IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

GARY KIRKINDOLL,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 3:11-CV-1921-D

VS.

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION

ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD, AS

CONSERVATOR OF TEXANS CREDIT

UNION, et al.,

Defendants.

S

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The court *sua sponte* withdraws §§ I-III of its October 15, 2012 memorandum opinion and order, in which it denied defendants' June 7, 2012 motion for summary judgment. *See Kirkindoll v. Texans Credit Union*, 2012 WL 4866501, at *1-7 (N.D. Tex. Oct. 15, 2012) (Fitzwater, C.J.). Defendants' June 7, 2012 motion for summary judgment is now taken under advisement, to be decided in due course on the original briefing, unless the court requests further briefing.

Treating defendants' November 20, 2012 Rule 60(b) motion for relief from order denying summary judgment as a motion for reconsideration,² the motion is denied without prejudice as moot. Defendants' November 20, 2012 request under 28 U.S.C. 1292(b) to certify for immediate appeal

¹The original briefing means defendants' June 7, 2012 motion, brief, and appendix; plaintiff's June 28, 2012 response, brief, and appendix; and defendants' July 12, 2012 reply brief.

²Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) is inapposite because the summary judgment ruling was interlocutory, and Rule 60(b) applies to a final judgment, order, or proceeding.

the court's order denying summary judgment is also denied without prejudice as moot.

SO ORDERED.

December 19, 2012.

SIDNEY A. FITZW

CHIEF JUDGE