	10/8/2015	EHM	Motion	0.15	\$300.00	\$45.00	attorney's fees	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
							Excessive rate; fee				
							incurred after OOJ				
							accepted;				
			Finalized Motion for Fees and				unnecessary time				
			Costs and obtained supporting				toward motion for				
171	10/14/2015	ЕНМ	affidavits	1.6	\$300.00	\$480.00	attorney's fees	0	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
\			설명적 네트먼 Vice				Excessive rate; billed				
							twice for same task;				
							fee incurred after OOJ				
	Trans.						accepted;		•		
			Finalized Motion for Fees and				unnecessary time				
			Costs and obtained supporting				toward motion for				
172	10/14/2015	EHM	affidavits	1.6	\$300.00	\$480.00	attorney's fees	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ļ							Fee incurred after OOJ				
							accepted;			5	
			Compiled and formatted Motion				unnecessary time				
			for Attorney Fees and Costs for				toward motion for				
171	10/15/2015	SPL	filing with the Court	1.5	\$95.00	\$142.50	attorney's fees	0	\$95.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
,			in the second of				Billed twice for same				
							task; fee incurred after		황상 기 년		
							OOJ accepted;				
			Compiled and formatted Motion				unnecessary time		Navenina		
		1 3 W - 1	for Attorney Fees and Costs for		大量		toward motion for				
172	10/15/2015	SPL	filing with the Court	1.5	\$95.00	\$142.50	attorney's fees	0	\$95.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
								AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	CHARGE THE ACCUMULATION OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE	NO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
			TOTALS	54.35		13,783.50		8.8		\$1,587.75	\$2,033.88