IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

LUFKIN DIVISION

DOUGLAS RAY RICHARDS	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:21-CV-90
VS.	§	
JOSHUA LESTER, et al.,	ş	

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING VENUE

Plaintiff, Douglas Ray Richards, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Clements Unit with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendants Joshua Lester, Dustin Brown ad Brandon White.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

Venue in a civil rights action is determined pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When, as in this case, jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of citizenship, 28 U.S.C. § 1391 provides that venue is proper only in the judicial district where all the defendants reside or in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

Plaintiff's complaint pertains to his treatment while incarcerated at the Clements Unit. The Clements Unit is located in the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division. It is clear that all of

the events or omissions giving rise to his claims occurred in the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division. Venue, therefore, is not proper in the Eastern District of Texas, Lufkin Division.

When venue is not proper, the court "shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought." 28 U.S.C. 1406(a). Plaintiff's claims should be transferred to the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division. An appropriate order so providing will be entered by the undersigned.

SIGNED this 25th day of May, 2021.

il

Zack Hawthorn United States Magistrate Judge