## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC AND PANOPTIS PATENT MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-300-JRG-RSP

v.

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC.,

Defendants.

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FURTHER AMEND THE DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

The Court, having considered Plaintiffs Optis Wireless Technology, LLC's and PanOptis Patent Management, LLC's (together, "PanOptis") Unopposed Motion to Further Amend the Docket Control Order (Dkt. 36), as previously amended by the Orders Granting Plaintiffs' Motions to Amend the Docket Control Order (Dkt. 102 and 112), and the Court being of the opinion that for good cause the same should be GRANTED, it is therefore,

ORDERED that the Unopposed Motion to Further Amend the Docket Control Order is granted, and is hereby amended as follows:

ACTION	CURRENT DATE IN COURT'S ORDER [DKT. 112]	NEW DATE
Deadline to Complete Fact	April 25, 2016	May 2, 2016
Discovery and File Motions to		
Compel Discovery		
Serve Disclosures for Expert	April 25, 2016	May 2, 2016
Witnesses by the Party with the		
Burden of Proof (opening expert		
reports)		
*Deadline to File Letter Briefs Regarding Dispositive Motions <sup>1</sup>	May 6, 2016	

Entries in bold and with an "\*" indicate deadlines that cannot be changed without showing good cause.

	CURRENT DATE IN	
ACTION	COURT'S ORDER	NEW DATE
	[DKT. 112]	
Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal	May 20, 2016	
Expert Witnesses (rebuttal reports)		
*File Dispositive Motions or	May 30, 2016	
Motions to Strike Expert		
<b>Testimony (including Daubert</b>		
Motions)		
Deadline to Complete Expert	June 3, 2016	
Discovery		
Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness	June 17, 2016	
List, Deposition Designations, and		
Exhibit List) by the Party with the		
Burden of Proof		
*File Notice of Request for Daily	June 20, 2016	
Transcript or Real Time		
Reporting.		
Serve Objections to Pretrial	June 24, 2016	
Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal		
Pretrial Disclosures		
File Motions in Limine	July 8, 2016	
The parties shall limit their motions		
in limine to issues that if		
improperly introduced at trial		
would be so prejudicial that the		
Court could not alleviate the		
prejudice by giving appropriate		
instructions to the jury.		
Serve Objections to Rebuttal	July 8, 2016	
Pretrial Disclosures		
*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint	July 13, 2016	
Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint		
Proposed Verdict Form, and		
Responses to Motions in Limine	X 1 45 0016	
*Notify Court of Agreements	July 15, 2016	
Reached During Meet and		
Confer	T 1 27 2016	
*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m.	July 27, 2016	
in Marshall, Texas before Judge		
Roy Payne	A	
*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in	August 15, 2016	
Marshall, Texas		

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Summary Judgment Motions: Prior to filing any summary judgment motion, the parties must submit letter briefs seeking permission to file the motion. The opening letter brief in each of those matters shall be no longer than five (5) pages and shall be filed with the Court no later than the deadline for filing letter briefs. Answering letter briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than five (5) pages and filed with the Court no later than fourteen (14) days thereafter. Reply briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than three (3) pages and filed with the Court no later than five (5) days thereafter. The Court may decide the question on the submissions or hold a hearing or telephone conference to hear arguments and to determine whether the filing of any motion will be permitted. Letter briefs shall be filed without exhibits. Any requests to submit letter briefs after the deadlines outlined above must show good cause.

<u>Indefiniteness</u>: In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules' normal page limits.

<u>Motions for Continuance</u>: The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

- (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
- (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
- (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

Amendments to the Docket Control Order ("DCO"): Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

SIGNED this 19th day of April, 2016.

RÖY S. PA**Y**NE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE